appear as "hot spot" loculations on the scan. These focal collections of radioactivity remain despite maneuvers and changes in patient position (supine, prone, recumbent, sitting, and standing positions were used to reposition the radiocolloid).

MANUEL VIDER
FRANK H. DELAND
YOSH MARUYAMA
University of Kentucky Medical Center
Lexington, Kentucky

REFERENCES

1. TAYLOR A, BAILY NA, HALPERN SE, et al: Loculation as a contraindication to intracavitary ^{32}P-chromic phosphate therapy. *J Nucl Med* 16: 318–319, 1975

2. TULLY TE, GOLDBERG ME, LOKEN MK: The use of ^{99m}Tc-sulfur colloid to assess the distribution of ^{32}P-chromic phosphate. *J Nucl Med* 15: 190–191, 1974

3. MEYERS MA: Peritoneography. Normal and pathological anatomy. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 117: 353–365, 1973

4. MEYERS MA: Metastatic seeding along the small bowel mesentery. Roentgen features. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 123: 67–73, 1975

AUTHORS' REPLY

We agree with Drs. Vider, DeLand, and Maruyama that "uneven distribution" is common, but we do not equate uneven distribution with loculation. The fact remains that the purpose of administering ^{32}P-chromic phosphate or ^{198}Au-colloid is to deliver a reasonably homogeneous absorbed radiation dose throughout the peritoneal space. Furthermore, injection into a small loculated space could result in tissue necrosis. Thus, we believe it prudent to evaluate the peritoneal space before injecting a therapeutic dose of radiocolloid.

Ovarian carcinoma is frequently bilateral and microscopic seeding may occur on both sides of the pelvis and abdomen. Intracavitary therapy in patients with ovarian carcinoma, therefore, is more likely to be successful if there is wider dispersion than that indicated in Fig. 2 of Dr. Vider's letter. In our institution, surgeons routinely place drains on both sides of the abdomen of patients with ovarian carcinoma selected for ^{32}P-chromic phosphate therapy. If the preliminary ^{99m}Tc scan indicates failure of the pharmaceutical to cross the midline, assuming no loculation, then the therapy dose is divided and administered through both drains, thus achieving a much wider dispersion. In summary, we suggest that intracavitary ^{99m}Tc-sulfur colloid administration prior to intracavitary therapy is useful not only to exclude loculation, but also to provide the basis for optimal intracavitary therapy.

ANDREW TAYLOR
WILLIAM L. ASHBURN
SAMUEL E. HALPERN
NORMAN A. BAILY
Veterans Administration Hospital
San Diego, California

PREPARATION OF ^{99m}Tc-FIBRINOGEN

We wish to comment on the labeling of fibrinogen with ^{99m}Tc as reported by Wong and Mishkin (*1*). Preparation of fibrinogen labeled with various emitters for in vivo studies is a significant objective (*2,2a*). However, studies in this laboratory have shown that the most important consideration in preparing radiolabeled fibrinogen is that the product must retain its structural integrity and biologic activity (*3–5*). Fibrinogen is one of the most sensitive plasma proteins, with a pronounced tendency to aggregate spontaneously (*6*). It must be handled with extreme care throughout isolation, purification, and radiolabeling.

According to present concepts, the native configuration of a protein is most stable under the pH conditions, ionic strength, and temperature associated with the native state. Changing those conditions may result in reversible or irreversible denaturation of the protein. Irreversible denaturation will also occur if the protein's primary structure is modified or if the rearrangement of the denatured state into the native configuration requires high energy, as in some cases of protein aggregation (*6*). Mihalyi has concluded from optical rotation studies that the pH zone of stability of fibrinogen is quite narrow, extending from the isoelectric point of 5.5 up to about pH 10 (*7*). Denaturation takes place readily at pH values beyond this range. Thus, the method reported by Wong and Mishkin (*1*), in which fibrinogen is subjected to a medium with pH below 3, should not be recommended. In fact, their own results suggest irreversible denaturation of the ^{99m}Tc-fibrinogen, as indicated by the precipitation of protein when their ^{99m}Tc-fibrinogen solution is readjusted to pH 7, which is within the physiologic pH range. This denaturation is probably caused not by the pH readjustment with buffer or plasma, but rather by the labeling conditions. The use of acidic media for labeling proteins often re-