MIRDOSE 3.1 Gives Erroneous Results Under Windows NT

TO THE EDITOR: In a 1996 article, Michael G. Stabin (*1*) describes his widely used program MIRDOSE 3.1 for internal dosimetry estimation. It was written for the Microsoft Windows 3.1 computing environment.

In our institution, Microsoft Windows NT is rapidly becoming the standard desktop computing environment. Readers should be cautioned that MIRDOSE 3.1 can be installed and will load and appear to run under Windows NT 3.51 and Windows NT 4.0, but in fact gives erroneous results. We have confirmed this on Pentium and Pentium Pro central processing units. If the table of S-values is examined and many appear to be identically zero, that is indicative of this problem. It can be confirmed by inputting a simple example with a known answer, such as Example 6 in Part 2 of the *MIRD Primer* (*2*). Markedly different results indicate erroneous program functioning.

REFERENCES

1. Stabin MG. MIRDOSE: personal computer software for internal dose assessment in nuclear medicine. *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:538–546.
2. Loevinger R, Budinger TF, Watson EE. *MIRD primer for absorbed dose calculations*, revised ed. New York: Society of Nuclear Medicine; 1991:29–30.

Richard E. Wendt III
Steven P. McCullough
The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, Texas

REPLY: I appreciate Drs. Wendt and McCullough taking this opportunity to make the user community aware of the fact that MIRDOSE 3.1 does not run on the NT platform. The problem noted appears to be a case of the program not accessing the files for photon-specific absorbed fractions. I would not agree that this represents an error in the program, but rather use of the program on an unsupported platform. We have been aware of this issue for some time, and we have notified many users privately that MIRDOSE is not supported on NT machines (as it similarly is not supported on Macintosh, UNIX and other platforms).

MIRDOSE 3 and 3.1 were compiled in 1994 and 1995 in VisualBasic 3.0, in the Windows for Workgroups 3.11 environment. We were pleased to see that migration to Windows 95 did not require a new release of the software, but we became aware from several reports from users that the software as compiled does not work properly in the NT environment. We have discussed this problem with Microsoft Corporation, and it is possible that compiling the software in a more recent version of VisualBasic, under Windows 95, may produce a version that will work on NT machines. Or, we may need to compile a version directly on an NT machine. Our center does not have an NT machine, but we believe that we can use one within our company for testing and/or compilation. Thus, we may be able to release a new version that will be supported either in the Windows 95 or NT environment. We will keep the user community informed about this. Progress can be monitored through our web page at http://www.ora-u.gov/ehsd/ridic.htm, and we will also send announcements through the Dose-Net mailing list and by other means should a new version become available.

Additionally, Microsoft still does not have VisualBasic for the Macintosh; we do not envision a release of Version 3 for the Macintosh. There are, however, plans to rewrite the software in a form compatible to both Windows and Macintosh environments, and it is possible that Version 4, which will incorporate several other new features, may work on the Macintosh.

Michael G. Stabin
Radiation Internal Dose Information Center
Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Iodine-123-Iomazenil SPECT in Alzheimer's Disease

TO THE EDITOR: A 1997 article by Fukuchi et al. (*1*) made the interesting suggestion that ^{123}I-omazenil (IMZ) SPECT scans are more sensitive in the detection of Alzheimer's disease than ^{99m}Tc-HMPAO scans. Improved sensitivity of SPECT scans for this purpose is certainly needed, but the article raises several questions.

The main conceptual problem is the putative quality of these tracers. Although the early ^{123}I-IMZ images may be influenced by perfusion, the delayed images, which were reported to be most sensitive, probably reflect receptor density, and, therefore, neuronal density. Thus, in contrast to the authors' description of these scans as visualizing "neuronal activity" (p. 469), they may be better described as visualizing tissue atrophy, since lower counts probably reflect loss of receptor-bearing neurons. This, in turn, suggests that the extensive deficits seen by the authors in Alzheimer's disease patients are contributed to a large extent, if not completely, by focal atrophy in frontal and parietal cortex. Yet, such atrophy has not been reported by MRI, and the authors do not present a quantitative analysis of their own structural imaging. In fact, they state (p. 467) that the CT/MRI scans were largely negative except for "mild generalized atrophy," a common finding in the aged. Thus, it is unclear whether the delayed ^{123}I-IMZ images reflect metabolism (through perfusion), neuronal loss, or both, to an unknown degree.

The lack of theoretical face validity may not be fatal if the scans are empirically demonstrated to offer superior sensitivity. Such demonstration is hampered by methodological concerns in this case. First, the order of scans (HMPAO versus IMZ) is not specified, although the authors state there was an average interval of 1.36 mo between them. Second, the raters were apparently not blind to tracer type, but only to clinical history, thus introducing the possibility of bias. Third, no quantitative data are presented for the extent and location of deficits with either tracer. These factors, combined, make it difficult to interpret the results, especially in the presence of the conceptual ambiguity noted above and contradictory PET data (*2*).

Isak Prohovnik
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

REFERENCES

1. Fukuchi K, Hashikawa K, Seike Y, et al. Comparison of iodine-123-iomazenil SPECT and technetium-99m-HMPAO SPECT in Alzheimer's disease. *J Nucl Med* 1997;38: 467–470.
2. Meyer MA, Koeppe RA, Frey KA, et al. Positron emission tomography measures of benzodiazepine binding in Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Neurol* 1995;52:314–317.

Quantification of Left Ventricular Function with Thallium-201 Myocardial Gated SPECT

TO THE EDITOR: I read with great interest the article by Germano et al. (*1*) titled "Quantitative LVEF and Qualitative Regional Function from Gated Thallium-201 Perfusion SPECT." Their article further validates the concept that gated SPECT can be effectively performed with ^{201}Tl to assess left ventricular function.