Dual-Modality Image-Guided Surgery of Prostate Cancer with a Radiolabeled Fluorescent Anti-PSMA Monoclonal Antibody
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Both radionuclide imaging and near-infrared fluorescent (NIRF) imaging have a high sensitivity to detect tumors in vivo. The combination of these modalities using dual-labeled antibodies may allow both preoperative and intraoperative tumor localization and may be used in image-guided surgery to ensure complete resection of tumor tissue. Here, we evaluated the potential of dual-modality imaging of prostate cancer with the monoclonal antibody D2B, directed against an extracellular domain of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA). For these studies, D2B was labeled both with ¹¹¹In and with the NIR dye IRDye800CW. Methods: D2B was conjugated with N-hydroxysuccinimide-IRDye800CW and β-isothiocyanatobenzyl-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (ITC-DTPA) and subsequently radiolabeled with ¹¹¹In. For biodistribution and NIR imaging, ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (2 µg, 0.55 MBq/mouse) was injected intravenously into BALB/c nude mice with subcutaneous PSMA-expressing LNCaP tumors (right flank) and PSMA-negative PC3 tumors (left flank). The biodistribution was determined at 1, 2, 3, and 7 d after injection. In addition, micro-SPECT/CT and NIR imaging with ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (3 µg, 8.5 MBq/mouse) was performed on mice with intraperitoneally growing LS174T-PSMA tumors. Results: ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW specifically accumulated in subcutaneous PSMA-positive LNCaP tumors (45.8 ± 8.0 percentage injected dose per gram at 168 h after injection), whereas uptake in subcutaneous PSMA-negative PC3 tumors was significantly lower (6.6 ± 1.3 percentage injected dose per gram at 168 h after injection). Intraperitoneal LS174T-PSMA tumors could be visualized specifically with both micro-SPECT/CT and NIR imaging at 2 d after injection, and the feasibility of image-guided resection of intraperitoneal tumors was demonstrated in this model. Conclusion: Dual-labeled ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW enables specific and sensitive detection of prostate cancer lesions in vivo with micro-SPECT/CT and NIR imaging. In addition to preoperative micro-SPECT/CT imaging to detect tumors, NIR imaging enables image-guided surgical resection. These preclinical findings warrant clinical studies with ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW to improve tumor detection and resection in prostate cancer patients.
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Despite improvements in diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, prostate cancer remains one of the leading causes of cancer-related death in men in the Western world. For patients with low- and intermediate-risk localized prostate cancer, and for selected patients with high-risk localized disease, surgical removal of the cancerous tissue is currently regarded as the treatment option of choice. In tissue specimens obtained after surgical removal of localized prostate cancer during radical prostatectomy, positive surgical margins are reported in 11%–48% of the cases. Although the role of positive surgical margins in cancer-specific mortality remains controversial, positive surgical margins are recognized as a risk factor for prostate-specific antigen–defined biochemical recurrence, which is associated with the need for secondary therapy and may have a considerable impact on the patient’s quality of life.

At present, several methods are clinically used to identify malignant tissues during surgery. First, cancerous tissue can be distinguished from benign structures visually on the basis of color, texture, and morphology. In addition, tactile palpation can aid the surgeon in detecting tumor tissue because of differences in elasticity or plasticity. However, the detection of tumor cells in resection margins and micrometastases remains extremely challenging. Therefore, imaging techniques that can aid the identification of small cancer foci are needed.

Recently, progress has been made in the field of intraoperative detection of malignant tissue with fluorescence imaging, a sensitive technique that may improve visualization of positive resection margins during surgery using fluorescent dyes that accumulate in tumor tissues. van Dam et al. (7) reported the first-in-human use of intraoperative tumor-specific fluorescence imaging for real-time visualization of tumor tissue in patients with ovarian cancer. In that study, ovarian cancer overexpressing the folate receptor was targeted and visualized with folate conjugated to the fluorescent dye fluorescein isothiocyanate.

