# DIAGNOSTIC NUCLEAR MEDICINE

# Thallium-201 Myocardial Perfusion Scintigrams

in the Evaluation of Aorto-Coronary

Saphenous Bypass Surgery

Mario S. Verani<sup>\*</sup>, Melvin L. Marcus, George Spoto, Nicholas P. Rossi, James C. Ehrhardt, and Muhammad A. Razzak

University of Iowa and VA Hospitals, Iowa City, Iowa

The effect of aorto-coronary bypass graft surgery (CBG) upon regional myocardial perfusion (RMP) was studied in 23 patients using thallium-201 myocardial perfusing imaging after exercise. We compared the changes in RMP with the clinical status and ECG's during graded treadmill exercise (GTX) before and after CBG.

After CBG, the New York Heart Association's "functional class" improved from  $3.2 \pm 0.1$  (mean  $\pm$  SE) to  $1.2 \pm 0.2$ , p < 0.005. The GTX performance of the patients was also significantly improved postoperatively as judged by the total exercise time (11.7  $\pm$  0.6 min postop, compared with  $7.2 \pm 0.5$  min preop; p < 0.005).

Postoperative improved Tl-201 scintigrams were observed in 19 patients, but in only nine patients did the perfusion distribution return to normal. Thus, Tl-201 exercise scintigrams following CBG demonstrate improved RMP in most patients. Failure of regional myocardial perfusion to improve postoperatively, however, does not preclude marked alleviation of angina and improved exercise tolerance.

J Nucl Med 19: 765-772, 1978

In recent years, aorto-coronary bypass has been widely used in the treatment of angina pectoris (1-5). Postoperative evaluation of these patients has usually included a history, physical examination, and resting and stress ECG tests. Definitive objective assessment of graft patency, however, requires postoperative cardiac catheterization with selective visualization of the grafts. This technique is not without morbidity and considerable cost, and obviously cannot be recommended for all patients. In addition, angiography cannot assess the functional contribution of a patent bypass graft to overall myocardial perfusion.

Myocardial perfusion scintigrams have recently been used for the noninvasive evaluation of patients with coronary artery disease (6-8). Limited experience with potassium-43 and rubidium-81 suggests

that exercise perfusion scintigrams are useful in evaluating the results of aortocoronary bypass surgery (9,10). Thallium-201 is a better myocardial perfusion imaging agent than potassium-43, because of its half-life (73 hr), low radiation energy, low totalbody radiation dose, and other favorable physical and biologic properties (11,12). Recent investigations have described the sensitivity and specificity (7), as well as the limitations, of cardiac imaging with Tl-201 for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease (13). The purposes of the present investigation

<sup>\*</sup>Present Address: Dept. Int. Med., Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030.

Received Oct. 12, 1977; revision accepted Dec. 8, 1977.

For reprints contact: Melvin L. Marcus, Dept. of Internal Medicine, University of Iowa Hospitals, Iowa City, IA 52242.



Inferior Posterior
 Posterior Lateral
 Apical
 Anterior Lateral
 Septum

FIG. 1. Myocardial segments analyzed in TI-201 scintigrams. ANT = anterior view, LAO = left anterior oblique view, LAT = left lateral view.

were to study the effect of aorto-coronary bypass graft surgery on regional myocardial perfusion assessed with exercise TI-201 scintigrams, and to correlate these changes in perfusion with the clinical results of the operation and with the graded treadmill exercise tolerance test (GTX).

## METHODS

Twenty-three patients who were recommended for coronary bypass surgery at the University of Iowa Hospitals were randomly selected to participate in this study. Twenty were male and three female. They ranged in age from 40 to 64 yr (mean = 54). Patients with unstable angina or significant valvular lesions were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before the studies, and the research protocol was approved by the Human Use Committee.

All patients underwent cinecoronary arteriography, performed by the method of Judkins. Left ventriculograms were performed in the 30° right anterior oblique view after injection of 30-50 cc of sodium meglumine diatrizoate (Renographin-76) into the left-ventricular cavity. All patients had 50% or greater obstructions of two or more coronary arteries. Fourteen had three-vessel disease and nine had two-vessel disease. Since significant distal lesions were not present, independent surgical opinion considered the vessels graftable. All vessels with greater than 50% lesions were bypassed. Patients were classified as having previous myocardial infarction on the basis of diagnostic Q waves on ECG, or subendocardial infarction documented by typical enzyme, ECG and clinical history.

**Exercise electrocardiogram (GTX).** A GTX protocol as described by Sheffield (14) was used. The exercise test was considered positive if 1 mm or more of flat or downsloping ST depression persisted 0.08 sec after the J joint in three consecutive cycles, during or immediately after the exercise. The occurrence of exercise-induced pain characteristic of angina pectoris was noted. In addition, the following parameters were assessed during the GTX: maximal heart rate, peak systolic arterial pressure, total exercise time, maximal ST depression, and any rhythm disturbances. All the GTX tests were interpreted by one of the observers (M.S.V.) without knowledge of the coronary arteriograms or the results of the myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI). A negative test required that at least 85% of the maximum predicted rate be achieved with less than 1 mm of ST depression on ECG. Tests were designated nondiagnostic if less than 1 mm ST depression occurred but 85% of maximum predicted rate was not achieved. One patient preoperatively, and five patients postoperatively, were receiving digitalis and developed 2-3 mm of ST depression during the GTX; these GTX findings were also considered nondiagnostic. Nitrates were not given to any of the patients in the 2 hr preceding the test.

