Targeted Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging of Tumor Angiogenesis with Contrast Microbubbles Conjugated to Integrin-Binding Knottin Peptides
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Targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging is increasingly being recognized as a powerful imaging tool for the detection and quantification of tumor angiogenesis at the molecular level. The purpose of this study was to develop and test a new class of targeting ligands for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis with small, conformationally constrained peptides that can be coupled to the surface of ultrasound contrast agents. Methods: Directed evolution was used to engineer a small, disulfide-constrained cystine knot (knottin) peptide that bound to αvβ3 integrins with a low nanomolar affinity (KnottinIntegrin). A targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging contrast agent was created by attaching KnottinIntegrin to the shell of perfluorocarbon-filled microbubbles (MB-KnottinIntegrin). A knottin peptide with a scrambled sequence was used to create control microbubbles (MB-KnottinScrambled). The binding of MB-KnottinIntegrin and MB-KnottinScrambled to αvβ3 integrin-positive cells and control cells was assessed in cell culture binding experiments and compared with that of microbubbles coupled to an anti-αvβ3 integrin monoclonal antibody (MBαvβ3) and microbubbles coupled to the peptidomimetic agent c(RGDfK) (MBcRGD). The in vivo imaging signals of contrast-enhanced ultrasound with the different types of microbubbles were quantified in 42 mice bearing human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumors. Results: MB-KnottinIntegrin attached significantly more to αvβ3 integrin-positive cells (1.76 ± 0.49 [mean ± SD] microbubbles per cell) than to control cells (0.07 ± 0.006). Control MB-KnottinScrambled adhered less to αvβ3 integrin-positive cells (0.15 ± 0.12) than MB-KnottinIntegrin. After blocking of integrins, the attachment of MB-KnottinIntegrin to αvβ3 integrin-positive cells decreased significantly. The in vivo ultrasound imaging signal was significantly higher after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin than after the administration of MBαvβ3 or control MB-KnottinScrambled. After in vivo blocking of integrin receptors, the imaging signal after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin decreased significantly (by 64%). The imaging signals after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin were not significantly different in the groups of tumor-bearing mice imaged with MB-KnottinIntegrin and with MBcRGD. Ex vivo immunofluorescence confirmed integrin expression on endothelial cells of human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumors. Conclusion: Integrin-binding knottin peptides can be conjugated to the surface of microbubbles and used for in vivo targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis. Our results demonstrate that microbubbles conjugated to small peptide-targeting ligands provide imaging signals higher than those provided by a large antibody molecule.
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Targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging with gas-filled echogenic microbubbles as contrast agents is increasingly being recognized as a promising and powerful molecular imaging tool (1). Ultrasound contrast agents can be targeted to specific molecular markers through the attachment of appropriate ligands to the surface of the microbubbles. After intravenous injection, these functionalyzed microbubbles attach at tissue sites expressing the target molecular marker, leading to a local increase in the ultrasonic imaging signal. Because of their size (several micrometers), currently available contrast microbubbles stay predominantly within the vascular system (2). This feature makes targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging exquisitely attractive as a noninvasive imaging tool for detecting and tracking biologic processes on vascular endothelial cells, such as tumor angiogenesis.

In tumor angiogenesis, which is the formation and recruitment of new blood vessels from the surrounding host tissue, various molecular markers are overexpressed on tumor vascular endothelial cells (3,4). These molecular markers are involved in the regulation and maintenance of
an angiogenic phenotype that is needed in many tumors to maintain a sufficient supply of oxygen, nutrients, and other growth factors. Among others, integrins are well-characterized molecular markers of tumor angiogenesis. Integrins are a class of heterodimeric cell surface adhesion receptors that are overexpressed on tumor endothelial cells during tumor angiogenesis. They bind to extracellular matrix proteins such as fibronectin, fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, vitronectin, and proteolysis-generated forms of collagen and laminin, which promote cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix and activation of signaling pathways involved in tumor cell growth, invasion, and metastasis (5). In particular, αvβ3 integrin is highly expressed on activated angiogenic endothelial cells in tumor vessels, resulting in cancers that are more invasive, more migratory, and better able to survive in different microenvironments (6). Therefore, noninvasive imaging strategies for the detection and quantification of αvβ3 integrin may be particularly helpful for diagnosing cancer at early stages, developing anticancer therapeutic agents in preclinical animal models, and monitoring antiangiogenic and tumoricidal treatments in cancer patients.

