Comparison of mAbs Targeting Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule for the Detection of Prostate Cancer Lymph Node Metastases with Multimodal Contrast Agents: Quantitative Small-Animal PET/CT and NIRF
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The proliferation of most carcinomas is associated with an overexpression of epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), a 40-kDa type I transmembrane protein found on epithelial cells yet absent from other cell types. The absence of EpCAM in normal lymphatics makes it an attractive marker for studying lymph node (LN) metastases of carcinomas to improve LN staging accuracy. Herein, we developed and quantitatively compared dual-labeled monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) of varying affinities against EpCAM for both noninvasive and intraoperative detection of metastatic LNs in prostate cancer.

Methods: A panel of hybridoma-derived anti-EpCAM mAbs was generated and screened. Two high-affinity candidate mAbs with specificity for nonoverlapping epitopes on the EpCAM extracellular domain were chosen for further evaluation. After conjugation with DOTA for ⁶⁴Cu radiolabeling and IRDye 800CW as a fluorophore, dual-labeled specific or isotype control mAb was administered intravenously to male nu/nu mice at 10–12 wk after orthotopic implantation of DsRed-expressing PC3 cells. Within 18–24 h, noninvasive small-animal PET/CT and in vivo, and ex vivo DsRed reporter gene and near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) imaging were performed to detect primary tumors and metastatic LNs. Using DsRed fluorescence as the true indicator of cancer-positive tissue, we performed receiver operating characteristic curve analyses of percentage injected dose per gram measured from quantitative small-animal PET/CT and fluorescence intensity measured from semiquantitative NIRF imaging for each LN examined to compare mAb sensitivity and specificity. Results: mAbs 7 and 153 generated in-house were found to have higher affinity than commercial mAb 9601. Accuracy, as a function of sensitivity and specificity, for the detection of cancer-positive LNs during in vivo small-animal PET/CT was highest for mAbs 7 (87.0%) and 153 (78.0%) and significantly greater (P < 0.001) than random chance (50.0%). Rates for mAb 9601 (60.7%) and control mAb 69 (27.0%) were not significantly different from chance. Similarly, mAb 7 had significant detection accuracy by NIRF imaging (96.0%, P < 0.001). Conclusion: mAbs 7 and 153 are attractive, high-affinity candidates for further multimodal imaging agent optimization aimed at enhancing sensitivity and specificity for detection of metastatic LNs in prostate cancer. Fully quantitative NIRF imaging is needed for comprehensive analyses of NIRF-labeled agent accuracy for intraoperative guidance.
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Dual-labeled contrast agents promise the advantage of noninvasive imaging via nuclear techniques and molecularly guided surgical resection via near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) imaging (1). Currently, nodal staging of most cancers is performed after lymph node (LN) biopsy and dissection for subsequent pathologic examination. As in most cancers, imaging of LN involvement in prostate cancer (PCa) lacks sensitivity and specificity (2) and as a result, extended pelvic LN dissection, which provides higher staging accuracy (3), is rapidly becoming the standard of care at the time of radical prostatectomy. Yet, with the early detection of PCa enabled by prostate-specific antigen screening, a substantial and growing population of PCa patients may be overtreated (4) and encounter resultant morbidities such as lymphocele, thrombotic events, urethral nerve and vascular injury, and lymphedema (5–7). A multimodal contrast agent is needed to provide accurate, non-
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invasive LN staging of PCa and to guide surgical resection of cancer-positive LNs while sparing resection of cancer-negative LNs and potentially reducing surgical morbidity and improving survivorship.

Epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) is overexpressed in many metastasizing epithelial cancers (8–12) and may be an ideal target for dual-labeled agent development. Expression of EpCAM in prostatic biopsy samples has been shown through immunohistochemistry to be elevated with biochemical recurrence (13) and correlated with Gleason score (13,14), which is an important prognostic factor and is now incorporated into PCa staging nomograms (15). In more than 441 human PCa tissue samples, microarray analyses showed that 80%–100% of all prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, localized PCa, hormone-refractory local recurrences, and LN metastases overexpressed EpCAM, suggesting it as an excellent diagnostic imaging marker for PCa LN staging (16).

Previously, we developed a dual-labeled anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody (mAb) for multimodal diagnostic imaging in a preclinical model of metastatic PCa, but optimization of binding affinity and pharmacodynamics of antibody-based agents is needed to maximize imaging sensitivity and specificity (17). Although anti-EpCAM mAbs have been under investigation as therapeutics against epithelial cancers, development has been focused on attenuating binding affinity to reduce systemic toxicity and enhancing antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and complement-dependent cytotoxicity for therapeutic action (18). As a diagnostic, the design of anti-EpCAM mAb-based imaging agents requires enhanced affinity for improved specificity at subtherapeutic dosages, elimination of pharmacologic action through antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and complement-dependent cytotoxicity, and reduced circulation times to improve target-to-background ratios (TBRs).