However, a shortcoming of fluorescence imaging is the limited penetration depth of emitted light in tissue. When tumors are covered by other tissue, the fluorescent signal may not be visible and tumors may be missed. The use of near-infrared fluorescent
(NIRF) dyes, which emit light in the near-infrared region (650–900 nm), can improve the tissue penetration depth to several millimeters (9). Recently, the first clinical trial using the antibody bevacizumab tagged to the NIRF dye IRDye800CW began (clinicaltrials.gov no. NCT01508572), aiming to show the feasibility of intraoperative fluorescence imaging in breast cancer patients.

A new approach to deal with the limited tissue penetration of NIRF imaging is the combination of this technique with radionuclide detection. Both radionuclide and NIRF imaging are highly sensitive in the detection of cancer lesions. A dual-labeled targeting agent with both a radionuclide and a fluorescent moiety could be used for 3 purposes. First, the radionuclide allows preoperative assessment of the tumor burden by SPECT or PET imaging. Second, the radionuclide aids the surgeon in intraoperativelylocalizing the tumor using a γ probe. Finally, the optical label precisely evaluates resection margins during surgery and detects micrometastases once the surgical field is exposed to the surface.

Because the prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is selectively overexpressed on the surface of prostate cancer cells, it represents an attractive target for antibody-guided targeting of cancer cells with radionuclides and fluorophores (9). In the present study, we applied this new imaging strategy and evaluated the tumor imaging characteristics of the newly developed anti-PSMA monoclonal antibody D2B labeled with both 111In and IRDye800CW to provide proof of principle for the use of the dual-labeled imaging approach in mice with subcutaneous prostate cancer xenografts. In addition, we evaluated whether this dual-labeled D2B antibody preparation can be used to improve intraoperative visualization and to perform image-guided resection of tumors in mice with intraperitoneally growing prostate tumors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The anti-PSMA monoclonal antibody D2B (IgG1) (9) was purified from a hybridoma culture supernatant by protein A affinity chromatography. The antibody-secreting cells were obtained according to hybridoma technology from mice that were immunized with a cell lysate of membranes of LNCaP cells. The mice were injected 3 times (i.e., days 0, 14, and 28) intraperitoneally with 800 μg of lysate and Freund adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich). The last 3 d before the spleen of the mice was harvested, they were boosted with 10 μg of recombinant PSMA protein (Novagen) intravenously.

**Mouse Tumor Models**

Male BALB/c nude mice (Janvier), 7–8 wk old, were housed in filter-topped cages (5 mice per cage) under nonsterile standard conditions with free access to standard animal chow and water. After 1 wk of adaptation to laboratory conditions, 3 × 10⁶ PSMA-expressing LNCaP cells were suspended in 200 μL of 33% complete RPMI 1640 medium with 67% Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and injected subcutaneously (right flank). PSMA-negative PC3 cells were suspended in 200 μL of 67% complete RPMI 1640 medium with 33% Matrigel. LNCaP cells were inoculated 7 d before PC3 cells, and tumors grew to approximately 0.1 g in 14 and 7 d, respectively. Both cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Life Technologies) and 2 mM glutamine.

For the intraperitoneal tumor model, LS174T colon carcinoma cells were transfected with human PSMA using the plasmid pcDNA3.1-hPSMA (11). Cells were transfected using X-tremeGENE 9 DNA transfection reagent (Roche Applied Science). After transfection, single clones stably expressing PSMA were selected and grown in the presence of a 0.3 mg/mL concentration of G418. PSMA expression of LS174T-PSMA cells was confirmed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. LS174T-PSMA cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and glutamine in the presence of a 0.3 mg/mL concentration of G418. LS174T-PSMA cells (1 × 10⁶) were injected intraperitoneally in 200 μL of complete RPMI 1640 medium and grew for 21 d after inoculation.

For FACS analysis, stably transfected LS174T-PSMA cells (150,000 cells per well in a V-bottom 96-well plate) were washed twice and incubated on ice for 30 min with 25 μL of either phosphate-buffered saline (0.5%) and 5% albumin, a purified isotype-matched control antibody (5 μg/mL) (mouse IgG [H + L chain]; Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd.), or the D2B antibody at 5 μg/mL in phosphate-buffered saline and 0.5% bovine serum albumin. Untransfected LS174T cells were included as a negative control, and the PSMA-expressing prostate cancer cell line LNCaP was included as a positive control for FACS expression. After the incubation, the cells were washed 3 times and incubated on ice for 30 min under light-protected conditions with 25 μL of secondary fluorescently labeled antibody (goat-antimouse-IgG [H + L chain]-Alexa488, 2.5 μg/mL; Life Technologies), in phosphate-buffered saline and 0.5% bovine serum albumin. The cells were washed again 3 times and measured on a FACS Calibur system with CELLQuest software (both from Beckton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems).