**Thallium-201 myocardial perfusion imaging and** its evaluation. The preoperative TI-201 scintigrams under exercise were performed within 72 hr before the scheduled operation. Postoperative studies were performed 8–12 wk postoperatively.

Thallium-201 (1.5 to 2.0 mCi) was rapidly injected i.v. during the peak of GTX through a previously placed cannula in the upper extremity, and then flushed with 5 cc of saline. The exercise was continued for an additional 1 min following the injection. Peak exercise was determined by any of the following: 2 mm or more of ST depression; increasing chest pain associated with at least 1 mm of ST depression; incapacitating fatigue or dyspnea; or achievement of target heart rate (85% of maximum predicted). Myocardial perfusion images were obtained from 10 to 15 min following the injection of TI-201, using a gamma camera with a low-energy general-purpose collimator. Counting was done with the dual-channel analyzer windows centered at 75 and 167 keV, and window widths of 20% each. Count density in the region of the myocardium was from 1,500 to 2,000 counts/sq. cm. Each study included an anterior view, a 45° left anterior oblique view, and a left lateral view. The scanning time for each of the views ranged from 8 to 15 min.

The MPIs were interpreted independently by three of the authors, from scintigraphic films. The postoperative and preoperative scintigrams were compared without knowledge of the patient's clinical course. Total agreement of interpretation occurred in 82% of the cases. In cases of disagreement, the interpretation of the two observers in agreement was used. Each scintigram was divided into five segments (Fig. 1): septal, apical, antero-lateral, inferoposterior, and postero-lateral. The perfusion of each segment was graded from 1 (normal perfusion to 4 (minimal perfusion). Examples of different classes of perfusion are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Thus, for each patient a "total perfusion index" could be calculated with a score of 5 indicating normal regional perfusion. Improvement in any segment by at least one class was considered significant, and the overall

MPI was considered improved when the total postoperative score was less than the preoperative score.

Statistical analysis of the data used the paired t test and the Fisher executive test (15). Results are expressed as the mean  $\pm 1$  s.e.

# RESULTS

Table 1 presents the clinical, arteriographic, GTX, and Tl-201 scintigraphic findings.

**Preoperative and postoperative clinical evaluation.** Preoperatively, 22 of the 23 patients had significant angina pectoris. The twenty-third patient, who had Type II hyperlipidemia and a strongly positive GTX, was asymptomatic. In this patient catheterization demonstrated severe three-vessel disease and a 60% main left coronary artery lesion. Twenty patients were in functional Class 3 or 4, and the remaining two patients were in functional class of the patients was significantly improved to  $1.2 \pm 0.2$  (Fig. 4), compared with the preoperative functional class  $(3.2 \pm 0.1, p < 0.005)$ .

**GTX test.** Comparison of pre- and postoperative GTX tests indicated that as a group the patients experienced substantial improvement in exercise tolerance following the coronary bypass procedure (Fig. 5).

Thallium-201 myocardial scintigrams. Of the 23



FIG. 2. Improvement of TI-201 scintigrams following coronary bypass graft. Preoperatively patient was in NYHA Class 4 and had total occlusion of main left coronary (arrow) and severe lesions in right coronary (arrow). Left ventriculogram shows marked anterior wall hypokinesis. Preoperative scintigrams (pre-CBG) showed marked decrease in perfusion—Class 4 — of anterolateral wall (arrows in top and bottom frames), upper interventricular septum and posterior lateral wall (arrows in middle frame). Postoperative scintigrams (post-CBG) are normal following three coronary bypass grafts. Patient became asymptomatic postoperatively.



FIG. 3. Example of worsened TI-201 scintigrams following CBG. Preoperative (pre CBG) scintigrams are normal, although patient had three-vessel coronary disease (arrows) and severe angina. Following implant of three grafts the scintigrams show moderate decrease in perfusion (Class 3) at apex, best seen in lateral view (arrow, bottom panel) but patient became asymptomatic (see text). In addition, there is a modest decrease in perfusion of upper portion of septum in LAO projection.

patients, 22 had abnormal MPIs preoperatively. A total of 115 myocardial segments were studied. Preoperatively, 64 of these segments were abnormally perfused. In all cases, the vessels corresponding to the abnormally perfused segments were bypassed. Postoperatively, 39 of the segments became normal, six improved, 14 did not change, and five became worse (Fig. 6A). Of 51 segments normal preoperatively, 47 remained normal and four became abnormal (Fig. 6B). The total perfusion index improved from 9.4  $\pm$  0.4 preoperatively to 6.7  $\pm$  0.4 postoperatively (p < 0.01) (Table 1).

Correlations between the pre- and postoperative TI-201 scintigrams, functional class, and GTX. Nineteen patients with improved postoperative regional myocardial perfusion (nine of whom became normal) changed from NYHA functional Class 3 or 4 to functional Class 1 and had improved exercise tolerance (Table 1). The incidence of residual perfusion defects was not different in the patients with a positive postoperative GTX (seven of nine patients), compared with those with a negative postoperative GTX (five of nine patients). The perfusion index appeared slightly better in the patients with a negative postoperative GTX when compared with the patients with a postive postoperative GTX, but the difference was not statistically significant (6.3  $\pm$  0.5 against 7.3  $\pm$  0.7, respectively, p > 0.05). None of our patients had evidence of transmural myocardial infarction perioperatively, as shown by abnormal Q waves. Twelve patients with a previously documented myocardial infarction seemed to have a slightly worse perfusion postoperatively when compared with those without myocardial infarction, but again the difference did not reach statistical significance (perfusion indices of  $7.1 \pm 0.7$  and  $6.0 \pm 0.3$ , respectively, p > 0.05). Since the patients with previous myocardial infarction, as a group had a worse preoperative perfusion index than those without infarction ( $10 \pm 0.6$ against  $8.5 \pm 0.6$ , p < 0.05), the actual improvement in perfusion was comparable in both groups. An example of improved MPI is shown in Fig. 2.