Recent studies showed the feasibility of targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis with different commercially available integrin-targeting ligands, such as peptidomimetic agents, monoclonal antibodies, or echistatin (7–9). In the present proof-of-principle study, we tested whether engineered cystine knot (knottin) peptides could be coupled to the surface of contrast microbubbles and used as a new class of targeting ligands for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis. Knottin peptides are small peptides (30–40 amino acids) containing conformationally constrained loop structures that naturally bind to a wide variety of targets (10). Previously, directed evolution was used to engineer the surface-exposed trypsin-binding loop of the Ecballium elaterium trypsin inhibitor II knottin peptide (11) to bind to tumor-specific integrin receptors with a low nanomolar affinity (12). Here, we chemically coupled this integrin-binding knottin peptide to the surface of contrast microbubbles and assessed their ability to bind to tumor cells expressing αvβ3 integrin and their ability to be used as ultrasound contrast agents for human subcutaneous ovarian cancer xenograft tumors in living mice. We compared our results with those obtained with microbubbles conjugated to an integrin-binding peptidomimetic agent or an antiintegrin monoclonal antibody. Our study validates knottin as a new class of targeting ligands for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis of Integrin-Binding Ligand (KnottinIntegrin)

An engineered knottin peptide (KnottinIntegrin) containing the sequence GCPQGRGDWAPTCCSQDSCLAGCVCGBPNDGC was synthesized on a CS336 solid-phase peptide synthesizer (CS Bio Co.) by 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl chemistry using Rink amide resin (CS Bio Co.). 9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl groups on protected amino acids (Novabiochem/EMD Chemicals Inc.) were removed with 20% piperidine in N,N-dimethylformamide. Amino acid coupling was performed with N-hydroxybenzotriazole and diisopropylcarbodiimide in N,N-dimethylformamide. After synthesis, side-chain deprotection and resin cleavage were achieved by the addition of a 94:2:5:2.5:1 (v/v) mixture of trifluoroacetic acid/trisopropylsilane/ethanedithiol:water for 2 h at room temperature.

Crude peptide was purified by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Varian Prostar instrument (Varian, Inc.), a Vydac C18 column (Grace/Vydac), and a linear gradient composed of 90% acetonitrile in water containing 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid. Folding reactions were performed by incubating the peptide overnight with 2.5 mM reduced glutathione and 20% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide in 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate (pH 9). The folded knottin peptide was purified by reversed-phase HPLC as described earlier and specifically biotinylated at its N terminus for conjugation to the microbubble shell. For this purpose, a molar excess of EZ-Link NHS-PEO4-Biotin (Pierce Chemical Co.) was reacted overnight with purified KnottinIntegrin in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 8). The resulting bioconjugate was purified by reversed-phase HPLC, and the molecular mass was determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time-of-flight mass spectrometry ([M+H]+, 3,678.01 Da). Peptide concentrations were determined by amino acid analysis (AAA Service Laboratory).

A knottin peptide (KnottinScrambled) containing a scrambled RGD sequence (GCVTGRGDSPASSCCSQDGSPASSCCSQDSDCLAGCVCGBPNDGC) was also synthesized and biotinylated as described earlier for use as a negative control.

Preparation of Microbubbles

KnottinIntegrin was coupled to commercially available lipid-shelled, perfluorocarbon-containing microbubbles through streptavidin–biotin interactions (MicroMarker contrast agents; VisualSonics). The size (mean ± SD) of the microbubbles was 1.5 ± 0.1 μm (range, 1–2 μm), as assessed by use of a cell counter and sizer (Multisizer III Coulter Counter; Beckman Coulter). As a negative control, biotinylated KnottinScrambled was also coupled to microbubbles for analysis. Two additional types of microbubbles were prepared: microbubbles coupled to a biotinylated rat antimonouse αvβ3 integrin monoclonal antibody (eBioscience) (MBαvβ3) and microbubbles coupled to biotinylated c(RGDfK), an αvβ3 integrin-binding pentapeptide (Peptides International) (MBc(RGDfK)). All ligands were added in excess amounts (10 μg) to occupy all binding sites on the microbubble surface. Unbound ligand remaining after microbubble conjugation was removed by centrifuging the reaction mixture at 300g for 2 min, and microbubble-containing supernatant was collected and reconstituted in saline. This washing procedure was repeated 2 times. On the basis of the assumption of complete surface conjugation, the average number of binding ligands per square micrometer of the microbubble surface was approximately 7,600.