All experiments were approved by the institutional Animal Welfare Committee of the Radboud University Medical Center and were conducted in accordance with the principles set forth by the Revised Dutch Act on Animal Experimentation.

**Synthesis of Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid (DTPA)-D2B-IRDye800CW**

The murine monoclonal antibody D2B IgG (5 mg) was conjugated with N-hydroxy succinimide-IRDye800CW (LI-COR Biosciences) in 2 mL of 0.1 M NaHCO₃, pH 8.5, with a 16-fold molar excess of N-hydroxy succinimide-IRDye800CW (620 μg). After 2 h of incubation at room temperature on an orbital shaker in the dark, IRDye800CW-D2B was purified by gel filtration on a PD10 column eluted with 0.1 M NaHCO₃, pH 8.5. The conjugation ratio of the purified conjugate was determined on an Ultrospec 2000 spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech) at wavelengths of 280 nm and 774 nm, and the substitution ratio was calculated using the following formula: substitution ratio = 0.875 × E₂₈₀/(E₂₈₀ − (0.03 × E₇₇₄)). The substitution ratio of the conjugate used in these studies was 1.2. Subsequently, p-isothiocyanatobenzyl (ITC)-DTPA (Macroscillics) was added to the conjugate in 0.1 M NaHCO₃, pH 9.5 (2.5 mL), in a 27-fold molar excess (4.1 mg of ITC-DTPA-IRDye800CW-D2B with 481 μg of ITC-DTPA). After 1 h of incubation at room temperature on an orbital shaker in the dark, the reaction mixture was dialyzed for 3 d in a Slide-A-Lyzer (10-kDa cutoff; Pierce) against 0.25 M NH₄Ac, pH 5.4.

**Radiolabeling of DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW**

For biodistribution studies, DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (100 μg) was radiolabeled with 32 MBq of ¹¹¹In (Covidien) in 0.1 M 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid buffer, pH 5.4 (3 times the volume of ¹¹¹In-chloride), and incubated for 30 min at room temperature under metal-free conditions. For SPECT/CT studies, DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (35 μg) was incubated with 280 MBq of ¹¹¹In in 0.1 M maintenance electrolyte solution buffer, pH 5.4. After incubation, 50 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was added to the final concentration of 5 mM to chelate unincorporated ¹¹¹In.

The labeling efficiency was determined by instant thin-layer chromatography using 0.15 M citrate buffer, pH 6.0, as the mobile phase. The labeling efficiency was 85% for the biodistribution studies and 36% for the micro-SPECT/CT study. ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW was purified by gel filtration on a PD-10 column, and the radiochemical...
The purity of the final dual-labeled tracer was at least 97%, which was determined by instant thin-layer chromatography.

**Immunoreactivity**

The immunoreactive fraction of the dual-labeled $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW was compared with that of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B using freshly trypsinized LNCaP cells, essentially as described by Lindmo et al. and Heskamp et al. (12,13). Briefly, a serial dilution of LNCaP cells (6.3 × 10^5 to 1.0 × 10^6 cells/mL) in 0.5 mL of RPMI medium containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin was incubated at 37°C for 1 h with 0.002 pmol of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (350 Bq). To determine nonspecific binding, an excess of unlabeled DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (160 pmol) was added to a duplicate of the lowest cell concentration. After incubation, the cells were centrifuged and washed with 500 μL of RPMI medium containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin. The activity in the vials and in the cell pellet was determined in the γ-counter (Wizard 3 $^{1480}$; LKB-Wallac). The inverse of the specific cell-bound activity was plotted against the inverse of the cell concentration, and the immunoreactive fraction was calculated from the y-axis intercept using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.03 for Windows [Microsoft]; GraphPad Software).

