Will SPECT/CT Cameras soon be able to display Absorbed Doses?

Dosimetry from Single Activity Concentration Measurements.

Hänscheid Heribert and Lassmann Michael

Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Word count: Text and References: 1560

Disclosure: Both authors declare no conflict of interests

Keywords: Endoradiotherapy, Absorbed Dose Estimate, Single Sample Dosimetry

Running Title: Single Time Point Dosimetry

Corresponding author:

Heribert Hänscheid, PhD

Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Würzburg,

Oberdürrbacher Straße 6

97080 Würzburg, Germany

Fax: +49 931 201 6 444 00, Phone: +49 931 201 35533

Email: haenscheid h@ukw.de

Many nuclear medicine physicians treating patients with radiopharmaceuticals have an

ambivalent relationship to dosimetry. On the one hand, they appreciate that, in addition to

the visual impression of retention, they have objective information on the absorbed doses

actually imparted to target tissue and healthy organs at risk. On the other hand, they usually

omit dosimetry because they want to avoid additional burden on the patient, they do not

have trained staff and expertise available, or because they consider the effort-to-benefit ratio

as insufficient. In fact, it is very challenging providing dosimetry with the highest accuracy to

a majority of patients in daily clinical practice (1).

Theranostics is a frequently used term these days. However, talking only about it does not improve patient care. For personalized treatment, dosimetry data will have to be collected regularly from many patients (2). Data on the influence of absorbed doses on the response and toxicity of treatment with radiopharmaceuticals, which are actually a prerequisite for evidence-based individualized therapy, are still lacking for many applications. Such data would not only be useful for the individual patient, but also, for example, to collect data beyond the activities administered to describe the dose dependence of potentially radiation-related secondary malignancies, or to optimize a treatment method. For a treatment modality, for which the ratio of absorbed doses in tumors and critical organs turns out to be much higher in the first treatment cycle, the dosage regimen should be adjusted accordingly.

What needs to be done to perform dosimetry? The absorbed dose is defined as the energy imparted by ionizing radiation per unit tissue mass. Neglecting energy deposition by radiation originating from decays outside the volume of interest, the total energy imparted is the product of the average energy deposited per radioactive decay and the number of decays in the tissue. While the energy release per decay is known from the physical properties of the isotope, the number of decays can only be determined by measurement. Repeated measurements over several days are usually necessary to accurately capture an individual activity kinetics, that is, the time function of the activity concentration in a tissue. The number of decays per unit tissue mass is then obtained by integrating the activity concentration function over time. The procedure is so complex that considerably simplified procedures are required for routine dosimetry in patients.

In this issue, Jackson et al. (3) describe a method to estimate radiation absorbed doses in accumulating tissues after treatment with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 from a single

quantifying measurement of the three-dimensional activity distribution in the patient. The publication follows similar efforts for other frequently used radiopharmaceuticals (4-8), aimed at making absorbed doses assessable for an increasing number of patients with reasonable accuracy and, except for a single imaging procedure, which must be performed anyway for medical indication, very little additional effort.

Can such a simplified dosimetry from one measurement only work at all?

Mathematically, the problem is underdetermined. A single measurement can only fix one of several free parameters that define real activity kinetics. Therefore, for meaningful absorbed dose estimates, certain characteristics of the kinetics under consideration must be known and, within certain limits, must be uniform among the individuals concerned. This is often the case and is a prerequisite for being able to meaningfully indicate average dose coefficients per administered activity in nuclear medicine diagnostics or per incorporated activity in radiation protection.

For individualizing dosimetry in patients, however, measurements are indispensable as fixed absorbed dose coefficients can lead to considerable errors if major deviations from the expected biokinetic behavior occur. A simple example where one measurement is sufficient to precisely define the biokinetics is the dosimetry in healthy individuals after accidental incorporation of ¹³¹I, radioiodine. While thyroid iodine uptake may vary depending on individual iodine supply, the effective half-life of radioiodine in the thyroid gland is known to be about 7.3 days, resulting from the fact that the biological half-life is an order of magnitude longer than the physical half-life. This no longer applies in hyperthyroid patients with short biological half-life. The kinetics is then defined by two free parameters, the maximum uptake and the effective half-life in the thyroid gland.

However, after observing high correlations of readings at four and eight days after activity

administration with the calculated doses after complete dosimetry in patients with benign thyroid disease, Bockisch et al. (4) provided empirical factors applicable to deduce thyroid absorbed doses from a single uptake measurement after four or eight days.