Cell Lines

For cell culture experiments, αvβ3 integrin-positive mouse angiosarcoma endothelial cells (SVR) were grown in a high concentration of glucose (4.5 g/L) in Dulbecco modified Eagle
medium with L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and αβ3 integrin-negative mouse breast cancer cells (4T1; American Type Culture Collection) were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen). For in vivo mouse experiments, human ovarian adenocarcinoma (SKOV-3) cells were grown in McCoy 5A medium (Invitrogen). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL).

Flow Chamber Cell Attachment Studies
To test the specificity of binding of the various microbubbles to mouse αβ3 integrin, 5 × 10⁴ integrin-positive (SVR) and integrin-negative (4T1) cells were grown on cover slips and mounted on a parallel-plate flow chamber (GlycoTech Corp.). In separate experiments, MB-KnottinIntegrin, MB-KnottinScrambled, MBαβ3, or MBRGD at a concentration of 0.7 × 10⁷ microbubbles per milliliter in PBS was passed over the cells by use of a syringe infusion/withdrawal pump (Genie Plus; Kent Scientific Corp.) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min (corresponding to a wall shear rate of 100 s⁻¹, the approximate shear rate in tumor capillaries). This step was followed by a 2-min PBS rinse at the same flow rate. The number of microbubbles attached per cell in 5 randomly selected optical fields was determined by microscopy (at ×400; Axiosvert 25; Carl Zeiss AG). Microbubbles can be directly visualized as small, rounded structures; microbubbles were considered to be attached to cells when there was direct contact with the cells and no evidence of free floating. The number of attached microbubbles and the number of cells were counted to calculate the number of attached microbubbles per cell (13). To further test the binding specificity of MB-KnottinIntegrin, integrin-positive cells were preincubated with an excess of c(RGDyK) pentapeptide (1.25 µmol/µl) to block integrin-binding sites before analysis. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Small-Animal Imaging Experiments
Mouse Tumor Models. Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care of Stanford University. Subcutaneous human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumors were established in the right flank region of 42 female 6- to 8-wk-old nude mice (Charles River Laboratories, Inc.) by subcutaneous injection of 3 × 10⁸ SKOV-3 cells in 50 µL of PBS. Tumors were allowed to grow to a mean maximum diameter of 4 mm (range, 3–8 mm).

Targeted Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging. Two-dimensional fundamental brightness-mode (B-mode) targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging was performed with a dedicated small-animal high-resolution imaging system (Vevo 770; VisualSonics). A 40-MHz high-frequency linear transducer (real-time microvisualization [RMV] scan head 704 [VisualSonics]; lateral and axial resolutions of 100 and 40 μm, respectively; focal length, 6 mm; transmit power, 50%; mechanical index, 0.14; dynamic range, 52 dB) was fixed on a rail system, and the acoustic focus was centered at the level of the subcutaneous tumors. The mice were maintained anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in oxygen (2 L/min) during scanning. All imaging settings were kept constant throughout each imaging session.

In 19 tumor-bearing mice, 5 × 10⁷ MB-KnottinIntegrin and 5 × 10⁷ MBαβ3 were injected intravenously via the tail vein (microbubble volume per injection, 100 µL; injection time, 4 s) in random order during the same imaging session. In an additional 9 tumor-bearing mice, 5 × 10⁷ MB-KnottinIntegrin and 5 × 10⁷ MBαβ3 were injected intravenously in random order during the same imaging session. Between injections, an interval of 30 min was used to allow the clearance of microbubbles from the vasculature. After each injection, targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging was performed with the destruction–replenishment imaging sequence as described previously (14–16). In brief, 120 B-mode imaging frames were acquired over a 6-s period 4 min after each microbubble injection. Next, a continuous high-power destructive pulse (10 MHz; mechanical index, ~0.235; average power, ~0.0676 W/cm²) was applied for 3 s to destroy all microbubbles within the beam elevation. At 9 s after destruction (to allow freely circulating microbubbles to refill tumor vessels), another 120 imaging frames were acquired. The imaging signals (video intensity) from these 120 imaging frames were averaged (to compensate for slight breathing motion artifacts) and digitally subtracted from the initial 120 (predestruction) frames. The resulting difference in video intensity corresponded to the imaging signal attributable to microbubbles adhering to endothelial cell molecular markers.