**Competitive Binding Assay**

The inhibitory concentration of 50% for D2B IgG was determined in a competitive binding assay on LNCaP cells using $^{111}$In-labeled D2B as a tracer. LNCaP cells were grown to confluency in 6-well plates and incubated on ice for 2 h in 1 mL of binding buffer with 1.85 kBq of $^{111}$In-labeled D2B IgG and increasing concentrations (0.005–300 nM) of unlabeled D2B IgG. Cells were washed with binding buffer after incubation, and the cell-associated activity was measured in a γ counter. Inhibitory concentrations of 50% were calculated using Prism software, version 5.03.

**Biodistribution and NIRF Imaging in Mice with Subcutaneous Tumors**

For biodistribution studies, 4 groups of male BALB/c nude mice bearing LNCaP (right flank) and PC3 (left flank) xenografts were injected into the tail vein with the dual-labeled conjugate $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (0.55 MBq, 2.0 μg/mouse). Three additional mice (control group) were coinjected intravenously with an excess of unlabeled D2B IgG (300 μg/mouse) to determine nonspecific uptake of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW.

At 24, 48, and 72 h after injection, 4 mice per time point (5 mice at 168 h after injection) were euthanized with CO₂/O₂ asphyxiation and tissues of interest (LNCaP and PC3 tumors, muscle, lung, spleen, kidney, liver, pancreas, stomach, duodenum, and prostate) were dissected and weighed and the radioactivity was measured in a γ counter. Blood samples were obtained by heart puncture. For calculation of radioactivity uptake in each tissue as a fraction of the injected dose, an aliquot of the injected dose was counted simultaneously.

**Dual-Modality Micro-SPECT/CT and NIRF Imaging and Image-Guided Surgery**

Four male BALB/c nude mice with intraperitoneally growing LS174T-PSMA tumors were intravenously injected with the dual-
labeled $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW (8.5 MBq and 3.0 $\mu$g/mouse) and imaged at 48 h after injection on both the in vivo imaging system (Xenogen VivoVision IVIS Lumina II; acquisition time, 5 min) (A), corresponding micro-SPECT/CT image with mouse supine (B), and NIRF image of muscle sample (top) and tumor (bottom) after resection (C). Green arrows indicate the PSMA-positive tumor. $p =$ photons; sr = steradian.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with Prism, version 5.03. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SD.

RESULTS

In Vitro Characterization

The immunoreactive fractions of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW and $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B were 75% and 84%, respectively, indicating that the immunoreactivity of the D2B antibody was largely preserved during the 2 conjugation procedures.

The inhibitory concentration of 50% for D2B IgG was 3.7 nM (95% confidence interval, 2.8–4.8 nM).

Biodistribution Studies and Imaging of Subcutaneous Tumors

$^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW specifically accumulated in the subcutaneous PSMA-positive LNCaP tumors, reaching 12.5 $\pm$ 4.9 percentage injected dose per gram (%ID/g) ($n = 4$) at 24 h after injection, 26.1 $\pm$ 7.4 %ID/g ($n = 4$) at 48 h after injection, 40.2 $\pm$ 4.0 %ID/g ($n = 4$) at 72 h after injection, and 45.8 $\pm$ 8.0 %ID/g ($n = 5$) at 168 h after injection (Fig. 1A). Tumor uptake in PSMA-negative PC3 xenografts was significantly lower at 48, 72, and 168 h after injection, reaching 9.8 $\pm$ 2.8 %ID/g ($n = 4$) at 24 h after injection ($P = 0.3768$), 10.6 $\pm$ 2.3 %ID/g ($n = 4$) at 48 h after injection ($P = 0.0071$), 10.4 $\pm$ 1.2 %ID/g ($n = 4$) at 72 h after injection ($P < 0.0001$), and 6.6 $\pm$ 1.3 %ID/g ($n = 5$) at 168 h after injection ($P < 0.0001$).

The uptake of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW remained low in most normal tissues; uptake in the liver ranged from 25.6 $\pm$ 7.2 %ID/g at 24 h after injection to 6.8 $\pm$ 1.3 %ID/g at 168 h after injection (Fig. 1A).