Three patients in this series demonstrated worsened MPI, even though none of them had ECG or other clinical evidence of perioperative myocardial infarction. One patient had a normal preoperative perfusion index that became abnormal postoperatively, with the new perfusion defect in the apex (Fig. 3). This patient had three-vessel disease, and all three vessels were bypassed. In this case it is possible that the postoperative abnormal MPI reflected partially enhanced perfusion of previously diffusely ischemic myocardium. The patient went from functional Class 3 to Class 1, and his exercise tolerance improved markedly. The second patient with worsened MPI had a previous antero-septal infarction and three-vessel coronary disease. Preoperatively there was a mild perfusion defect in the anterolateral wall and a marked perfusion defect in the inferoposterior wall. Postoperatively these defects persisted and a moderate perfusion defect was noted in the posterolateral wall; in addition there was a mild perfusion defect in the septum. Nevertheless, the

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sex					СТХ										Exerci	se TI-2(	1 scinti	igrams			-	Total
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sex		Sue Sue	Class)	DP (X	10;	ST D	eb	2000 1 %	obstruct	ograms lion)		Sep	te m	Api	g	An	Lat	Inf P	ost	Post	- []	index
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Age	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	RCA	IAD	CX DIAG	infarction	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	re Po
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	₹	53	-	-	22.7	32.3	+	•qu	8	8	60 50		-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	\$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	9	=	-	13.2	27.2	•+	+	I	8	8	1	Ξ	-	=	-	Ξ	-	-	-	-	-	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	46	2	-	19.5	24.0	+	Q	<u>8</u>	I	8	I	-	-	Ξ	-	-	-	Ξ	-	Ξ		:
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	49	≥	-	22.5	21.0	+	+	80	I	8	I	-	-	=	Ξ	-	-	H	-	Ξ	-	0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	٤	46	=	-	20.5	26.3	+	+	80	8	40 90	1	-	-	Ξ	-	-	-	=	=	-	-	80
$ \begin{array}{ccccccccc} M & 47 & 111 & 204 & 272 & ND & - & 30 & 60 & 50 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 1$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	٤	48	Ξ	=	13.7	16.0	+	+	95	90	95	۱	Ξ	-	Ξ	Ξ	-	-	Ξ	-	-	-	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	47	Ξ	-	20.4	27.2	ŝ	1	90	60	50	ł	-	-	Ξ	=	-	-	Ξ	=	=	-	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	59	Ξ	-	14.3	29.5	+	I	100	8	75 —	+ SE	Ξ	-	Ξ	-	-	-	Ξ	-	-	-	=
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} M & 58 & V & I & 149 & 245 & ND & + & 70 & 50 & 80 & - & + & A5 \\ F & 58 & II & I & I112 & 263 & + & + & 100 & 100 & - & + NF, A5 & II &$	M         58         IV         1149         24.5         ND         +         70         80         0         -         -         10         10         10         11	¥	62	H	-	15.0	30.2	+	• av	8	75 1	00 75	+ INF	Ξ	-	=	-	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	-	=	=	13
F 38 III 11.2 24.3 + - 100 90 90 - +AS 1 II 1 III 1 III 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 M 61 V 1 16.5 29.5 + + + 00 100 100 - + INFAS 1 II 1 II 1 II 1 1 1 0 1 M 61 V 1 16.5 29.5 + + + 100 90 100 - + INFAS 1 II 1 II 1 II 1 1 1 0 1 M 64 III 1 4.3 25.6 + + + 100 90 100 - + INFAS 1 II 1 II 1 II 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 M 64 III 1 1.3 25.6 + + + 100 90 100 100 - + SE V 1 V 1 V 1 V 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 M 64 III 1 13.7 13.5 NA 90 100 100 100 - 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 M 61 V 1 22.9 30.4 + + 5 0 - 40 90 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 M 61 V 1 22.9 30.4 + - 0 00 100 100 0 0 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	F 38 III 1112 26.3 + - 100 90 90 - +AS 1 II 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 2 A 48 III 1 16.5 29.5 + + + 00 100 00 - + 1NF,AS 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 6 A 6 II 1 1.3 25.6 AA - 8 100 100 - + 1NF,AS 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 6 A 6 III 1 1.3 25.6 AA - 8 100 100 - + 1NF,AS 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	٤	58	≥	-	14.9	24.5	Q	+	20	50	80	ł	-	=	-	Ξ	-	-	-	-		_	ŝ
F 63 III I 165 221 + - 90 100 90 - + INFAS II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	F 63 III I 165 221 + - 90 100 100 - + INF, AS II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	u.	58	Ξ	-	11.2	26.3	+	I	8	8	8	+ AS	-	=	-	-	=	=	Ξ	Ξ	-	=	œ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	u.	ß	Ξ	-	16.5	22.1	+	ł	8	8	8	1	-	-		-	-	-	=	=	-	-	~
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	48	Ξ	≥	20.3	19.6	+	+	<u>1</u> 0	100	8	+ INF, AS	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	Ξ	õ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥	61	2		16.5	29.5	+	+	I	8	% %	+ <b>A</b> S	=	-	=	-	-	-	=	-	=	-	٥
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M 56 IV I NA 25.6 NA - 80 100 100 +5E IV I V I V I V I I I I I I I I I 1 1 2 9 5 F 59 III 18.0 NA + 0 00 80 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 5 F 41 V 1 22.9 30.4 + + 5 0 - 40 80 + 1NF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 64 IV 1 15.6 30.4 + - 100 95 80 - + 1NF 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 53 III 1 13.7 13.5 ND* - 100 100 100 - + ANT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* - 100 100 - + ANT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* - 100 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 63 IIV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + 5E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 P < 0.001 T = anterolaterol; Ant Lat = anterolaterol; AS = anterospetic; IAS = atterospetic; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = 100 atterospecic; IAS = atterospetic; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = 100 atterospecic; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = 100 atteros; IAS = atterospecic; IAS = at	¥	2	Ħ	-	14.3	25.6	+	+	8	8	8	+ INF, POST	-	-	Ξ	=	-	-	Ξ	=	≥	2	12