To test integrin-binding specificity, MB-KnottinIntegrin and MB-KnottinScrambled (negative control) were injected via the tail vein into a subgroup of 7 tumor-bearing mice in random order, and imaging was performed as described earlier. In addition, the hind limb adductor muscle was scanned as a tumor angiogenesis–negative model in these animals after the injection of MB-KnottinIntegrin to assess the contrast enhancement of nonangiogenesis microvasculature (15). Between imaging experiments, an interval of 30 min was used to allow the clearance of microbubbles from the vasculature (17,18). Finally, in vivo blocking experiments were performed with an additional subgroup of 7 tumor-bearing mice to further test integrin-binding specificity. In these mice, 5 × 10⁷ MB-KnottinIntegrin were injected intravenously, and imaging was performed as described earlier. After 30 min to allow the clearance of microbubbles from the blood circulation, c(RGDyK) pentapeptide (0.5 µmol dissolved in 400 µL of PBS) was injected to block integrin receptors. After 30 min to allow the distribution of c(RGDyK), targeted ultrasound imaging with 5 × 10⁷ MB-KnottinIntegrin was repeated.

Image Analysis
Imaging frames were recorded digitally and analyzed offline with commercially available software (Vevo 770 high-resolution micro-ultrasound imaging software; VisualSonics). Average image brightness (video intensity, which corresponds to the 8-bit log compressed gray scale) was measured in regions of interest drawn over the whole tumor on 2-dimensional imaging planes, and the difference in video intensities between predestruction and postdestruction image frames (see earlier description) was automatically displayed by the software as a colored (green) overlay on the B-mode anatomic images.

Ex Vivo Immunofluorescence Staining of Tumors
After ultrasound imaging, subcutaneous tumors were excised, embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (O.C.T.; Sakura Finetek), frozen on dry ice, fixed with ice-cold acetone, and cut into 8-µm sections. Slices were incubated for 1 h in 5% goat serum to block nonspecific binding. For staining of mouse αβ3 integrin, a hamster antimouse β3 subunit primary antibody (1:100 dilution; BD Bioscience), a biotinylated mouse antihamster secondary antibody (1:300 dilution; BD Bioscience), and AlexaFluor 594-labeled streptavidin (1:200 dilution; Invitrogen) were used. Slices were doubly stained for mouse CD31 with a rat

KNOTTIN PEPTIDE–BASED ULTRASOUND AGENT • Willmann et al. 435

jnmo68007-pe ■ 2/5/10
antimouse CD31 primary antibody (1:100 dilution; BD PharMingen) and an AlexaFluor 488-labeled goat antirat secondary antibody (1:500 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). CD31 was used as a marker of vascular endothelial cells. Sections were mounted in aqueous mounting medium (Biomedica), and fluorescent images were acquired by microscopy (Axiophot; Carl Zeiss AG) and documented with a digital camera (AxioCam MRC; Carl Zeiss AG).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are reported as mean ± SD. A 4 × 2 between-groups ANOVA was performed for rates of attachment of microbubbles to cells with fixed factors of contrast agent (MB-KnottinIntegrin, MB-KnottinScrambled, MBcRGD, and MBavβ3) and cell line (integrin-positive and integrin-negative cells). Differences in attachment of MB-KnottinIntegrin to cells with and without adding blocking antibodies were assessed with the Mann–Whitney test. Differences in in vivo ultrasound imaging signals in animals injected with MB-KnottinIntegrin and with other microbubbles (MB-KnottinScrambled, MBcRGD, and MBavβ3) were assessed with the paired Wilcoxon test. The paired Wilcoxon test was also used to test differences in signal intensities in in vivo blocking experiments and to test differences in signal intensities obtained in tumor xenografts and in nonangiogenic normal skeletal muscle tissue. All statistical analyses were done with Stata 9.2 (Stata Corp.). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For the subgroup of 7 mice in which 2 comparisons were made (MB-KnottinIntegrin vs. MB-KnottinScrambled and MB-KnottinIntegrin in tumor vs. hind limb adductor muscle), a Bonferroni adjustment was performed; a P value of less than 0.025 indicated significance. For the flow chamber experiments with 3 comparisons (MB-KnottinIntegrin vs. MB-KnottinScrambled, MBcRGD, and MBavβ3), a P value of less than 0.016 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Flow Chamber Cell Attachment Studies**

MB-KnottinIntegrin attached significantly more (P = 0.0001) to integrin-positive cells (1.76 ± 0.49 microbubbles per cell) than to negative control cells (0.07 ± 0.006 microbubbles per cell) (Table 1). Control MB-KnottinScrambled adhered significantly less (P = 0.002) to integrin-positive cells (0.15 ± 0.12 microbubbles per cell) than MB-KnottinIntegrin (Fig. 1). After preblocking of integrins on integrin-positive cells with c(RGDyK) peptide, the attachment of MB-KnottinIntegrin to these cells decreased significantly (P = 0.04) (Table 1).