Herein, we developed a panel of mAbs specific for human EpCAM, from which high-affinity candidates with specificity for nonoverlapping EpCAM epitopes were dual-labeled with a near-infrared (NIR) fluorophore and a conventional chelator and radiotracer, for subsequent assessment via NIRF imaging and quantitative small-animal PET/CT in a DsRed reporter gene mouse model of PCa LN metastasis. We sought to first assess whether differences in binding affinity affected quantitative imaging performance of whole mAb-based contrast agents assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses before engineering mAb fragment–based imaging agents that would lack properties of therapeutic antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and complement-dependent cytotoxicity but would have improved imaging pharmacokinetics. A commercially available anti-EpCAM mAb, which was dual-labeled and used in a subset of animals in previous preclinical imaging (17), was evaluated in the ROC curve analyses for the comparison and selection of candidate mAbs for further optimization of imaging agents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

mAb Panel Generation

Commercially available mouse IgG2b mAb 9601, specific for human EpCAM, was purchased from R&D Systems and maintained at −20°C before labeling. To generate in-house anti-EpCAM mAbs, BALB/c mice were immunized with either recombinant human (rhu) EpCAM/Fc (960-EP; R&D Systems) or MCF7 cells (HTB-22; American Type Culture Collection [ATCC]), a human breast adenocarcinoma line that expresses EpCAM (18,19). EpCAM/Fc was administered using standard methods as previously described (20). For whole-cell immunization, MCF7 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen Corp.) with 10% fetal bovine serum to 80%–100% confluency in T75 flasks, detached with trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Cellgro), washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4), and injected intraperitoneally at 10^7 cells per mouse every 10 d for 5 injections. Mouse splenocytes were collected and fused with SP2/0 mouse myeloma cells (20). mAbs from parental wells were evaluated for binding specificity to rhuEpCAM/Fc via an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, followed by kinetic screening to rank-order high-affinity clones using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) (21).

Competitive Binding Assays

To compare relative binding sites, we used a competitive strategy to separate our mAb panel into groups having competing or noncompeting epitope binding sites. Briefly, a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labeling kit (Zymed Laboratories) was used to label mAbs generated in-house or commercial mAb 9601. RhuEpCAM/Fc was coated on Microlon 600 (Greiner Bio-One) 96-well high-binding plates in PBS at 0.5 µg/mL at 4°C overnight. After being blocked with PBS containing 0.02% polysorbate-20 and 5% nonfat dry milk for 1 h and subsequent washing with PBS and 0.02% polysorbate, each selected mAb from our panel was added to the plate at 2 µg/mL and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. This procedure was followed by the addition of HRP-conjugated mAb at 0.2 µg/mL and incubation for 30 min at room temperature. The ability of HRP-conjugated mAb to bind rhuEpCAM/Fc was detected via reaction with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate (Sigma-Aldrich) and by measurement of the optical density (OD, absorbance at 450 nm), using the manufacturer’s protocol. Binding of HRP-labeled mAb demonstrated that epitopes were available for binding, whereas the absence of binding by HRP-labeled mAb indicated competitive epitope binding by the primary mAb.

Preparation of Fragment Antigen-Binding (Fab) Regions

Purified mouse IgG1—in-house mAbs 7 and 153—was digested with immobilized Ficin (kit 44980; Pierce), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Commercial mAb 9601, IgG2b, was digested with immobilized Papain (kit 44985; Pierce). Briefly, purified IgG was dialyzed overnight and buffer exchanged with provided digestion buffers without cysteine–HCl. After a digestion period of 3–4 h, samples were applied to the provided protein A column. The pass-through material containing Fab regions was dialyzed against PBS and retained for enrichment via size-exclusion chromatography. An AKTA Explorer (GE Healthcare) fast protein liquid chromatography system coupled with a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 fast protein liquid chromatography column (GE Healthcare) preequilibrated with PBS was used to separate the Fab regions from undigested IgG and F(ab’)2. Purity was then assessed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
SPR Assessment of Equilibrium Affinity Constant, $K_D$

To discriminate between monovalent and multivalent interactions on quantitative SPR measurements of $K_D$, the binding affinities of the Fab regions of mAbs were measured using SPR and a Biacore T100 instrument (GE Healthcare). Two flow cells of a CM5 chip were coated with goat anti-human IgG (Fc-specific) (Jackson ImmunoResearch) to approximately 8,000 resonance units with an NHS/EDC Amine Coupling Kit (BR-1000-50; GE Healthcare). All measurements were made at 25°C using HBS-EP+ (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.05% v/v polysorbate 20, pH 7.4) as running buffer. EpCAM/Fc ligand (R&D Systems) was diluted in HBS-EP+ to 0.5 μg/mL and applied to the coated chip surface. Fab regions were then tested at 5 different molar concentrations starting at 50 nM after a 2-fold dilution surface was performed. Flow cells were regenerated with 100 mM phosphoric acid. All samples were applied in duplicate.

