more detail. One of the most salient goals of the committee—along with Practice Guidelines and Communications—will be to develop practice guidelines for the discipline (see box). A related concern is the development of a procedure standardization process. Dr. James Fletcher's method to standardize the performance of brain SPECT offers a model for the consensus process—for obtaining expert opinion. Other standardization projects in the works are for thyroid imaging, myocardial perfusion, and captopril.

Responding and Restructuring

Health care reform made an impact on the SPECT Project meeting in Seattle. Peter C. Vermeeren will head a task force to devise recommendations on restructuring the Project so it may work with the CHCP on a comprehensive nuclear medicine response to reform. Health care reform was also felt indirectly even in the Radiation Effects of Ionizing Radiation (REIR) Committee. Most of Seattle's REIR meeting was dedicated to heated discussion of how SNM should respond if the recent public outcry about government radiation experiments (see *Newsline*, March 1994, 9N). The committee has planned a symposium at the SNM annual meeting on this issue in June.

Restructuring may be a type of "reform," but the Society has been working for years on restructuring itself to better serve its members (and help them provide better care). The Board of Trustees meeting in Seattle made significant progress toward completing that process by approving the restructuring plan. The new bylaws will change the entire officer succession schedule (see Newsline, September 1992, p. 38N; December 1992, p. 32N; April 1993, p. 25N; October 1993, p 26N; and December 1993, p. 32N). Over the next five years, as the new officer succession comes into effect, there will be a period when the old system will overlap with the new until the new is completely in force. At the Mid-Winter Meeting, this transition was clarified with an illustration of the officer

GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPING GUIDELINES

A paper by Steven Wolff, MD, MPH, offers a fair representation of both committee's goals, philosophy, and caveats for developing

guidelines. Wolff presents a scientific view of guidelines by citing several studies on the actual effects of introducing guidelines into clinical use. He concludes by taking a cautious approach to practice guidelines. Problems arise not only when policymakers set guidelines according to economic rather than scientific considerations. In addition the physiology and disease expression of each patient





+ Interim Pres-E, Scientist

* According to Revised Bylaws

Interim Sec/Tres, Sec only first year

HOD/BOD TRANSITION SCHEDULE

1/95-6/95	6/95	1/96	6/96
Councils (15)	HOD Complete*	Hod Elects	BOD
8	New Officers	7 BOD	Complete
TS (3)	Councils		Restructure
Elect HOD	TS		Complete

succession schedule through 1999 (see Figure 1). The new bylaws now only have to be approved by the general membership at the Annual Meeting in Orlando this June. Other items the Board of Trustees approved in the Business Session included budgets for the SNM Commission on Health Care Reform, relocation, ACNP/SNM Joint Office supplementary funding request and approval of the proposed PET policy statement, site selection for the year 2000 SNM annual meeting (Baltimore), and the SNM Strategic Plan.

can vary drastically, and part of clinical practice is to determine these undefinable variations and adjust care accordingly. Too strict guidelines may either confine the clinician's options or lead to inexact treatment—the bane of widely feared "cookbook medicine." Disseminating and enforcing guidelines pose problems of their own in that wording may be too narrow or vague, clinicians may or may not pay attention to guidelines once received, and enforcement could increase cost of care. Still, because scientific studies of guidelines' effects on clinical practice are the only way of approximating their utility, Wolff Figure 1. Officer Transition schedule and House of Delegates/Board of Delegates Transition Schedule.

emphasizes limiting enforcement to guidelines that meet clinical and scientific measures of quality. His final recommendations are "a respectful approach to guidelines, which gives clinicians the freedom to use that information as they wish"; "more sophisticated approaches for disseminating guidelines"; and consideration of the fact that "physicians are more likely to change practices when they perceive new norms for professional behavior than when they simply receive new information." He concludes, "the most important question is whether practice guidelines will improve the health of patients."