**Small-Animal Imaging Experiments**

In the group of tumor-bearing mice that were imaged with both MB-KnottinIntegrin and MBavβ3 in the same imaging session, the imaging signal was significantly higher (P = 0.009) after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin (11.9 ± 6.1) than after the administration of MBavβ3 (5.6 ± 2.3) (Fig. 2). The imaging signals following MB-KnottinIntegrin administration (9.1 ± 6.4) and following MBcRGD administration (7.1 ± 7.9) were not statistically significant different (P = 0.117).

To confirm the specificity of MB-KnottinIntegrin binding to αvβ3 integrin, MB-KnottinScrambled were administered to another group of tumor-bearing mice. The imaging signal was significantly lower (P = 0.018) after the administration of MB-KnottinScrambled (control) (5.2 ± 1.0) than after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin (15.5 ± 5.6) (Fig. 3). To further confirm the αvβ3 integrin-binding specificity of MB-KnottinIntegrin, in vivo blocking experiments were performed with another group of tumor-bearing mice. After in vivo blocking of integrin receptors with c(RGDyK) peptide, the imaging signal after the administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin decreased significantly (P = 0.018), from 13.2 ± 7.0 before blocking to 4.7 ± 4.0 after blocking (Fig. 4). Finally, as a quasi negative control for nonangiogenic vessels, hind limb muscles were also imaged with targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging after the intravenous administration of MB-KnottinIntegrin. The imaging signal in these experiments was significantly lower (1.3 ± 0.3) (P = 0.018) than that in the angiogenic tumor tissues. In vivo imaging data are summarized in Table 2.

**Ex Vivo Immunofluorescence Staining of Tumors**

Immunofluorescence showed colocalization of the β3 subunit with CD31, confirming the presence of mouse αvβ3 integrin on angiogenic endothelial cells within the SKOV-3 tumors used in this study (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this proof-of-principle study, we have demonstrated that tumor angiogenic vessels can be visualized by targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging using contrast...
microbubbles (MB-KnottinIntegrin) targeted with a new, small integrin-binding knottin peptide. The in vivo targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging signal with MB-KnottinIntegrin was similar to or higher than that obtained with a previously reported ultrasound contrast agent functionalized with c(RGDfK) or a monoclonal antibody, respectively (8,9). These data have implications for the development of knottin peptides as ligands for novel microbubble-targeted contrast agents for noninvasive imaging of tumor angiogenesis with targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging.

Targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging is currently being evaluated for the visualization of tumor angiogenesis by use of targeted contrast microbubbles conjugated to various types of ligands that bind to molecular markers of angiogenesis, including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2, endoglin, or integrins (7–9,16,19–21). In this work, we tested whether knottin peptides could be used as a new class of integrin-binding ligands for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging, with the idea that if successful, this study would provide proof-of-concept for engineering knottin peptides against biologic markers of angiogenesis beyond αvβ3 integrins for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis. Knottin peptides are small peptides that consist of a core of at least 3 disulfide bonds that are interwoven into a “knotted” conformation (10). These “miniproteins” are promising molecular scaffolds for protein engineering because their family members share little sequence homology apart from their core cysteine residues. Knottins have great potential for in vivo applications due to their resistance to proteolysis and their high thermal stability (22). In addition, this class of molecules is thought to be nonimmunogenic (23,24). Because of their small size, knottin peptides can be produced by solid-phase synthesis, allowing chemical handles to be introduced for site-specific
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FIGURE 1. Representative images of integrin-positive (A–D) and integrin-negative (E–H) cells exposed to MB-KnottinIntegrin (A and E), MBcRGD (B and F), MBαvβ3 (C and G), and MB-KnottinScrambled (D and H) under flow shear stress conditions in flow chamber cell culture attachment studies. Microbubbles are small, rounded white structures.