Confirmation of mAb Whole-Cell Binding Activity

PC3 cells (human prostate adenocarcinoma line, CRL-1435; ATCC), grown to 80%–100% confluency in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, were treated with trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and resuspended in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA). One million cells per well were labeled with mAb (5 μg/mL) in a 200-μL volume and incubated on ice for 20 min. After being washed with PBS–BSA, phycoerythrin-conjugated donkey F(ab′)2 antianimal IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) at 5 μg per sample was added for an additional 20 min of incubation. Cells were washed again with PBS–BSA and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde before being analyzed (10,000 events) on a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences). Analyses of mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) were performed using WinMDI 2.9 software (J. Trotter, Scripps Institute).

Production of mAbs for Animal Model

One-liter cultures of hybridomas producing selected mAbs were grown in DMEM high-glucose medium supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum for 10 d. Supernatants were centrifuged, filtered (0.2 μm), and purified on a Protein G column (GE Healthcare) per the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were dialyzed against PBS, filtered (0.2 μm), and stored at 4°C until use.

Testing Species Cross-Reactivity of mAbs

To determine whether mAbs specific for human EpCAM cross-react with murine EpCAM, the human cell line MCF7 and 4T1 cells (mouse mammary carcinoma, CRL-2539; ATCC) were used. In the absence of mAb, the human cell line MCF7 and 4T1 cells react with murine EpCAM, the human cell line MCF7 and 4T1 cells were used. After incubation (20 min, 4°C) with mAb, cells were washed with PBS–BSA and either DyLight-488-conjugated donkey antirat IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) or phycoerythrin-conjugated donkey F(ab′)2 antianimal IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was added (5 μg/sample × 20 min) for detecting cells bound by rat antinouse or mouse antianimal EpCAM mAb, respectively. Cells were washed again, fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, and analyzed (10,000 events) on a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer using WinMDI 2.9 software.

Preparation of Dual-Labeled mAbs

mAbs were dual-labeled with DOTA chelator and IRDye 800CW and purified, and the number of chelates and dye molecules conjugated per mAb was determined according to procedures described previously (17,22). mAb conjugates were labeled by adding 37 MBq (1 mCi) of 64CuCl2 (Radiologic Sciences, Washington University Medical School) to 100 μg of mAb conjugate in 0.1 M NaOAc at pH 6.0, followed by incubation at 40°C for 1 h. Reactions were purified as described above and analyzed by radio–thin-layer chromatography and radio–high-performance liquid chromatography.

Determination of Contrast Agent Biologic Activity

NIR flow cytometry was used to measure the biologic activity of mAbs conjugates before use in animals according to a previously validated method (23). Briefly, human PCA cells (PC3 cell line; ATCC), which overexpress EpCAM (24), were incubated with fluorophore-labeled anti-EpCAM or control mAb in PBS, pH 7.4. Commercial IgG2b (BD Pharmingen) and in-house IgG1 isotype control mAbs were used in each assay to test for nonspecific binding. As a positive control, IRDye-labeled goat antianimal IgG (LI-COR) was added to reactions containing cells incubated with unlabeled, commercial mAb 9601. As a negative control, secondary antibody was also added to cells without primary antibody. A customized FACS Aria II with NIR detection capability (BD Biosciences) was used for analysis. The percentage of cells bound, or stained, by fluorophore-labeled antibody and the MFI of the cells in each reaction were recorded. The means and SDs were calculated from 3 experiments for each mAb tested.

Animal Model

A DsRed reporter gene mouse model of PCA LN metastasis was used as previously described (17). In brief, 6- to 8-wk-old, male nu/nu mice (Charles River) were housed and maintained in compliance with protocols approved by the Animal Welfare Committee at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. PC3 cells, which were transfected with p-DsRedExpress-N1 (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) and sorted as previously described (17), were orthotopically implanted (1 × 106 cells) in the dorsal prostate of each mouse. Noninvasive, longitudinal DsRed fluorescence imaging was performed biweekly to monitor tumor growth.

Dual-labeled mAbs (40–50 μg, 7.4–12.95 MBq) were administered via the tail vein at 10–12 wk after implantation. Within 18–24 h, noninvasive small-animal PET/CT was performed and immediately followed by in vivo, in situ, and ex vivo DsRed reporter gene and NIRF optical imaging.

PET, NIRF, and DsRed Fluorescence Imaging

Noninvasive small-animal PET/CT was performed using an Inveon System (Siemens Medical Solutions) with multimodality CT and docked PET. Images were analyzed using Inveon Research Workplace software (Siemens Medical Solutions) by drawing regions of interest (ROIs) around signal-bearing tissues and non–signal-bearing background tissues and by computing the percentage injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each ROI. TBRs were computed from the %ID/g normalized to muscle background. Previously, we showed that LN DsRed fluorescence measured in situ or ex vivo provided comparable or better true-positive LN discrimination than pathology (17). Consequently, we used in situ or ex vivo DsRed fluorescence as a measure of ground truth for LN cancer positivity and computed the PET true-positive rate (or sensitivity) versus false-positive rate (or 1 – specificity) of all LNs examined using ascending TBRs as positive cutoff criteria.
during ROC curve analyses. The area under the curve (AUC), or accuracy rate, was then computed, and sensitivity and specificity rates at the optimal TBR cutoff value that maximized the function of the respective ROC curve were found for each dual-labeled mAb tested.