F 59 III 1 18.0 NA + 100 80 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 M 61 IV 1 22.9 30.4 + + 100 80 10 80 + INF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	F 39 III 1 180 NA + 100 80 II 1 II 1 II 1 II 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 8 5 K 61 IV 1 228 364 0 90 100 100 - 40 80 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	¥	56	≥	-	٩N	25.6	٩V	ł	80	100	00 100	+ SE	2	-	2	-	≥	-	-	-	-	-	4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>u</b>	59	Ξ	-	18.0	٩X	+	I	I	8	80	Ι	=	-	=	-	Ξ	-	-	-	-	-	٥
F 41 IV 1 22.8 36.4 90 - 40 80 + INF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 56 IV 1 13.5 30.4 + - 100 95 80 - + INF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 53 III 1 NA 24.7 + ND* - 100 100 - + AS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.1 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18, 23.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 M 61 IV 1 18 2 enterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); F = f GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Past = inferoposterior; IAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Past = inferoposterior; IAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; IAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; IAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec	F 41 IV 1 22.8 36.4 90 - 40 80 + INF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 54 IV 1 15.6 30.4 + - 100 95 80 - + INF 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 59 III 1 13.7 13.5 ND* - 100 100 - + AS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 5 M 51 IV 1 18.6 30.4 + - 100 100 - + AS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 5 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 9 M 61 IV 1 18.9 2.0 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.8 \pm 1.3$ $\pm 0.8 \pm 1.3$ $\pm 0.8 \pm 1.3$ p < 0.005 $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.4$ p < 0.005 $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.4$ p < 0.005 $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.4$ $= \sqrt{50.01}$ $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.005$ $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.0016$ $= \sqrt{52}$ $\pm 0.0016$ = 5	¥	61	≥	-	22.9	30.4	+	+	ß	I	40 90	1	-	-	=	=	-	-	=	-	=	-	80
M       64       IV       1       15.6       30.4       +       -       100       95       80       -       + INF       II       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	M 64 IV 1 15.6 30.4 + - 100 95 80 - + INF 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 M 59 11 11 13.7 13.5 ND* - 100 100 100 - $+$ AS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 5 M 53 11 1 NA 24.7 + ND* - 95 95 - $+$ ANT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 5 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - $+$ SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 9 A 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - $+$ SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 A 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - $+$ SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 + 0.4 - 0.4 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.03$ $\pm 0.03$ $\pm 0.03$ p < 0.001 p < 0.001 = 0.002 $= 0.003$ $= 0.003$ $= 0.003$ $= 0.003$ $= 0.004$ $= 0$	ш.	4	≥	-	22.8	36.4	I	1	8	1	40 80	+ INF	-	-	=	-	-	-	Ξ	=	=	-	0
M 59 III II 13.7 13.5 ND* - 100 100 - + AS I I I III I I I I I I I I I 0 M 55 III I NA 24.7 + ND* - 100 100 - 70 - + SE I I I II I I I I I I I I I 1 1 7 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE I I I II II I I I I I I 1 1 7 A 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE I I I II II I I I 1 1 1 9 A 61 V 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - 70 - + SE I I I II II I I I I 1 1 1 9 A 6.00 = 7.0 = 70 = -5.5 $= 0.4 \pm 0.00$ = 0.005 p < 0.005 T = anterior; Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X hear rate); F = f 6TX = graded freadmill exercise ECG; NF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec GTX = graded freadmill exercise ECG; NF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec	M 59 III II 13.7 13.5 ND* - 100 100 100 - $\pm AS$ I I III II I I I II I I I I I I 2 5 5 M 55 III I NA 24.7 $\pm$ ND* - 100 100 100 - $\pm AS$ I I I II I I I I I I I I I I 2 2 6 A 51 IV I 18.9 33.0 $\pm$ ND* 100 - 70 - $\pm SE$ I I I II I I I I I I I I 1 1 1 2 9 9.4 6.7 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 = 0.4 = 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$ p = 0.001 $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.001$ $p = 0.0001$ $p = 0.001$ $p = 0.00$	¥	2	2	-	15.6	30.4	+	I	8	95	80	+ INF	=	-	-	-	-	-	Ξ	-	Ξ	-	6
M 55 III 1 NA 24.7 + ND <sup>6</sup> - 95 95 - +ANT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND <sup>6</sup> 100 - 70 - +SE 1 1 1 11 11 11 1 1 9 4 an $\pm$ SE 1.1 11 11 11 11 1 9 4 $2.4 \pm 6.2$ $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.05$ p < 0.00 $T \equiv$ onterior; Ant Lat = onterolateral; AS = anterospelal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); $F = f$ $T \equiv$ and that = onterolateral; AS = anterospelal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); $F = f$ $T \equiv$ outerior; Ant Lat = onterolateral; AS = anterospectative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = >1 mm depression of ST segment; *	M 55 III 1 NA 24.7 + ND <sup>6</sup> - 95 95 - + ANT 1 1 II 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 5 M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND <sup>6</sup> 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 II 11 1 1 1 1 9 9 an $\pm$ SE 17.4 26.1 + ND <sup>6</sup> 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 II 11 1 1 1 1 9 9,4 6.7 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.4 6.7 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 1.3 p < 0.003 p < 0.001 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 1.3 p < 0.005 $\pm$ 0.0 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 1.3 p < 0.005 p < 0.005 $\pm$ 0.0 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.005 $\pm$ 0.005 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.005 $\pm$ 0.005 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 0.	¥	59	Ξ	=	13.7	13.5	• QN	I	8	8	8	+ <b>v</b> s	-	-	Ξ	=	-	-	Ξ	-	-	-	•