FIGURE 2. Targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging with MB-KnottinIntegrin (A) and MBαvβ3 (B) in nude mouse with subcutaneous human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumor (arrows). Two-dimensional ultrasound imaging was performed in same session, with 30 min between injections to allow clearance of previously injected microbubbles. Molecular imaging signal from attached microbubbles is color coded as green signal overlaid on gray scale ultrasound image and was higher after MB-KnottinIntegrin injection than after MBαvβ3 injection in this tumor. Because subcutaneous human ovarian cancer xenograft tumors are poorly vascularized, only low, but substantial, targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging signal can be measured. In addition, low signal is visible at tumor boundaries, most likely from microbubbles attached to angiogenic vessels in tissue surrounding tumor.
conjugation to the shell of ultrasound contrast microbubbles.

There is limited experience in using novel peptides as binding ligands to assess tumor angiogenesis with targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging. With an in vitro bacterial peptide display library panned against tumor cells derived from SCC-VII murine squamous cell carcinomas, a cyclic peptide containing the tripeptide sequence RRL was identified as a tumor endothelial cell–specific binding peptide (25). This peptide was conjugated to the shell of contrast microbubbles and used for in vivo targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis (15). In that study (15), the in vivo ultrasound imaging signal in subcutaneous human pancreatic cancer xenografts was substantially higher after intravenous administration of RRL-labeled microbubbles than after administration of control peptides, suggesting that the RRL–containing imaging probe allows visualization and quantification of tumor angiogenesis (15). However, the molecular target on tumor endothelial cells for the tumor-binding peptide RRL is not yet known (15).

In our proof-of-principle study, we chose to use a binding ligand to the well-characterized angiogenesis marker αvβ3 integrin to test the feasibility of using knottin peptides for targeting targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging contrast agents. Cell culture binding experiments showed substantially higher binding of MB-KnottinIntegrin to the surface of integrin-positive cells than to negative control cells. Binding to integrin-positive cells could be blocked by preincubating the cells with c(RGDyK) peptide, further confirming binding specificity of MB-KnottinIntegrin to αvβ3 integrin. In vivo, there was a similar trend in small-animal targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging experiments. The imaging signal was substantially higher in angiogenic tumor vessels in tumor xenografts compared with nonangiogenic negative control vessels in hind limb muscle tissues. In addition, binding specificity of our imaging agent was further confirmed by in vivo blocking studies, as well as by injecting microbubbles functionalized with a knottin peptide containing a scrambled integrin-binding sequence (MB-KnottinScrambled). In both control experiments, the ultrasound imaging signal was substantially lower than the imaging signal after MB-KnottinIntegrin injection. Finally, ex vivo immunofluorescence staining confirmed αvβ3 integrin expression on angiogenic tumor vessels in human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumors in mice.

We also compared the in vivo targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging signal after MB-KnottinIntegrin injection with microbubbles functionalized with either c(RGDfK) (MBRGDF), or a monoclonal antibody against the αv subunit of αvβ3 (MBαvβ3). On average, the imaging signal after MB-KnottinIntegrin administration was higher compared with both types of microbubbles, but reached statistical significance only when compared with MBαvβ3. In general, several factors may influence the binding of contrast microbubbles to molecular targets expressed on tumor endothelial cells, including the extent of tumor vascularization (e.g., perfused vs. necrotic parts of the tumor), physical forces that translate the freely circulating microbubbles to the vessel wall, and the density of the expressed target on tumor vessels. Moreover, the properties of the target–ligand interactions are also important, such as the affinity of the nonconjugated binding ligand to the molec-

**FIGURE 3.** Subcutaneous human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumor (arrows) imaged with targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging after intravenous injection of MB-KnottinIntegrin (A) and MB-KnottinScrambled (control) (B). Imaging signal obtained after MB-KnottinIntegrin injection was substantially higher than that obtained after MB-KnottinScrambled (control) injection.