Tumor-to-blood ratios of PSMA-positive LNCaP xenografts were 1.3 $\pm$ 0.3 at 24 h after injection, 2.9 $\pm$ 0.9 at 48 h after injection, 5.3 $\pm$ 0.8 at 72 h after injection, and 9.4 $\pm$ 1.5 at 168 h after injection. Tumor-to-blood ratios of the PSMA-negative PC3 xenografts were significantly lower at 48, 72, and 168 h after injection ($P < 0.0001$), reaching 1.0 $\pm$ 0.1 at 24 h after injection, 1.1 $\pm$ 0.1 at 48 h after injection, 1.4 $\pm$ 0.2 at 72 h after injection, and 1.4 $\pm$ 0.3 at 168 h after injection (Fig. 1B). PSMA-positive–to–PSMA-negative tumor ratios were 1.2 $\pm$ 0.2 at 24 h after injection, 2.5 $\pm$ 0.7 at 48 h after injection, 3.9 $\pm$ 0.7 at 72 h after injection, and 7.2 $\pm$ 2.0 at 168 h after injection.

At 48 h after injection, an accumulation of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW could be visualized in subcutaneous PSMA-positive LNCaP tumors using the in vivo fluorescence imager, whereas PSMA-negative tumors showed no fluorescent signal (Fig. 1C).

Tumor uptake of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW could be blocked by coinjection of an excess of 300 $\mu$g of unlabeled D2B IgG, resulting in nonspecific (non–PSMA-mediated) uptake of 11.0 $\pm$ 1.41 %ID/g ($n = 3$) and 9.5 $\pm$ 2.9 %ID/g ($n = 3$) at 72 h after injection in LNCaP and PC3 xenografts, respectively. This further confirms the specific uptake of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW in the PSMA-expressing tumor.

Dual-Modality Micro-SPECT/CT and NIRF Imaging in Mice with Intraperitoneal Tumors

Five BALB/c nude mice with intraperitoneal LS174T-PSMA tumors were imaged with both the in vivo fluorescence scanner and the micro-SPECT/CT scanner at 48 h after injection of dual-labeled $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW. A typical set of micro-SPECT/CT acquisitions with corresponding fluorescence images in supine and lateral positions is shown in Figure 2. LS174T-PSMA tumors were specifically visualized by both imaging modalities. When tumors were located deeper in the peritoneal cavity,
the fluorescent signals detected were less intense or even absent (Fig. 3) because of tissue absorption of the emitted photons (Fig. 3).

**Image-Guided Resection of Intraperitoneal Tumors**

After preoperative evaluation of tumors by micro-SPECT/CT, image-guided resection of the tumors was performed on mice with intraperitoneally growing LS174T-PSMA nodules. First, the exact tumor location was identified by NIRF imaging. Subsequently, all detected tumors were resected and additional NIRF and micro-SPECT/CT imaging was performed to ensure radical surgical resection. In Figure 4, the applicability of the dual-modality probe for intraoperative image-guided resection of the intraperitoneal tumors is shown.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provided proof of principle for targeting PSMA-expressing prostate cancer in vivo with the dual-labeled $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW in a prostate cancer model with subcutaneous and intraperitoneal tumors. In addition, dual-modality image-guided surgery based on this dual-labeled conjugate was performed. During surgery, detection of small cancer foci or positive surgical margins remains challenging and may be enhanced by NIRF imaging, which allows real-time intraoperative visualization of malignant tissues (7). Nontargeted NIRF imaging based on the application of fluorescent nanoparticles is frequently used for sentinel lymph node detection in patients with various malignancies (14). The first clinical proof-of-concept studies using this sentinel lymph node mapping technique with dual-modality nanocolloids showed promising results in prostate cancer (15). However, nontargeted agents are not tumor-selective and hence cannot be used for real-time visualization of tumor cells in resection margins. The use of targeted imaging approaches could allow more sensitive and real-time detection of cancerous foci and positive surgical margins in the submillimeter range and would permit patient-tailored surgical interventions. Several groups so far have reported the use of fluorophores conjugated to monoclonal antibodies targeting receptors such as the epidermal growth factor receptor (16), HER2/neu receptor (17), and vascular endothelial growth factor (18).

