Frederik L. Giesel, MD, MBA Klaus Kopka, PhD University Hospital Heidelberg Department of Nuclear Medicine & German Cancer Research Center Division of Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry&

69120 Heidelberg Germany Email: frederik@egiesel.com

REPLY: Thank you for allowing us to respond to Drs. Hans-Jürgen Machulla and Ehab Al-Momani, who caution readers about the recently established pre-clinical utility of [¹⁸F]AlF²⁺ as alternative radiometal-like moiety for low temperature radiolabeling of radiometal complexing agent containing PSMA-ligands for prostate cancer PET imaging. We thank also on their comments to our articles (1,2) questioning the need of radiofluorinated PSMA-PET tracers in addition to the Gallium-68 labeled versions already available as theranostic ligands and appreciate their perspective on ¹⁸F-labeled PSMA-tracers. Indeed, in recent years several ¹⁸F-labelled PSMA radioligands apart of mentioned [18F]AIF2+-labeled variantshave already been introduced preclinically as well as clinically (3-5). Particular the work by Szabo et al. (4) presented the clinical introduction of ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, the improved second generation of ¹⁸F-PSMA-ligands, in the clinical setting which was then followed by the clinical introduction of the further optimized next generation PET tracer ¹⁸F-PSMA-1007 by Giesel et al. (5). Both ¹⁸F-labeled ligands already entered prospective clinical trials which highlights the obvious high potential of these radiofluorinated tracers for the primary diagnosis of prostate cancer and detection of relapse by means of PET/CT and PET/MRI. In this connection the GMP-compliant procedures for the GMP-compliant production of mentioned radiofluorinated PSMA ligands have also already been established to cover all regulatory prerequisites. Anyhow, [¹⁸F]AIF²⁺ labeled versions for PSMA ligands, originally intended for radiometal labelling (e.g. ⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁷⁷Lu), themselves after sophisticated and successful radiolabeling, again have to be carefully preclinically evaluated like every new PSMA tracer bearing a new radiolabel moiety, in this case especially concerning potential defluorination in vivo. However, until today only limited preclinical results in vitro and in vivo are available for [¹⁸F]AIF²⁺ labelled PSMA ligands. The major concern is the necessary elucidation of maintained binding affinity and internalization properties after [¹⁸F]AIF²⁺ labeling of the theranostic PSMA ligand of interest and finally the examination of the pharmacokinetic properties in vivo. In this respect we are looking forward to seeing first-in-man data with [18F]AIF2+ labeled versions of PSMA ligands proving their clinical impact including acceptance to be confirmed by the necessary urooncological referrals. In any case, we strongly appreciate the comments of Drs. Hans-Jürgen Machulla and Ehab Al-Momani and agree with their statement, that ¹⁸F-labeled PSMA ligands are essential in the future not only because of the advantageous nuclear properties of fluorine-18 but also to cover the clinical demand in daily patient care by offering large scale batches of the respective ¹⁸F-tracer. Anyhow, we are deeply convinced that depending on the hospital and PET center environment and infrastructure in respective countries with reduced clinical demand, ⁶⁸Ga-labelled PSMA ligands will still play a clinical role in the future.

- (1) Kesch C, Kratochwil C, Mier W, Kopka K, Giesel FL. ⁶⁸Ga or ¹⁸F for Prostate Cancer Imaging?. *J Nucl Med*, 2017, 58(5):687-688.
- (2) Sterzing F, Kratochwil C, Fiedler H, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT: a new technique with high potential for the radiotherapeutic management of prostate cancer patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2016; 43(1):34-41.
- (3) Cho SY, Gage KL, Mease RC, Senthamizhchelvan S, Holt DP, Jeffrey-Kwanisai A, Endres CJ, Dannals RF, Sgouros G, Lodge M, Eisenberger MA, Rodriguez R, Carducci MA, Rojas C, Slusher. Biodistribution, tumor detection, and radiation dosimetry of ¹⁸F-DCFBC, a low-molecularweight inhibitor of prostate-specific membrane antigen, in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. J Nucl Med. 2012 Dec;53(12):1883-91
- (4) Szabo Z, Mena E, Rowe SP, Plyku D, Nidal R, Eisenberger MA, Antonarakis ES, Fan H, Dannals RF, Chen Y, Mease RC, Vranesic M, Bhatnagar A, Sgouros G, Cho SY, Pomper MG. Szabo Z, Mena E, Rowe SP, Plyku D, Nidal R, Eisenberger MA, Antonarakis ES, Fan H, Dannals RF, Chen Y, Mease RC, Vranesic M, Bhatnagar A, Sgouros G, Cho SY, Pomper MG. Mol Imaging Biol. 2015 Aug;17(4):565-74. doi: 10.1007/s11307-015-0850-8.
- (5) Giesel FL, Hadaschik B, Cardinale J, Radtke J, Vinsensia M, Lehnert W, Kesch C, Tolstov Y, Singer S, Grabe N, Duensing S, Schäfer M, Neels OC, Mier W, Haberkorn U, Kopka K, Kratochwil C. F-18 labelled PSMA-1007: biodistribution, radiation dosimetry and histopathological validation of tumor lesions in prostate cancer patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2017 Apr;44(4):678-688. doi: 10.1007/s00259-016-3573-4. Epub 2016 Nov 26.