M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - + SE 1 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 1 9 9 6 $\sin \pm SE$ 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 1 19 9.4 $\sin \pm SE$ 1.7.4 26.1 $\pm 0.8$ $\pm 1.3$ $\pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.4$ $\pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.2$ $\pm 0.3$ $\pm 0.005$	<ul> <li>M 61 IV 1 18.9 33.0 + ND* 100 - 70 - +SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 4 6.7 ±0.8 ±1.3</li> <li>an ± SE 17.4 26.1 + ND* 100 - 70 - +SE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9.4 6.7 ±0.8 ±1.3</li> <li>p &lt; 0.001</li> <li>m = anterior, Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); F = fe GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hear inferior; Post te result: Perfusion of each segment was graded between 1 (normal perfusion) and 4 (minimal perfusion). Total perfusion index in the interior. Total perfusion of solution solution index encountered in this series was 14. Thu.</li> </ul>	¥	55	Ξ	-	₹	24.7	+	• QN	1	95	95	+ ANT	-	-	=	-	-	-	=	-	-	-	~
<ul> <li>9.4 6. 17.4 26.1</li> <li>20.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±</li></ul>	<ul> <li>an ± SE 17.4 26.1</li> <li>20.4 6.7 ±0.4 ±0.4</li> <li>20.8 ±1.3 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4 ±0.4</li> <li>p &lt; 0.005</li> <li>p &lt; 0.005</li> <li>p &lt; 0.01</li> <li>anterior; Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); F = fe</li> <li>GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = interior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Heart; iation; Post = postoperative; Post Lat = posterolateral; Pre = presention; AE = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = &gt;1 mm depression of ST segment; ° = int using digitalis; + = positive result; - = negative result. Perfusion of each segment was graded between 1 (normal perfusion) and 4 (minimal perfusion). Total perfusion index in a corres for each of fwe worst perfusion) and 4 (minimal perfusion). Total perfusion index ind</li></ul>	¥	61	≥	-	18.9	33.0	+	• Q	<u>8</u>	I	1	+ SE	-	-	=	=	=	-	Ξ	≡	-	=	0
<ul> <li>±0.4 ±0.4</li> <li>±0.8 ±1.3</li> <li>p &lt; 0.05</li> <li>p &lt; 0.05</li> <li>p &lt; 0.05</li> <li>n = anterior; Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumfex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); F = f &lt; 0.01</li> <li>GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec</li> <li>idition; Post = postoperative; Post Lat = posterolateral; Pre = preoperative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = &gt;1 mm depression of ST segment; *</li> </ul>	$\pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$ p < 0.005 p < 0.005 p < 0.005 p < 0.005 p < 0.001 p < 0.001 p < 0.01 p < 0.001 p > 0.001 p = 0.00		: SE			17.4	26.1																•	4
p < 0.0 T = anterior; Ant Lat = anterolaterol; AS = anteroseptol; CX = circumfex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X hear rate); F = t ; GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec ; GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec ; GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec ; attion; Post = postoperative; Post Lat = posterolateral; Pre = preoperative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = >1 mm depression of ST segment; *	p < 0.003 p < 0.005 p < 0.005 p < 0.001 p < 0.01 p < 0.02 p < 0.01 p < 0.01 p < 0.001 $p = postoperative; Post lat = posterolateral; Pre = preoperative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = not using digitalis; + = positive result; - = negative result. Perfusion of subendocardial between 1 (normal perfusion) and 4 (minimal perfusion). Total perfusion inde:p < 0.01p < 0.01p < 0.01p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p < 0.01p = p = >1 mm depression of ST segment; ^{\circ} = 1p < 0.01p $					<u>+0.8</u>	<u>+1.3</u>																ŦI	Ť
VT = anterior, Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X hear rate); F = 1 ; GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = male; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Hec ciation; Post = postoperative; Post Lat = posterolateral; Pre = presperative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = >1 mm depression of ST segment; *	T = anterior, Ant Lat = anterolateral; AS = anteroseptal; CX = circumflex artery; DIAG = diagonal branch; DP = peak double product (systolic pressure X heart rate); F = fe ; GTX = graded treadmill exercise ECG; INF = inferior; Inf Post = inferoposterior; LAD = left anterior descending artery; M = mole; ND = nondiagnostic; NYHA = New York Heart ciation; Post = postoperative; Post Lat = posterolateral; Pre = preoperative; RCA = right coronary artery; SE = subendocardial; ST Dep = >1 mm depression of ST segment; $^{\circ}$ = ints using digitalis; $+$ = positive result; $-$ = negative result. Perfusion of each segment was graded between 1 (normal perfusion) and 4 (minimal perfusion). Total perfusion inde: m of scores for each of the mycardial segment evaluated. Normal perfusion scintigram would have a score of 5. The worst perfusion index encountered in this series was 14. Thus the score of 5. The worst perfusion index more and evaluated to the series was 14. Thus					٩	0.005																	о́ V d
	ins using anginalis; + - positive result; negative result. Pertusion of each segment was graded betweent i normal pertusion; road pertusion index m of score of 5. The worst perfusion index encountered in this series was 14. Thus 	Ciatio Ciatio	anterio    gra	r; Ant   ded tre	at III e admill e sperative	interolate xercise E 13 Post La	ral; AS CG; INF t = post	II antei II infe terolatei	roseptal; rior; Inf ral; Pre	CX Post	circumfl inferop perative	ex artery; osterior;   ; RCA ==	, DIAG = diago LAD = left anteri right coronary a	inal bra ior desc rtery; S	nch; Df ending E == st	artery; ubendoc	ak doul A	ble pro nale; N ST De		/stolic ondiag	pressur nostic; depres	NYHA sion of	art rate II New ST seg	); F York H ient; •