Targeted NIRF imaging to identify prostate cancer cells in intraoperative settings using PSMA as a target was introduced by Liu et al. (19), who conjugated the low-molecular-weight PSMA ligand CTT-54.2 with Cy5.5 and demonstrated the tumor-binding potential of the Cy5.5–CTT-54.2 conjugate in vitro. Recently, the humanized anti-PSMA monoclonal antibody J591 was conjugated with an indocyanine green derivative by Nakajima et al. This conjugate showed specific targeting of the subcutaneous PSMA-expressing tumors in mice (20). Chen et al. (21) conjugated the anti-PSMA monoclonal antibody YC-27 with IRDye800CW. In mice with subcutaneous PC3-PIP tumors, tumor-to-nontarget ratios of 10 were obtained. Recently, Banerjee et al. synthesized the first dual-modality SPECT/NIRF imaging agent with affinity for the center of PSMA based on conjugation of a glutatione urea compound with both DOTA and IRDye800CW for targeting PSMA-expressing prostate cancer in a subcutaneous mouse model (22).

In the present study, we showed that NIRF–SPECT dual-modality imaging allows specific visualization of both subcutaneous and intraperitoneal prostate cancer tumors, providing proof of principle for image-guided surgery with $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW in mice with intraperitoneally growing prostate tumors.

Specific accumulation of $^{111}$In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW in the PSMA-expressing subcutaneous and intraperitoneal tumors was observed, whereas uptake in the other tissues remained low, except for the liver. Enhanced hepatic uptake of IRDye800CW-conjugated antibodies has been reported in previous studies. Cohen et al. (23) reported enhanced liver uptake of the dual-labeled antibodies $^{89}$Zr-cetuximab-IRDye800CW and $^{89}$Zr-bevacizumab-IRDye800CW in vivo, which was attributed to the lipophility and charge of the antibody conjugate. In this study, a substitution ratio of less than 2 was found to be optimal to prevent enhanced blood clearance and hepatic liver uptake.

All subcutaneous and intraperitoneal LS174T-PSMA tumors were clearly visualized with
micro-SPECT/CT. On the corresponding in vivo fluorescence scans in an intraoperative setting, all intraperitoneal tumors that were located superficially could be visualized. However, tumors located deeper inside the peritoneal cavity may not be visualized, because of absorption of the emitted fluorescent light in tissues. Although the absorption coefficient of biologic tissue is relatively low in the near-infrared region (700–900 nm), light of this wavelength can penetrate only up to a few millimeters in tissue (24). Therefore, in addition to preoperative tumor localization, dual-labeled NIRF and radionuclide targeting agents allow intraoperative mapping of more deeply lying malignant tissue with a γ probe. Subsequently, the exact position can be determined with NIRF imaging, indicating the additive value of dual-modality imaging for detection of tumors, their resection, and real-time in vivo margin assessment.

Taken together, we showed that PSMA-expressing prostate cancer can be visualized sensitively and specifically with dual-modality micro-SPECT/CT and NIRF imaging with the newly developed 111In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW conjugate in mice with subcutaneous and intraperitoneal prostate cancer xenografts. For translation of dual-modality imaging of 111In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW into the clinic, this antibody construct has to be considered a new medicinal product, which has to be studied extensively regarding toxicologic aspects before it can be tested.

A major advantage for clinical use is the integration of both SPECT/CT and NIRF imaging modalities in intraoperative settings to improve image-guided surgery, which could be applied for both open laparotomy and endoscopic procedures.

CONCLUSION

Here, we have demonstrated the feasibility of targeting PSMA-expressing prostate cancer with the dual-labeled 111In-DTPA-D2B-IRDye800CW imaging agent and provided proof of principle that dual-modality image-guided surgery using this conjugate is feasible. Prostate cancer lesions were specifically and sensitively detected in vivo in a mouse model with intraperitoneal PSMA-expressing tumors. In this setting, radionuclide imaging may allow preoperative detection and intraoperative localization of tumors, whereas NIRF imaging enables subsequent accurate delineation of tumors and real-time assessment of resection margins. These preclinical findings encourage future clinical studies with targeted dual-labeled imaging probes for pre- and intraoperative guidance of prostate tumor resection, to achieve radical removal of tumor tissue.
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