

## Reversibility of $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 trapping might prove an asset for PET quantitative imaging

Eric Laffon<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Roger Marthan<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> CHU de Bordeaux - F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

<sup>2</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, Centre de Recherche Cardio-Thoracique de Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

<sup>3</sup> INSERM U-1045, Centre de Recherche Cardio-Thoracique de Bordeaux F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

\*Correspondence to Dr Eric Laffon, Service de Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital du Haut-Lévêque, avenue de Magellan, 33604 PESSAC, France.

Telephone: +33557656838; [elaffon@u-bordeaux.fr](mailto:elaffon@u-bordeaux.fr)

### TO THE EDITOR:

In a recent paper Giesel et al. analyzed the tissue biodistribution and preliminary dosimetry of two quinoline-based PET tracers that act as fibroblast activation protein (FAP) inhibitors, namely,  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 and  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-4 (1). The authors reported a fast clearance via the kidneys, a low tracer uptake in normal organs, equal tumor-to-background contrast ratios at 1 h post-injection, and an almost equal uptake in comparison with  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG. However, from 1 to 3 h post-injection, in contrast to  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-4 that displayed a prolonged tumor retention (25% washout),  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 tumor uptake decreased by 75% thus reflecting release of the tracer from the malignant tissue. This difference explains why a companion paper by Kratochwil et al. used  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-4 for identifying the most promising indications for future application (2).

We suggest that  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 trapping reversibility, evidenced by a decrease in tumor uptake observed at late imaging, might prove an asset for PET quantitative imaging. Figure 2, by Giesel et al., shows  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 and  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-4 maximal standard uptake value (SUVmax) at 10–60–180 min post-injection in 2 patients with metastasized breast cancer, respectively (1). Since the two tracers have rapid clearance from blood, we assume their input function (IF) has become negligible at 60, and, a fortiori, at 180 min post-injection. Thus, a previously published method designed for  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG may be adapted to  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-2 and  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -FAPI-4 for assessing their release rate “ $k_B$ ” (in  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ; Equation (3) in reference (3)). For sake

of clarity, let us assume an IF mono-exponential decay with decay-corrected time constant “ $\alpha$ ” and initial amplitude “ $A_p(t=0)$ ” (in  $\text{min}^{-1}$  and  $\text{kBq.mL}^{-1}$ , respectively). The decay-corrected tissue activity concentration related to trapped tracer (in  $\text{kBq/mL}$ ), which is proportional to SUVmax, can be approximated from 60 to 180 min post-injection, by:

$$A_T(t) \approx K_i \times A_p(t=0) \times \exp(-k_B \times t) / (\alpha - k_B) \quad (1)$$

where “ $K_i$ ” is the uptake rate constant of the tracer (in  $\text{mL.min}^{-1}.\text{mL}^{-1}$ ). Fitting the outer extreme metastasis data (extracted with the WebPlotDigitizer software) at 60 and 180 min post-injection in Figure 2 with a mono-exponentially decaying function, leads to the following range for  $k_B$ : 0.01435–0.01439 and 0.00129–0.00212  $\text{min}^{-1}$  for  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-2}$  and  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-4}$ , respectively. For comparison,  $k_B$  for  $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$  trapping in the normal human liver has been estimated to be 0.00650  $\text{min}^{-1}$  on average (4). It is noteworthy that, since (i) only two time points were analyzed and (ii) only one patient per tracer was examined in Figure 2 by Giesel et al., the assessment of  $k_B$  measurement uncertainty is out of the scope of the current paper (1). Therefore, additionally to SUV, we suggest that one could take advantage of the significant  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-2}$  trapping reversibility to better characterize tumors by means of calculating  $k_B$ . Furthermore, the above-proposed fitting of  $k_B$  might be easily performed at the voxel-level, thus allowing parametric imaging of tracer release. Finally, let us note that a multi-exponentially decaying IF does not alter the current line of argument.

To conclude,  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI}$  PET/CT is a promising new diagnostic method for imaging various cancers that overexpress FAP (1). We suggest that the choice between  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-2}$  and  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-4}$  should not be only based upon the criterion of reversible versus irreversible (or nearly) trapping of the tracer, even if the latter is an indubitable advantage for a theranostic purpose. Indeed, one could also take advantage of the significant trapping reversibility of  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-2}$  to better characterize malignant tissues. Furthermore, we suggest that performing both uptake and release quantitation of  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI-2}$  trapping might be an innovative tool for assessing the response to treatment.

## REFERENCES

1. Giesel FL, Kratochwil C, Lindner T et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI}$  PET/CT: Biodistribution and Preliminary Dosimetry Estimate of 2 DOTA-Containing FAP-Targeting Agents in Patients with Various Cancers. *J Nucl Med.* 2019;60:386–392.
2. Kratochwil C, Flechsig P, Lindner T et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga-FAPI}$  PET/CT: Tracer Uptake in 28 Different Kinds of Cancer. *J Nucl Med.* 2019;60:801–805.

3. Laffon E, Allard M, Marthan R, Ducassou D. A method to quantify at late imaging a release rate of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG in tissues. *C R Biol.* 2005;328:767–772.
4. Laffon E, Adhoute X, de Clermont H, Marthan R. Is liver SUV stable over time in  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG PET imaging? *J. Nucl. Med. Technol.* 2011;39:258–263.