

SELECTIVE DISTRIBUTION OF CAVAL BLOOD

In their article "Selective distribution of caval blood within the lungs," El-Zayat and Razzak conclude that the distribution of ¹³¹I-MAA in the lungs of a majority of recumbent subjects depends on whether the ¹³¹I-MAA enters the heart via the superior or inferior vena cava (1). This is based on the idea that streamline flow may prevent complete mixing of the two blood streams even after passing through two chambers of the heart. The proof of this idea would be worthwhile, and El-Zayat and Razzak present an interesting experimental technique for doing so. However, an analysis of the data contained in their article (in their Table 1) does not support their conclusion.

It is true that the ratio of activity in the upper lobes to that in the lower lobes (UL/LL) of several patients appears to increase when the ¹³¹I-MAA is injected via the superior vena cava rather than the inferior vena cava. In fact, the mean change of the ratio for all 20 subjects is +25%. But when the standard deviation of the changes about the mean is calculated by well-known methods, it is found to

be $\pm 53\%$. Thus, the $+25\% \pm 53\%$ change is not significantly different from no change at all.

The ratio (UL/LL) varies among the subjects from 0.50 to 20.0. This is a very large variation for a normal population. Some of this variation might come from differences in detector placement which could yield improper ratios. It would be interesting to repeat this project with a gamma camera with areas of interest to avoid the positioning problem and to be sure of selecting a normal population of subjects.

In conclusion, the phenomenon of selective distribution of caval blood within the lungs may exist, but the article by El-Zayat and Razzak is inconclusive in establishing that fact.

JAMES C. EHRHARDT
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa

REFERENCE

1. EL-ZAYAT A, RAZZAK MA: Selective distribution of caval blood within the lungs. *J Nucl Med* 13: 616-620, 1972

THE AUTHOR'S REPLY

We agree that the gamma camera would help in minimizing the positioning problems, but it cannot abolish the differences in the counting rate caused by the variations in the thickness of the lung tissue seen by the detector, nor that caused by differences in the thickness of the tissues interposed between the lung and the detector. This is why we had to rely on a ratio rather than the absolute counting rate. Furthermore, the test was repeated without the least change in patient position or detector placement, thus using the patient as his own control.

Regarding the type of subjects included, they were chosen after thorough examination to exclude any cardiac and/or pulmonary disease that might possibly affect the results obtained.

As stated in the paper, the existence of selective distribution of caval blood within the lungs appears to be supported by the results which showed a significant positive increase in the ratio of the counting rate in 65% of the 20 patients examined in the recumbent position. The mean for the increase in the ratio was calculated in these 13 cases and not in the whole group as suggested by Ehrhardt. Finally, an explanation was given for the results obtained in the remaining seven subjects and which differed from the rest of the group.

MUHAMMAD A. RAZZAK
Cairo University
Cairo, A.R.E.

CALL A SPADE A SPADE

While there is continuing debate on the accuracy, indications, and techniques of so-called "blood flow studies" of organs such as brain, kidneys, and liver, most workers in the field agree that these procedures have a definite value in the diagnostic workup of many patients. However, the battle about the proper name for these studies goes on. If they are called "flow studies", physiologically inclined scien-

tists get very indignant and point out, correctly, that we are far from measuring blood flow in terms of milliliters per unit time per organ mass. If we speak about "scintiangiograms" or "radionuclide angiograms" our colleagues, the radiologic angiographers, get equally indignant and point out, again with full justification, that our crude images do not visualize individual blood vessels. In addition, if you use the