Thank you for allowing us to respond to the letter “Prudence required when using 18F-FDG-PET as reference standard for lymphoma detection” from Drs. Adams and Kwee. The authors have cautioned readers about the utility of FDG in this manuscript and in multiple others (1-6). We thank them for their comments. We appreciate their perspective regarding FDG, which, though imperfect, remains the internationally regarded functional imaging agent of choice for patients with lymphomas.
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