**FIG. 4.** New York Functional Class before and after coronary bypass graft. Columns indicate mean  $\pm$  s.e.



FIG. 5. Results of graded treadmill exercise before and after coronary bypass graft. GTX duration (bottom right) is expressed in minutes. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  1 s.e.

patient's functional class improved from 3 to 1 postoperatively and his exercise tolerance also improved markedly. We have no explanation for the scintigram findings in this patient. Thus, in two patients with substantial clinical improvement, there was deterioration in the postoperative thallium perfusion scintigrams. The third patient with worsened postoperative MPI had previously undergone triple-vessel coronary bypass graft but continued to have angina. His thallium MPI showed decreased perfusion in the posterior wall. Graft opacification showed patent LAD and RCA grafts with poor distal runoff and narrowing of the anastomotic site in the circumflex graft. He underwent surgical correction of the latter but the symptoms and the MPI worsened after the second operation and he went from functional Class 3 to Class 4. The observed perfusion defect in the posterior wall was more extensive postoperatively.

# DISCUSSION

The most significant findings in this investigation are: first, we have demonstrated, using Tl-201 stress myocardial perfusion imaging, that 70% of the preoperative underperfused myocardial segments showed improved perfusion postoperatively, and that 85% of the patients who submitted to saphenous bypass grafts had demonstrable improvement in regional myocardial perfusion; second, some residual perfusion abnormalities can be seen postoperatively in 60% of the patients submitted to coronary bypass grafts, even in the patients without a previous myocardial infarction; and third, improved, although still abnormal, myocardial scintigrams are usually associated with a striking clinical improvement.

The improvement of regional myocardial perfusion following coronary bypass graft will depend on the increase in regional myocardial flow afforded by a patent saphenous graft, as well as on the potential reversibility of the perfusion defect. Obviously one would not expect fibrotic, scarred muscle to increase its Tl-201 uptake postoperatively. Thus, as expected, we found that patients with previous myocardial infarction had a slightly higher prevalence of postoperative residual abnormalities in their perfusion scintigrams.

There are three areas in this study that require some discussion: a) resting myocardial perfusion scintigrams were not done, b) postoperative cardiac



FIG. 6. Changes of TI-201 scintigrams following coronary bypass graft. In 6A, all segments had abnormal preoperative perfusion. In 6B, all segments had normal preoperative perfusion.

catheterization was not done, and c) inherent problems with Tl-201 perfusion scintigrams.

Resting myocardial perfusion scintigrams probably would have helped us to separate ischemic from infarcted myocardial segments. Even without this information, however, we were able to classify our patients into those with and without a previous myocardial infarction using other criteria. Surprisingly, we found that even in patients with a previous myocardial infarction, postoperative myocardial perfusion images were occasionally completely normal. Thus, in this series, two of three patients with subendocardial infarction, and three of nine patients with transmural infarction, had normal postoperative myocardial perfusion scintigrams. Postoperative cardiac catheterization would have permitted us to correlate our functional assessment of myocardial perfusion with anatomic graft patency. Although this information would have been of interest, one cannot estimate flow rates in patent grafts with conventional angiographic methods and, furthermore, one patent graft may perfuse a variably sized region of the myocardium via anastomosing channels, some of which may not be visualized by angiography. A direct assessment of flow rates in the grafts in the late postoperative period would have been of considerable interest, but the methods of making such measurements are not available in our institution (16.17).