Fluorescence imaging was conducted using custom-built instrumentation described elsewhere (17). For DiR fluorescence imaging, excitation light was supplied at 568 nm by a tunable, air-cooled, argon–krypton laser, and emission light (610 ± 5 nm) was collected through a bandpass filter (Andover Corp.). During NIRF imaging, a laser diode excited at 768 nm, and emission light (830 ± 5 nm) was collected through 2 tandem bandpass filters (25). Although quantitative NIRF imaging has not been validated in the way that small-animal PET has, we have performed semiquantitative analyses using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). ROIs were drawn on NIRF signal–positive and signal–negative LNs and background tissue found in 2-dimensional in situ images, and MFI was recorded for each ROI. TBRs were computed from the MFI for each LN analyzed by normalizing to background tissue. Using DiR fluorescence as the ground-truth indicator for cancer-positive LNs as described above, we performed ROC curve analyses of TBRs of MFI for LNs imaged in situ, and the AUC, sensitivity, and specificity at the optimal TBR were found for each mAb used during NIRF imaging.

Data Analyses

General statistical comparisons of mAbs were made using the Student t test, unpaired, or a 1-way ANOVA as appropriate with Office Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp.) or SigmaPlot 11 (Systat Software, Inc.) software. For determining the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of each mAb using different imaging modalities via ROC curve analyses, SigmaPlot 11 software was used. A 95% confidence interval (P < 0.05) was considered significant.

RESULTS

Anti-EpCAM mAbs Selected by Off-Rate Analysis and Competitive Binding

Screening and analyses of single-cell clones from parental wells of hybridoma fusions were performed as previously described (20,21) and yielded a mAb panel with slower off-rates than that of commercial mAb 9601 (Fig. 1). During competitive binding assays, binding of some mAbs, including mAbs 17, 73, 81, 144, and 153, to EpCAM blocked binding by mAb 9601, suggesting shared binding epitopes (Fig. 2A). However, mAbs 7, 18, 33, 38, 66, 70, 83, 85, 124, 133, and 138 did not inhibit mAb 9601 binding, suggesting epitopes that do not overlap or binding sites that do not compete with that of mAb 9601 (Fig. 2B). From subsequent analysis that compared mAb 7 with the mAb panel, we found that mAbs that do not have overlapping epitope binding with mAb 9601 have an overlapping footprint with mAb 7. Thus, the mAb panel could be categorized into 2 unique groups with specificity for 2 separate, nonoverlapping epitopes on the EpCAM protein. mAbs from each group, mAbs 153 and 7, were chosen for further evaluation.

SPR Assessment of Anti-EpCAM mAbs 7 and 153 Fab Regions

Fab regions of mAb 153 demonstrated a slower k_d (Fab dissociation constant) or off-rate than did those of mAb 7, which in turn were slower than those of mAb 9601 Fab regions, a trend consistent with SPR analysis of dissociation avidity of captured IgG antibody using the bivalent EpCAM/Fc as the analyte (Table 1). In addition, mAb 7 Fab regions demonstrated a faster on-rate (k_s, Fab association constant) than did mAb 9601 and mAb 153 Fab regions. K_D was 15.4, 0.54, and 2.3 nM for mAb 9601, 153, and 7 Fab regions, respectively. These K_D values translated to a 28- and 7-fold increase in affinity of mAbs 153 and 7 above mAb 9601, respectively.

mAb Whole-Cell Binding Activity

As seen with mAb 9601, both mAb 7 and mAb 153 effectively bound PC3 cells as demonstrated by specific binding during flow cytometry (Fig. 3). From triplicate reactions, an MFI increase of 3.9-, 4.8-, and 2.9-fold was found for cells bound by mAbs 7, 153, and 9601, respectively, relative to the MFI of cells stained with phycoerythrin-conjugated secondary antibody alone. This result provides evidence that in addition to recognizing recombinantly expressed EpCAM/Fc, these mAbs generated in-house recognize native EpCAM on the cell surface.

Species Cross-Reactivity of mAbs Tested by Whole-Cell Binding

The generated panel of antihuman EpCAM mAbs bound only the human cells and did not bind to mouse 4T1 cells at detectable levels (Supplemental Figs. 1A and 1C show results from mAb 153 that are representative of those from the entire mAb panel; supplemental materials are available online only at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). Conversely, rat antimouse EpCAM mAb G8.8 demonstrated binding to the 4T1 cells but not to human MCF7 cells (Supplemental Figs. 1B and 1D).