The observation in (4) can be substantiated mathematically from a property of mono-exponential decay functions. Within a time interval of 0.75 to 2.5 times the effective half-life, which is favorable for the measurement, the time integral can be deduced with less than 10% error from only one single activity quantification and its time after the administration (5). Deviations of the biokinetics from a mono-exponential decay function e.g. due to a second component resulting in a bi-exponential decay function potentially increase the error of the dose estimate, but does not generally prevent useful results (7,8).

Since the decay function values are only representative of the time integral in this time window, the half-life must lie within a known limited range in order to be able to select a valid measurement time. In radioiodine therapy of benign thyroid diseases, effective half-lives typically range between three and seven days, setting the preferable time point of measurement to six or seven days after the administration. Earlier times of measurement are to be preferred for therapies of neuroendocrine tumors with somatostatin analogues labelled with ¹⁷⁷Lu (7) or ⁹⁰Y (8), especially if the absorbed dose in the kidneys is to be measured. For measurements outside the valid time window, the method is not useful as the errors increase rapidly. Dose estimates can then only be made based on empirical knowledge, e.g. about the typical effective half-life in a tissue (6).

The method described in (3) for ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 is also based on empirical data on biokinetics. Time integrals of activity concentrations and absorbed doses in tissues are estimated from single measurements by factors deduced empirically by averaging

observations in patients. Such an approach leads to good results if the inter-individual scattering of biokinetic parameters is small. The factors derived by Jackson et al. (3) show a low variance, especially when the time of measurement is suitably chosen. For ¹⁷⁷Lu- PSMA-617, too, a dominant decay component is likely to be present in most tissues. The effect described above, that in a given time window the measured values are representative for the time integral, is also present in this work, even if the mathematical background as described by Hänscheid et al. (5,7) and Madsen et al. (8) is not explicitly used. Depending on the half-life of the dominant component, its integral can be determined with a small error if the measurement time is suitably selected, which leads to a small scatter of the factors. Dispersion is larger for early or late measurements.

It should be mentioned that the data presented by Jackson et al. (3) and their applicability cannot yet be considered as confirmed due to the methodology used. The empirical factors were derived mono-centrically from a small number of patients, excluding outliers, and then tested for applicability in the same patient group. The accuracy, therefore, may be overestimated for statistical reasons. The validity of these factors and their applicability to patients of other centers undergoing PSMA-targeted radiotherapy must be independently verified, especially if ligands other than ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA- 617 are to be used.

Nonetheless, another procedure for routine dosimetry in everyday clinical practice with a radiopharmaceutical of increasing importance is presented. Although, theoretically, dose estimates based on a single measurement can give erroneous results for a few individuals with uncommon biokinetics, it is useful for a majority of patients to apply the available methods to generally collect dosimetry data. Ideally, the proposed approaches should be validated and evaluated independently and the most appropriate

procedures should be recommended in the respective guidelines to ensure consistency and comparable results. A suitable and appropriately corrected SPECT/CT imaging with a traceable quantification would then not only enable the display of activity concentrations, modern cameras could use these methods to directly display absorbed doses, which would be an important step towards a general individualized theranostics.

References

- **1.** Stabin MG, Madsen MT, Zaidi H. Personalized dosimetry is a must for appropriate molecular radiotherapy. *Medical Physics*. 2019.
- **2.** Lassmann M, Eberlein U. The Relevance of Dosimetry in Precision Medicine. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2018;59:1494-1499.
- **3.** Jackson PA, Hofman MS, Hicks RJ, M. S, Violet J. Radiation Dosimetry in 177 Lu-PSMA-617 Therapy Using a Single Post-treatment SPECT/CT: A Novel Methodology to Generate Time- and Tissue-specific Dose Factors. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*.
- **4.** Bockisch A, Jamitzky T, Derwanz R, Biersack HJ. Optimized dose planning of radioiodine therapy of benign thyroidal diseases. *J Nucl Med.* 1993;34:1632-1638.
- **5.** Hänscheid H, Lassmann M, Reiners C. Dosimetry prior to ¹³¹l-therapy of benign thyroid disease. *Z Med Phys.* 2011;21:250-257.
- **6.** Hänscheid H, Lapa C, Buck AK, Lassmann M, Werner RA. Absorbed dose estimates from a single measurement one to three days after the administration of Lu-177-DOTATATE/-TOC. *Nuklearmedizin-Nuclear Medicine*. 2017;56:219-224.
- **7.** Hänscheid H, Lapa C, Buck AK, Lassmann M, Werner RA. Dose Mapping After Endoradiotherapy with (177)Lu-DOTATATE/DOTATOC by a Single Measurement After 4 Days. *J Nucl Med*. 2018;59:75-81.
- **8.** Madsen MT, Menda Y, O'Dorisio TM, O'Dorisio MS. Technical Note: Single time point dose estimate for exponential clearance. *Medical Physics*. 2018;45:2318-2324.