Although the thallium perfusion scintigram does provide an index of regional myocardial perfusion, several studies have demonstrated that the sensitivity of this procedure does have significant limitations (7,13). For example, only about  $\frac{2}{3}$  of patients with angiographically documented coronary disease have abnormal exercise thallium perfusion scintigrams. In addition, experimental studies suggest that a sizable perfusion deficit must be present before the abnormality is detectable with a perfusion scintigram (13). Furthermore, the myocardial perfusion image assesses only relative rather than absolute changes in perfusion. Lastly, the level of exercise can affect the MPI, more intense exercise tending to accentuate perfusion differences. It has been reported that there is a 10% nonreproducibility between the Tl-201 exercise scintigrams performed at different times in the same patients (18). Since postoperatively our patients were able to exercise more strenuously, one would expect to find more pronounced perfusion abnormalities in the absence of patent bypass grafts. This study has demonstrated that increases in myocardial perfusion following coronary bypass procedures are of sufficient magnitude to be easily detectable with thallium perfusion scintigrams.

Previous studies using potassium-43 (9) and rubidium-81 (10) also noted improved regional

myocardial perfusion in most patients following coronary bypass graft. The present study confirms this experience using TI-201—an imaging agent that is probably superior to either potassium-43 or rubidium-81.

In summary, regional myocardial perfusion improves in most patients following coronary bypass grafting. Furthermore, striking clinical improvement occurs even though postoperative myocardial perfusion images are still abnormal—although improved —in most patients.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Program Project Grant HL-14388, Grant RR-59 from the General Clinical Research Centers Program, Division of Research Resources NIH, and by Research Career Development Ward HL-00328 from the NHLI.

The authors are grateful to J. Christie, M.D., for support and encouragement, to A. Mark, M.D., for his critical review of manuscript, and to Ruth Bonar, for secretarial assistance.

#### REFERENCES

1. STILES QR, LINDESMITH GG, TUCKER BL, et al: Longterm follow-up of patients with coronary artery bypass grafts. *Circulation* 54: (Suppl 3) 32-34, 1976

2. TECKLENBERG PL, ALDERMAN EL, MILLER C, et al: Changes in survival and symptom relief in a longitudinal study of patients after bypass surgery. *Circulation* 52: (Suppl 1) 98-104, 1975

3. MATLOF HJ, ALDERMAN EL, WEXLER L, et al: What is the relationship between the response of angina to coronary surgery and anatomical success? *Circulation* 48: (Suppl 3) 168-172, 1973

4. SHELDON WC, RINCON G, EFFLER DB, et al: Vein graft surgery for coronary artery disease. Survival and angiographic results in 1,000 patients. *Circulation* 48: (Suppl 3) 184–189, 1973

5. BOURASSA MG, GOULET C, LESPÉRANCE J: Progression of coronary arterial disease after aortocoronary bypass grafts. *Circulation* 48: (Suppl 3) 127-131, 1973

6. ZARET BL, STRAUSS HW, MARTIN ND, et al: Noninvasive regional myocardial perfusion with radioactive potassium. Study of patients at rest, with exercise and during angina pectoris. N Engl J Med 288: 809-812, 1973

7. BAILEY IK, GRIFFITH LSC, ROULEAU J, et al: TI-201 myocardial perfusion imaging at rest and during exercise. *Circulation* 55: 79–87, 1977

8. POHOST GM, ZIP LM, MOORE RH, et al: Differentiation of transiently ischemic from infarcted myocardium by serial imaging after a single dose of thallium-201. Circulation 55: 294-302, 1977

9. ZARET BL, MARTIN ND, MCGOWAN RL, et al: Rest and exercise potassium-43 myocardial perfusion imaging for the noninvasive evaluation of aortocoronary bypass surgery. *Circulation* 49: 688–695, 1974

10. LURIE AJ, SALEL AF, BERMAN DS, et al: Determination of improved myocardial perfusion after aortocoronary bypass surgery by exercise rubidium-81 scintigraphy. *Circulation* 54: (Suppl 3) 20-23, 1976

11. BRADLEY-MOORE PR, LEBOWITZ E, GREENE MW, et al:

Thallium-201 for medical use. II. Biologic behavior. J Nucl Med 16: 156-160, 1975

12. STRAUSS HW, HARRISON K, LANGAN JK, et al: Thallium-201 for myocardial imaging. Relation of thallium-201 to regional myocardial perfusion. *Circulation* 51: 641-645, 1975

13. MUELLER TM, MARCUS ML, EHRHARDT JC, et al: Limitations of thallium-201 myocardial perfusion scintigrams. Circulation 54: 640-646, 1976

14. SHEFFIELD LT: Graded exercise test (GTX) for ischemic heart disease. A submaximal test to a target heart rate in exercise testing and training of apparently healthy individuals. In *A Handbook for Physicians*. American Heart Association, The Committee on Exercise, 1972, pp 35-38

15. HUNTSBERGER DV, LEVERTON PE: Statistical inference in the biomedical sciences. New York, Allyn and Bacon, 1970, pp 89–93

16. COLE JS, HARTLEY CJ: The pulsed doppler coronary artery catheter. Circulation 56: 18-25, 1977

17. SMITH HC, STRUM RE, WOOD EH: Videodensitometric system for measurement of vessel blood flow, particularly in the coronary arteries in man. *Amer J Cardiol* 32: 144– 150, 1973

18. McLAUGHLIN PR, MARTIN RP, DOHERTY P, et al: Reproducibility of thallium-201 myocardial imaging. *Circulation* 55: 497–503, 1977

## Accepted Articles to Appear in Upcoming Issues

In Vivo Distribution of Carbon-11 Phenytoin and Its Major Metabolite, and Their Use in Scintigraphic Imaging. Accepted 1/4/78.