Contrast Agent Characterization

DOTA conjugation to mAbs occurred at varying levels for each reaction, ranging from 3.0 to 4.6 DOTA molecules per mAb, whereas conjugation of IRDye 800CW to the mAbs resulted in IRDye800/protein (D/P) ratios ranging from 2.1 to 3.4 (Table 1). Although radiolabeling reactions were performed identically, radiochemical yields were affected by amounts of chelate conjugated and were 60%–80%. Radiochemical purities were greater than 90% for mAb 9601 and greater than 95% for all other conjugates, with typical specific activities of 0.185–0.259 MBq (5–7 μCi) per microgram of protein.

Using fluorescence microscopy, we previously demonstrated that DOTA-mAb 9601-IRDye bound to the surface of PC3 cells but not lymphatic epithelial cells, which lack EpCAM surface expression (17), and we reported more recently the validation of a cell-binding assay using NIR flow cytometry when PC3 cells were grown to 80%–100% confluency (23). Because we have observed via flow cytometric analysis decreased binding of mAb 9601 to PC3 cells grown to less than 70% confluency (data not shown), PC3 cells were consistently grown to 80%–100% confluency for all work in the present study to limit variability in EpCAM
surface expression levels and for comparison of mAb conjugate biologic activity.

From NIR flow cytometry, we found that PC3 cells stained by IRDye-labeled mAbs 7 and 153 had significantly higher MFIs (1,722.0 ± 57.4 and 1,359.3 ± 94.8 MFI units, respectively) than PC3 cells bound by conjugated mAb 9601 (1,087.0 ± 74.0 MFI units), whereas the MFI for mAb 7 was also significantly higher than that for mAb 9601 (81.8% vs. 63.0%, respectively) than PC3 cells bound by conjugated mAb 9601. The MFI for conjugated mAb 9601 was significantly low relative to mAbs 7 and 153, as was the percentage of cells stained by commercial IgG2b isotype control mAb (0.7% vs. 6.2% for mAbs 7 and 153, P < 0.05). The lower MFI for commercially conjugated mAb 9601 may be explained by the fact that the NIR fluorophore label did not significantly hinder mAb binding to its target on PC3 cells.

Multimodal Imaging

Noninvasive, DsRed fluorescence imaging of each mouse at 2-wk intervals allowed for monitoring of primary prostate tumors and detection of LN involvement in some animals. Although some metastatic LNs could be easily visualized, it was necessary to hold the abdominal skin taut on some mice for LN staging (Supplemental Fig. 2). By weeks 10–12 after implantation, metastases usually involved the lumbar LNs and sometimes also medial iliac, renal, sciatic, inguinal, or popliteal LNs.

From noninvasive small-animal PET/CT, a radiotracer signal from primary prostatic tumors and LNs bound by dual-labeled mAb was detected. Figure 5 shows coronal, sagittal, and 3-dimensional views from small-animal PET/CT of a representative mouse, administered dual-labeled mAb 153, in which the prostate, lumbar, and renal LNs and 1 sciatic LN were PET-positive. In situ DsRed reporter gene imaging (Figs. 5D and 5G) demonstrated the presence of PC3 cells in the same tissues, confirming a primary tumor and LN metastases. DsRed fluorescence in this animal’s right sciatic LN was observed during in vivo imaging of the dorsal side and ex vivo imaging. Similarly, in situ NIRF imaging of the same mouse (Figs. 5E and 5H) demonstrated that the same cancer-positive tissue could be detected from specifically bound, dual-labeled mAb 153. The tissues were also shown in white-light images (Figs. 5F and 5I). Radiotracer and NIRF signals were also detected in the liver, kidneys, and bladder, which is not uncommon for a whole-mAb imaging agent. Although dual-labeled mAb 153 was administered to the mouse shown in Figure 5, these images are representative of multimodal imaging of cancer-positive LNs by all 3 anti-EpCAM mAbs tested in the current study, because similar images were also captured of mice given mAbs 7 and 9601. To compare mAb performance in our metastatic PCa model, quantitative analyses of both in vivo small-animal PET/CT and in situ NIRF imaging were conducted.

Quantitative Small-Animal PET Image Analyses

Table 2 lists the mean TBR of %ID/g for DsRed-positive LNs in PCa-positive mice and the AUC for each dual-labeled mAb tested. Although the percentage of DsRed-positive (i.e., cancer-positive) LNs found in each group of mice was similar (range, 10%–14%), the mean TBRs for DsRed-positive LNs in mice administered dual-labeled mAbs 7 (5.79 ± 3.3 %ID/g) and 153 (3.77 ± 0.9 %ID/g) were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than the mean TBR for DsRed-positive LNs in mice given the dual-labeled isotype control mAb 69 (1.79 ± 0.9 %ID/g). No significant difference was found between TBRs for in-house mAbs 7 and 153; however, the mean TBR for mAb 9601 (3.68 ± 3.2 %ID/g) was significantly lower than that for mAb 7 (P < 0.05).

