JNM

Official Publication of The Society of Nuclear Medicine

THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE (ISSN 0161-5505) is published monthly by The Society of Nuclear Medicine Inc., 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760. Second Class Postage paid at New York, NY and additional mailing offices. Postmaster, send address changes to The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760.

EDITORIAL COMMUNICATIONS should be sent to the Editor: H. William Strauss, MD, The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Room 5406 MGH-East, Bldg. 149, 13th St., Charlestown, MA 02129 (617) 726-5786. Books and monographs covering the use of nuclear medicine and its allied disciplines will be reviewed as space is available. Send review copies to the Editor.

BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS concerning advertising and permission requests should be sent to the publisher, The Society of Nuclear Medicine, 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760 (212) 889-0717. Subscription requests and change of address should be sent to: Membership Department, The Society of Nuclear Medicine at the address above. Notify the Society of change of address and telephone number at least 30 days before date of issue by sending both the old and the new addresses. Advertisements are subject to editorial approval and are restricted to products or services pertinent to nuclear medicine. Advertisting rates are available from the publisher. Closing date is the first of the month preceding the date of issue.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES for 1993 calendar year are \$120 within the United States; \$130 for Canada and Pan American countries; \$160 elsewhere. Student subcriptions are \$70 (with proof of student status). Single copies \$10.00; foreign \$11.00; convention issue (May) \$12.00; foreign \$13.00. Make checks payable to The Society of Nuclear Medicine. Sales of individual back copies of 1991 and 1992 issues of *JNM* are available through BookMasters, Inc. 1444 State Rt. 42, Rd. 11, Mansfield, OH 44903, 1-800-247-6553 or (419) 281-1802. Fax: (419) 281-6883.

COPYRIGHT® 1993 by The Society of Nuclear Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or translated without permission from the copyright owner. Because the copyright on articles published in *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine* is held by the Society, each author of accepted manuscripts must sign a statement transferring copyright. See Information for Authors for further explanation.

Randoms

To the Best of Our Knowledge

There is nothing new in the world except the history you do not know.

Harry S. Truman

The title was titillating: "A New Method to Detect Uncouth Behavior." The authors' new method involved something akin to the Babinski reflex—stroke an author's ego and the uncouth always raise their eyebrows. These authors claimed to be the first to observe this response and did put the disclaimer in the discussion "we are not aware of anything like this previously reported in the literature."

The authors of this "new approach" tested 60 individuals suspected of the targeted behavior and detected three positive responses (confirmed by PET imaging of the Nucleus Hubris). As an expert in this type of behavior, however, I was sure that there had been other published reports on this reflex. A quick review of my files produced copies of these reports, where various approaches to ego stroking were tested on a total of over one thousand subjects. The previously published reports described double blind studies, good control measurements and provided a thorough review of the literature. Unfortunately, for the authors of the study I was reviewing, in addition to their original claims of primacy, they had failed to cite these important and relevant studies. These oversights dramatically reduced the value of their manuscript.

Later, as I drafted my comments to the editor, a feeling of sadness overtook me. If the authors had done their homework and contained their egos, this could have had a different outcome.

Manuscript review requires the dedication and time of many experts in each area of endeavor. Many reviewers perform this task in their spare time—after completing their day's work. Each reviewer has his/her pet peeves as does every editor. When one of those elements is identified in a manuscript, it pushes a hot button. One common pet peeve is the claim of primacy. Claims of first or best are immodest. It is the rare individual who can claim full knowledge of the world's medical literature. Such a complete knowledge would be necessary to know what is first or best. The qualifying statement "To our knowledge..." only adds a lamentable note to a statement that need not clutter an otherwise well done scientific report.

The scientist is best served by objective reporting, fitting yet another piece into the puzzle and reporting "just the facts." Mixing objective reporting with unsupportable claims does not add comfort to the reader, but rather rings of hollow self-aggrandizement. Historians will place events in perspective and determine whether a contribution deserves the title of "first," "best" or "newest."

The moral of the story is clear: leave history to the historians. Make no claims that are not supported by your data.

For the past three years this *Journal* has had an unpublished policy that has not allowed claims of primacy. That policy will now be formalized in our instructions to authors. We are not the first to take this step, but to the best of our knowledge, neither are we the last.

H. William Strauss, Editor The Journal of Nuclear Medicine