S. A. Stavchansky, R. S. Tilbury, J. M. McDonald, C. T. Ting, and H. B. Kostenbauder

- Splenic Accumulation of Tc-99m Diphosphonate In Thalassemia Major (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 1/4/78.
- Robert B. Howman-Giles, David L. Gilday, Judith M. Ash, and Robert G. Brown

Accidental Ingestion of Tc-99m in Breast Milk by a 10-Week-Old Child. Accepted 1/4/78.

Warren F. Rumble, Roger L. Aamodt, Alfred E. Jones, Robert I. Henkin, and Gerald S. Johnston

Femoral Head Activity in Perthes' Disease: Clinical Evaluation of a Quantitative Technique for Estimating Tracer Uptake. Accepted 1/5/78.

T. R. Morley\*, M. D. Short, and D. J. Dowsett

A Simple Way to Obtain a Composite Video Output Signal from the Gamma-11 Computing System. Accepted 1/10/78.

Robert L. Richardson

Skeletal Uptake of Pyrophosphate Labeled with Technetium-95m and Technetium-96, as Evaluated by Autoradiography. Accepted 1/14/78.

A. Guillemart, J-C Besnard, A. Le Pape, G. Galy, and F. Fetissoff

Ga-67 and Fe-59 Distributions in Mice. Accepted 1/18/78.

Robert G. Sephton, George S. Hodgson, Sunil De Abrew, and Alan W. Harris

Phantom Kidney in Tc-99m DTPA Studies of Renal Blood Flow (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 1/19/78.

Zvi Oster

Reply. Accepted 1/19/78.

Edwin R. Holmes

Bone Scintigraphy in Scurvy. Accepted 1/19/78.

Dov Front, Ruth Hardoff, Joseph Levy, and Avraham Benderly

Subcutaneous Isoproterenol: A Convenient Rat Model for Early Detection of Myocardial Necrosis. Accepted 1/30/78.

Hank F. Kung and Monte Blau

Stomach Artifact in Bone Scintigraphy (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 2/7/78.

Dov Front, Ruth Hardoff, and Nabil Mashour

The Ventilation Study: Before and After the Perfusion Lung Scan? (Letter to the Editor) Accepted 217/78.

G. Coates and E. S. Garnett

Misuse of Statistics—Correlation Coefficient (r) Thy Heart is Treacherous. (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 3/1/78.

Louis A Perez, Carl J. Collica and Roy N. Barnett

Reply. Accepted 3/1/78.

Glen W. Hamilton, David L. Williams, and J. Ward Kennedy

Prominent Motion of a Meckel's Diverticulum (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 3/5/78.

Frederick N. Hegge

Rating of the Radiopharmaceuticals for Brain Imaging (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 3/7/78.

Ramesh Chandra

Reply. Accepted 3/7/78.

Thomas P. Haynie and Howard J. Glenn

Effect of Phenobarbital on Liver Uptake of Ga-67 (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 3/7/78.

Todd W. Hubbard, Steven M. Larson and David R. Allen

Possible Tumor Localization of Tc-99m-Labeled Liposomes: Effects of Lipid

Composition, Charge, and Liposome Size. Accepted 3/7/78. Vernon J. Richardson, K. Jeyasingh, R. F. Jewkes, Brenda E. Ryman, and M.H.N. Tattersall

Myocardial Blood Flow as Measured by Fractional Uptake of Rubidium-84 and Microspheres. Accepted 3/10/78.

Suzanne B. Knoebel, Daniel K. Lowe, D. Eugene Lovelace, and Julius J.

Friedman

Documentation of Adrenal Cyst by Adrenal Scanning Techniques (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 3/21/78.

Milton D. Gross, John E. Freitas, and Terry M. Silver

Optimization of Analog-Circuit Motion Correction for Liver Scintigraphy. Accepted 3/27/78.

N. H. Baimel and M. J. Bronskill

Coincidence Assay Techniques-Hg-197 (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 4/3/78.

F. R. Hudson, S. L. Waters, and J. B. Davis

Reply. Accepted 4/3/78.

K. J. van Damme

Collimator Evaluation for Tl-201 Myocardial Imaging. Accepted 4/7/78. Hiroshi Nishiyama, Donald W. Romhilt, Craig C. Williams, Robert J. Adolph, Vincent J. Sodd, James W. Blue, Jeannine T. Lewis, Marjorie Gabel, and Johanna M. van der Bel-Kahn

Comparison of the Anger Tomographic Scanner and the 15-in. Scintillation Camera for Gallium Imaging. Accepted 4/11/78.

Mary F. Hauser and Alexander Gottschalk

In-111 Transferrin Labeling Studied by Perturbed Angular Correlations. Accepted 4/11/78.

P. W. Martin and K. Skov

A Model for the Radionuclide Measurement of Ascitic Fluid Volumes. Accepted 4/13/78.

William D. Kaplan, Michael A. Davis, Roger F. Uren, Tanya Wisotsky, and Margaret LaTegola

The Specificity of Pyrophosphate Myocardial Scintigrams in Patients with Prior Myocardial Infarction (Concise Communication). Accepted 4/19/78.

Elias H. Botvinick, David M. Shames, D. Norman Sharpe, Steven C. Klausner, Jeffrey A. Werner, Kanu Chatterjee, and William W. parmley

Indications for TI-201 Scintigraphy Revisited (Letter to the Editor). Accepted 4/27/78.

J. A. Bianco and R. B. Shafer