Journal of Nuclear Medicine, published on August 17, 2017 as doi:10.2967/jnumed.117.199158

## Somatostatin Antagonists for Radioligand Therapy of Non-Endocrine Tumors

Elif Hindié<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Zanotti-Fregonara<sup>2</sup>, Clément Morgat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, CHU Bordeaux, UMR-CNRS 5287, LabEx TRAIL, F-33000, Bordeaux,

France.

<sup>2</sup> Houston Methodist Research Institute, Houston, Texas, USA.

Corresponding author: Pr. Elif Hindié Hôpital Haut-Lévêque Avenue Magellan; 33604 Pessac; France <u>elif.hindie@chu-bordeaux.fr</u>

Radiolabeled somatostatin agonists are valuable tools for imaging and treating neuroendocrine tumors. Although somatostatin receptors are also expressed in lymphomas, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma and small-cell lung cancer, imaging trials showed that somatostatin agonists are not accurate enough for either staging or effectively treating these tumors (*1-4*). On the other hand, antagonists, such as JR11, may display higher occupancy of somatostatin receptors and higher residence time in tumor tissue. Indeed, a small pilot study in patients with advanced neuroendocrine tumors found that <sup>177</sup>Lu-DOTA-JR11 delivers tumor doses that are ~3.5 times higher than those obtained with <sup>177</sup>Lu-DOTATATE (*5*).

The recent article by Reubi opens new exciting avenues (*6*). Using sst2 in vitro autoradiography on tissue sections, Reubi and colleagues found that <sup>125</sup>I-JR11 was associated with a significantly higher labeling intensity (sometimes x10) than the agonist <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>3</sup>-octreotide, not only in classical endocrine tumors, but also in breast cancer, renal cell cancer, non-Hodgkin lymphoma and small cell lung cancer (*6*).

Labeling intensity was however highly variable (e.g., from <1000 to >10,000 dpm/mg in breast cancer). A high intensity (>4,000 dpm/mg) was found in about one third of samples of breast cancer (5/13), renal cell cancer (5/12) and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (5/15) (Tables 1-3 in Reubi et al.) (6). Therefore, selected patients may benefit from peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT), and a more accurate characterization of

the individual tumor samples reported by Reubi and colleagues would have been useful for identifying these patients. Notably, whether the sample was obtained at initial diagnosis or from a pretreated patient, from primary or metastatic tumor, and the tumor characteristic (e.g. estrogen receptor status of breast cancer cases; Fuhrman grade of renal cell cancer; subtypes of non-Hodgkin lymphoma cases; ...).

Moreover, antagonists significantly bind to peritumoral vessels and this might allow for additional targeting during PRRT. Interestingly, even peritumoral vessels that were unlabeled by the agonist displayed strong labeling with the antagonists (Tables 1 and 2) (6). Do the authors have an explanation for these findings? It would be interesting to know whether sst2a immunohistochemistry was performed on these tumors and how immunostaining correlated with autoradiography intensity.

In some tumors (e.g. colon cancer), antagonists did not bind to cancer cells but still bound to sst2 receptors in peritumoral vessels, lymphatic follicles and mucosa (Supplemental Table 1) (*6*). Comparing the uptake by healthy and inflammatory tissues with antagonists and agonists is then also important for understanding the relative merits of each class of compounds. This information should be accessible to the authors, who previously analyzed the uptake of agonists (*7*).

Reubi and colleagues pointed out that, in many cases, labeling of the tumoral sample was heterogeneous (6). Heterogeneity in tumor targeting may drive the choice of the radionuclide to be used and may also warrant dual-targeting. For example, combining sst2 and CD20 targeting in non-Hodgkin lymphomas might further increase efficacy compared to CD20 targeting alone. Also, while small cell lung cancer displayed strong labeling with the somatostatin antagonist (6), the presence of other targets, such as CXCR4 (8), may allow for additional targeting. Finally, GRP-R is highly expressed in ERpositive breast cancer (9). Since Reubi and colleagues pleaded for multireceptor targeting in breast cancer (10), we would like to solicit their opinion on the complementary role between sst2 and GRP-R targeting.

## **References:**

1. Ivancević V, Wörmann B, Nauck C, et al. <u>Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy in the</u> <u>staging of lymphomas.</u> *Leuk Lymphoma*. 1997;26:107-114.

2. Albérini JL, Meunier B, Denzler B, et al. <u>Somatostatin receptor in breast cancer and axillary nodes: study with scintigraphy, histopathology and receptor autoradiography.</u> *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2000;61:21-32.

3. Freudenberg LS, Gauler T, Görges R, et al. <u>Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy in</u> advanced renal cell carcinoma. Results of a phase II-trial of somatostatine analogue therapy in patients with advanced RCC. *Nuklearmedizin.* 2008;47:127-131.

4. Sollini M, Farioli D, Froio A, et al. <u>Brief report on the use of radiolabeled somatostatin</u> <u>analogs for the diagnosis and treatment of metastatic small-cell lung cancer patients.</u> *J Thorac Oncol.* 2013;8:1095-1101.

5. Wild D, Fani M, Fischer R, et al. <u>Comparison of somatostatin receptor agonist and antagonist for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy: a pilot study.</u> *J Nucl Med.* 2014;55:1248-1252.

6. Reubi JC, Waser B, Mäcke H, Rivier J. <u>Highly Increased <sup>125</sup>I-JR11 Antagonist Binding</u> <u>In Vitro Reveals Novel Indications for sst2 Targeting in Human Cancers.</u> *J Nucl Med.* 2017;58:300-306.

7. Reubi JC, Laissue J, Waser B, Horisberger U, Schaer JC. <u>Expression of somatostatin</u> receptors in normal, inflamed, and neoplastic human gastrointestinal tissues. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1994;733:122-137.

8. Lapa C, Lückerath K, Rudelius M, et al. [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Pentixafor-PET/CT for imaging of chemokine receptor 4 expression in small cell lung cancer--initial experience. Oncotarget. 2016;7:9288-95.

9. Morgat C, MacGrogan G, Brouste V, et al. <u>Expression of Gastrin-Releasing Peptide</u> <u>Receptor (GRPR) in Breast Cancer and its Association with Pathological, Biological and</u> <u>Clinical Parameters: A Study of 1432 Primary Tumors.</u> J Nucl Med. 2017 Mar 9. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.116.188011. [Epub ahead of print]

10. Reubi C, Gugger M, Waser B. <u>Co-expressed peptide receptors in breast cancer as a molecular basis for in vivo multireceptor tumour targeting.</u> *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2002;29:855-862.