Figure 6 shows the ROC curve generated from quantitative small-animal PET for each dual-labeled mAb tested. The AUC for mAbs 7 (87.0%) and 153 (78.0%) were both significantly greater than the 50% nondiscriminatory line (P < 0.001), whereas the AUC for mAb 9601 (60.7%) was not significantly different from a 50/50 random guess (Table 2). The AUC (27%) for isotype control mAb 69, which is specific for pili expression in Enterococcus faecalis (26),
was less than 50%. Given that a perfect classification in ROC analysis is defined as a true-positive rate of 1.0 and a false-positive rate of 0, mAbs 7 and 153 each demonstrated better classification or concordance between small-animal PET/CT and DsRed reporter gene signals and overall higher sensitivity than commercial mAb 9601 and control mAb 69. Using the optimal TBR cutoff value that maximized the function of the ROC curve generated for each mAb (Table 2), we found that corresponding sensitivities for in-house mAbs 153 (94.4%) and 7 (92.9%) were substantially greater than the sensitivity found for commercial mAb 9601 (66.7%). Specificities were similar for all 3 anti-EpCAM conjugates.

**NIRF Image Analyses**

Although NIRF imaging has not been validated for quantitative imaging in the way that small-animal PET has, we nonetheless computed the MFI for each LN imaged in situ found from 2-dimensional NIRF images to semiquantitatively evaluate the accuracy of each dual-labeled mAb during NIRF imaging for potential application for intraoperative guidance during LN resection. As shown in Table 3, the number of LNs examined in situ during NIRF imaging was fewer than that imaged in vivo during small-animal PET/CT (Table 2). From this subset of LNs imaged in situ, many were cancer-positive (range, 26%–48%). Similar to TBRs found from small-animal PET/CT, the mean TBR of MFI for mAbs 7 (5.24 ± 0.8 MFI units) and 153 (3.45 ± 1.8 MFI units) were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than the mean TBR for DsRed-positive LNs in mice that received dual-labeled isotype control mAb 69 (1.58 ± 0.1 MFI units) and dual-labeled mAb 9601 (1.48 ± 0.4 MFI units). Also, the TBR for mAb 7 was found to be significantly higher than that for mAb 153 ($P < 0.05$).

**TABLE 1**

Characterization of Imaging Conjugates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mAb</th>
<th>$k_a$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_d$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Fab equilibrium affinity constant ($K_D = k_d/k_a$ (nM))</th>
<th>No. of DOTA/protein</th>
<th>No. of IRDye800/protein</th>
<th>Percentage biologic activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9601</td>
<td>2.6 × 10$^5$</td>
<td>4.0 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>79.5 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>2.2 × 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.2 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>4.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>81.8 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3 × 10$^5$</td>
<td>9.9 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>86.4 (1.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Not applicable†</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>4.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.3)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $P < 0.05$ for mAb 7 vs. 9601 and 153.
† Not applicable because mAb 69 is isotype control targeting pili.
‡ $P < 0.05$ for IgG1 isotype control mAb 69 vs. mAb 7 and 153 and for commercial IgG2b isotype control (0.7% ± 0.1%, data not shown in Table 1) vs. mAb 9601.

Data in parentheses are SDs.
ROC curve analyses (Table 3) of the dual-labeled mAbs from in situ NIRF imaging revealed that mAb 7 had a significant level of accuracy (96%, \(P < 0.001\)) for detecting cancer-positive nodes with 100% sensitivity and 88.9% specificity at the curve’s optimal TBR cutoff value. Although commercial mAb 9601 also demonstrated significant accuracy (87.0%, \(P < 0.006\)) using in situ NIRF imaging, with a sensitivity and specificity of 88.9% and 63.6%, respectively, the accuracy rate found for in-house mAb 153 was not significant (58.0%) because of relatively low sensitivity (81.3%) and markedly lower specificity (35.3%). Again, similar to results found for small-animal PET/CT, the AUC for isotype control mAb 69 during NIRF imaging was less than 50.0%.

Given that only a subset of LNs was analyzed for in situ NIRF imaging, further ROC curve analyses were performed using data from small-animal PET/CT for the same subset of LNs to directly compare results from multimodal imaging for the exact same LNs. Similar to the trend in accuracy levels found for the different mAbs when small-animal PET/CT data from all LNs examined were analyzed (Table 2), accuracy rates of mAbs 7, 153, 9601, and 69 for detecting metastatic nodes were 92.7% (\(P < 0.001\)), 84.2% (\(P < 0.001\)), 58.6%, and 36.0%, respectively, when small-animal PET/CT data from only the subset of LNs were analyzed (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Sentinel LN dissection has been the standard of care in breast cancer staging and recently has been under investigation for staging PCa (27,28). It is also notable that with the advent of improved systemic treatment, recent studies have shown that breast cancer patients with limited sentinel LN metastases have gained no benefit from extensive LN dissection (29). Earlier detection of PCa using prostate-specific antigen testing and pelvic LN dissection may continue to decrease mortality rates due to PCa but may also inherently increase the risk for overtreatment in low-risk PCa patients (30), especially with the advent of new pharmacologic therapies against PCa. A molecularly targeted imaging agent that offers the benefits of both noninvasive imaging and intraoperative guidance for resection of cancer-positive LNs is needed for more accurate and effective PCa staging.

