edge that contains large high-frequency components. Since the structures inside the phantom are relatively smooth, the Gibbs effect is less significant.

In most clinical situations, when a quicker SPECT acquisition is desired, the acquisition time per view is decreased while the number of views is maintained to avoid aliasing artifacts. However, for fast or dynamic SPECT, when the acquisition time per view has already been set to the minimum, the number of views must be decreased to further accelerate the acquisition. Our study suggests that, if the number of views must be decreased, it should be reduced to an odd number, for example, reduced from 64 views to 31 or 33 views, to optimize SPECT image quality. Other techniques for decreasing acquisition time, such as continuous acquisition, have also been investigated (8).

The results from this study can be applied to a three-head SPECT system. In a three-head system, each detector rotates 120° and the total number of views is equal to the sum of the number of views of each detector. When the number of views of each detector is odd (or even), the total number of views is also odd (or even). Therefore, the detection geometry is the same as that of a single-head SPECT system and the discussion of this study can be applied to a three-head SPECT system.

# CONCLUSION

This simulation study demonstrated that in 360° SPECT without attenuation, changing an even number of acquired views by one to an odd number significantly improved reconstructed image quality. For example, the image reconstructed from a 33-view acquisition was similar to that from a 64-view acquisition. In the presence of attenuation, the improvement was clearly demonstrated, but to a lesser degree when compared to images obtained without attenuation. To take full advantage of odd view number acquisition, attenuation effects should be corrected.

In 360° SPECT, 31 or 33 views appear adequate to remove most aliasing artifacts in reconstructed images with a matrix of  $64 \times 64$  pixels. Use of a lesser but odd number of views is an approach to perform fast 360° SPECT where minimum acquisition time is of main concern.

# APPENDIX

### Glossary

Angular sampling interval: The circumferential distance between two neighboring sampling points.

Angular sampling point: The angular position of the center of the detector where a line integral is obtained.

Angular step of the detector: The angular distance between sequential positions of the center of the detector where projection data are acquired.

Attenuated line integral: The continuous sum of activity intensity which is reduced due to absorption of photons in a medium.

Line integral: The continuous sum of activity intensity along a projection ray.

Uniform region: The region where true activity is uniformly distributed.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by U.S. Public Health grants R29 CA61039 from the National Cancer Institute. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute.

### REFERENCES

- Kak AC, Slaney M. Principles of computerized tomographic imaging. New York, NY: IEEE Press; 1988:183-186.
- Gemmell HG. Data sampling in SPECT. In: Sharp PF, ed. Practical nuclear medicine. New York, NY: IRL Press; 1988:45.
- Holder LE, Machin JL, Asdourian PL, et al. Planar and high-resolution SPECT bone imaging in the diagnosis of facet syndrome. J Nucl Med 1995;36:37-44.
- Shepp LA, Logan BF. The Fourier reconstruction of a head section. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* 1974;21:21-43.
- Cao ZJ, Tsui BMW. A fully three-dimensional reconstruction algorithm with a nonstationary filter for improved single-orbit cone beam SPECT. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* 1993;40:280-287.
- Kak AC, Slaney M. Principles of computerized tomographic imaging. New York, NY: IEEE Press; 1988:49-56.
- Brooks RA, Glover GH, Talbert AJ, et al. Aliasing: a source of streaks in computer tomograms. J Comput Assist Tomog 1979;3:511-518.
- Bieszk JA, Hawman EG. Evaluation of SPECT angular sampling effects: continuous versus step-and-shoot acquisition. J Nucl Med 1987;28:1308-1314.