EpCAM, which is overexpressed in more than 87% of PCa cases (10), was identified 30 y ago as a tumor-associated
antigen that today is known to be intensely expressed on many epithelial carcinomas while being less intensely expressed and less accessible on the basolateral surface of normal epithelia (12,31–33). When administered therapeutically, anti-EpCAM mAbs with the highest binding affinities are not well tolerated in clinical studies. Instead, anti-EpCAM mAbs with attenuated affinities exhibit less systemic toxicity and have been moderately successful in clinical trials (18). Yet, when administered as a diagnostic at subtherapeutic dosages, the attenuated affinity of anti-EpCAM mAbs could potentially affect imaging sensitivity, thus motivating our studies to evaluate imaging performance. Furthermore, when used in imaging diagnostics, mAbs are often converted to antibody fragments, which maintain antigen binding activity but have reduced circulation times, thus producing more desirable pharmacokinetics to reduce background imaging signal (34). However, affinity-attenuated mAb fragments often result in reduced targeting and imaging sensitivity, lacking the relatively long half-life needed to find their target. Although others have used therapeutic mAbs as radiolabeled and fluorescently labeled diagnostic agents in clinical and preclinical studies, we sought to first develop mAbs with the highest affinities and assess imaging performance before further modification.

Our SPR-based selection strategy allowed the identification of high-affinity mAbs produced from hybridoma clones for testing in our mouse model of human PCa LN metastases. Imaging analysis was accelerated using a fluorescent DsRed reporter gene as ground truth during assessment of LN metastases. Consistent with SPR affinity of their Fab region measurements, dual-labeled mAbs 153 and 7 as noninvasive small-animal PET/CT agents possessed greater sensitivity than commercial mAb 9601 and had a significantly higher accuracy rate than random chance. In contrast, the AUC of mAb 9601 was not significantly greater than the 50% line of nondiscrimination. Although the TBRs of %ID/g of cancer-positive LNs imaged in vivo using dual-labeled mAbs 153 and 7 were significantly greater than that found using mAb 69, and the TBR of %ID/g using mAb 7 was significantly greater than that found using mAb 69, there was no significant difference between the TBRs of %ID/g for dual-labeled mAbs 153 and 7, even though their measured Fab region affinities differed by 4-fold.

From NIRF imaging, TBRs of MFI for both mAb 153 and mAb 7 were significantly high, compared with both commercial mAb 9601 and control mAb 69, whereas the
TABLE 2
Quantitative Small-Animal PET Image Analyses Using DsRed-Positive LNs as True-Positive Indicator of LN Metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mAb</th>
<th>No. of mice tested</th>
<th>LNs examined</th>
<th>Percentage DsRed-positive</th>
<th>TBR of %ID/g</th>
<th>AUC†</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Optimal TBR cutoff (%ID/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9601</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean TBR (±SD) of %ID/g for DsRed-positive LNs in prostate cancer–positive mice.
†AUC from ROC curve analysis (curves are shown in Fig. 6).
‡P < 0.05 for mAbs 7 and 153 vs. mAb 69 and for mAb 7 vs. mAb 9601. No significant difference was found between mAbs 7 and 153.
§P < 0.001 for mAbs 7 and 153 vs. AUC of 50% (line of nondiscrimination).
†Not applicable because mAb 69 is isotype control.

TBR of MFI for mAb 7 was significantly greater than that for mAb 153. ROC curve results for mAb 7 demonstrated similarities in accuracy and sensitivity between NIRF imaging and small-animal PET/CT. However, the accuracy for mAb 153 from NIRF imaging was markedly decreased relative to that found from quantitative small-animal PET/CT. The inconsistent trends observed for mAb 153 may be due to variability in parameters such as incident excitation fluence, tissue absorption, and heterogeneous location of metastatic lesions within tissues and limitations in quantification of NIRF imaging. Because mAbs 153 and 7 possess different epitope binding footprints, the comparison of their imaging performance may not be appropriate relative to the comparison between mAbs 9601 and 153, which do share the same binding epitope on EpCAM. Taken together, these findings nonetheless suggest that high-affinity mAbs are necessary for optimal multimodality detection of metastatic LNs in this PCa model. We expect that the impact of affinity on imaging performance may be more significant using antibody fragments because limited target accessibility due to reduced circulation times may restrict imaging sensitivity.

There are limited reports of quantitative, molecular imaging in animal models of metastatic disease and significant shortcomings associated with studies of antibody-based targeting of human epitopes in mouse models. Because the mAbs used herein possessed low efficiency in targeting homologous mouse EpCAM in vitro, imaging contrast may be artificially high in our studies relative to TBRs that might be expected in human studies. It is also important to note that our quantitative results may have underpredicted specificity because the mAbs tested were whole antibody molecules having Fc regions. Nonspecific binding of full mAbs by Fc receptors on immunocompetent cells is inherent in animal models. The reduction of nonspecific binding can be achieved through modification of the Fc region (35) or by development of dual-labeled specific antibody fragments such as diabodies or single-chain variable fragments to eliminate Fc regions (34). In addition to being a mechanism for nonspecific regions (36), Fc receptor–mediated interaction with mAb is known to play an important role in reducing mAb clearance (37), increasing half-life in the blood circulation (38), and therefore increasing background signal that in turn can reduce imaging contrast. These phenomena obviate mAb fragment–based agent development during contrast agent optimization for detection of metastatic LNs in PCa.

Although preclinical NIRF tomography is under development in several laboratories, quantitative optical imaging remains to be validated. Nevertheless, we analyzed in situ NIRF images produced in the present study to evaluate the potential for application of NIRF imaging for intraoperative guidance during LN resection. Fluorescent DsRed reporter gene imaging enabled the rapid identification of LN metastases and provided ground truth for assessing true- and false-positive rates for evaluation of both small-animal PET and NIRF imaging from a single, dual-labeled agent. However, from our imaging studies, it is not obvious whether signals detected were from mAb-bound PCa cells within the peripheral, paracortical, or medullary regions or throughout cancer-positive LNs. Although not a focus of the present study, future investigation will include immunohistochemistry to analyze cancer cell location and mAb penetration into positive LNs. Conflicting reports have suggested both penetration and resistance to penetration of blood-borne mAb into the LN paracortex (39,40). Though the degree of mAb penetration remains to be determined in our model, tumor cells may have impaired access to intranodal compartments in early metastasis, and their detection may not require mAb penetration. At the other extreme, in extensive disease where LN architecture is consumed by tumor, access of the contrast agent to the periphery of metastatic lesions may be sufficient for successful diagnostic imaging.
The minimum number of cancer cells detectable using either small-animal PET or NIRF imaging was not assessed here, but after the optimization of mAb fragment–based agents it will be studied from the concordance of cytometric analyses of DsRed- and NIRF-positive cells from resected LNs. Although biodistribution studies will also be performed after optimization of a mAb fragment–based agent, it is important to note that the lack of cross-reactivity to mouse EpCAM may result in limited information. Despite the lack of cross-reactivity in the model used, we nonetheless implemented quantitative small-animal PET/CT to assess the impact of affinity of dual-labeled mAb-based agents for detecting human PCa LN metastasis in an orthotopic mouse model. In addition, we demonstrated the potential for assessing accuracy of NIRF imaging for clinical application, and that development and validation of fully quantitative NIRF imaging is needed for comprehensive analyses of multimodal, NIRF-labeled imaging agents. On the basis of quantitative small-animal PET, our study demonstrates that mAb affinity affects imaging performance as evaluated using ROC curve analyses.

CONCLUSION

mAbs for targeting EpCAM on the surface of PCa cells in metastatic LNs in an orthotopic mouse model of human PCa, with binding affinities and biologic activities that surpassed those of commercially available anti-EpCAM, were generated in-house. Using the quantitative analyses of noninvasive small-animal PET/CT images of LNs from our DsRed reporter gene mouse model, we demonstrated a greater concordance between small-animal PET/CT and the DsRed fluorescence indicator for cancer positivity with mAbs 7 and 153 (generated in-house) than with commercial mAb 9601 and significant accuracy rates of both dual-labeled in-house mAbs. From analyses of in situ NIRF imaging, we found that dual-labeled mAb 7 was significantly accurate for the detection of metastatic LNs, with sensitivity and specificity rates comparable to or exceeding those found from small-animal PET/CT. Thus, mAbs 7 and 153 are attractive candidates for further multimodal imaging agent development aimed at optimizing sensitivity and specificity for the detection of metastatic LNs in PCa through affinity maturation to enhance binding affinity and creation of mAb fragments to reduce circulation times and eliminate Fc binding regions responsible for nonspecific binding. Quantitative imaging and analyses are essential for contrast agent assessment.

**TABLE 3**

NIRF Image Analyses Using DsRed-Positive LNs as True-Positive Indicator of LN Metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mAb</th>
<th>No. of mice tested</th>
<th>LNs examined in situ</th>
<th>TBR of MFI*</th>
<th>AUC†</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Optimal TBR cutoff for MFI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9601</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.4</td>
<td>87.0†</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.45§ ± 1.8</td>
<td>58.0†</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.24§ ± 0.8</td>
<td>96.0†</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>Not applicable∥</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean TBR (±SD) of MFI for DsRed-positive lymph nodes in prostate cancer-positive mice.
†AUC from ROC curve analysis.
‡P < 0.001 and < 0.006 for mAbs 7 and 9601, respectively, vs. AUC of 50% (line of nondiscrimination).
§P < 0.05 for mAbs 7 and 153 vs. mAb 69 and mAb 9601 and for mAb 7 vs. mAb 153.
∥Not applicable because mAb 69 is isotype control.
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