**FIGURE 4.** Transverse color-coded ultrasonic images of subcutaneous human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft tumor (arrows) in nude mouse. Imaging with MB-KnottinIntegrin was performed before (A) and 30 min after (B) intravenous administration of blocking c(RGDyK). Targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging signal, shown as green signal overlaid on gray scale image, was substantially reduced after administration of blocking peptide. Low signal is visible at tumor boundaries, most likely from microbubbles attached to angiogenic vessels in tissue surrounding tumor.
ular target, as well as the avidity of the ligand–microbubble complex to the molecular target. In a recent study, the impact of ligand affinity on immunoliposome nanoparticles targeted to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) was studied in cell culture experiments (26). With directed evolution, single-chain antibody fragments with different EGFR-binding affinities were identified, and the effects of targeted nanoparticles coupled to these antibody fragments on EGFR-expressing tumor cells were studied. With nanoparticles containing high surface densities of antibody fragments, there was no substantial impact of the respective affinity of the antibody fragments (with affinities ranging from 264 to 0.9 nM) on the overall binding of the nanoparticles to EGFR-expressing tumor cells (26). These findings may be explained by an increased functional affinity (i.e., avidity) of the particles due to the conjoint interaction of multiple binding ligands. Although the reported affinity of monomeric cyclic RGD-based ligands to α5β3 integrins is weaker than the affinity of the KnottinIntegrin peptide—with a 50% inhibitory concentration of about 100 nM for c(RGDfK) vs. a 50% inhibitory concentration of about 20 nM for KnottinIntegrin (12,27)—increased avidity from multiple binding ligands may explain the comparable results of MBcRGD and MB-KnottinIntegrin both in cell cultures and in small-animal in vivo experiments in our study. Interestingly, the imaging signal of MBαvβ3 was substantially lower than that of MB-KnottinIntegrin, although the affinities of nonconjugated monoclonal antibodies and KnottinIntegrin are similar (12). Additional factors, such as the geometry or size of binding ligands on the shell of microbubbles (e.g., monoclonal antibodies have a size of ~150 kDa; KnottinIntegrin has a size of ~3 kDa), may have an influence on the overall binding capacity of the targeted microbubbles used in our study. Further studies are warranted to test this hypothesis.

The following limitations of our study need to be addressed. We did not perform an intraindividual comparison of different microbubbles (MB-KnottinIntegrin, MBcRGD, MBαvβ3, MB-KnottinScrambled) in the same animals in the same imaging session. To keep the imaging time of each animal reasonably short we tested the novel MB-KnottinIntegrin in different groups of tumor-bearing animals. This approach reduced possible interactions from still circulating microbubbles from previous injections after repetitive injections of different types of microbubbles. As discussed earlier, the imaging signal after injection of targeted microbubbles depends on various factors, including the extent of tumor vascularization (e.g., perfused endothelial cells) (arrows; yellow on image resulting from merging confirms colocalization). β3 integrin was visualized with AlexaFluor 594 (red), and CD31 was visualized with AlexaFluor 488 (green). Original magnification, ×400.

### TABLE 2. In Vivo Imaging Signals (Expressed as Video Intensities) Obtained with Different Types of Microbubbles in SKOV-3 Tumors and Muscle in Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of microbubble</th>
<th>Mean ± SD video intensity in study:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (SKOV-3 tumors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-KnottinIntegrin</td>
<td>11.9 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBαvβ3</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBcRGD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-KnottinScrambled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-KnottinIntegrin after blocking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05.

*P < 0.01.

*Not significant.

SKOV-3 = human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft; muscle = hind limb muscle tissue (control).
vs. necrotic parts of the tumor) and the profiles of expression of the target \(\alpha_v\beta_3\) integrin on tumor endothelial cells. The fact that MB-Knottin\textsubscript{ Integrin } was tested in different groups of animals with various sizes of tumors in our study may explain the difference in the mean imaging signal after MB-Knottin\textsubscript{ Integrin } injection in our study. Although this was not the focus of our study, future studies are needed to correlate targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging signal after MB-Knottin\textsubscript{ Integrin } injection and levels of \(\alpha_v\beta_3\) integrin expression to further confirm this hypothesis. Furthermore, the ultrasound imaging system (Vevo 770) used in the present study allowed imaging only in the fundamental mode, and nonlinear scanning with substantial suppression of background signal was not possible with this imaging system. This may account for the relatively low overall imaging signal from bound contrast microbubbles in the tumor xenografts in this study. Finally, for this proof-of-principle study, streptavidin–biotin interactions were used to couple knottin peptides onto the surface of contrast microbubbles. Because streptavidin is immunogenic and can cause severe allergic reactions in patients, alternative binding chemistries will be needed for coupling knottin peptides onto the microbubble shell for future clinical translation.

CONCLUSION

The results of our study suggest that knottin peptide–based contrast microbubbles can be created and successfully used for targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging of integrins in the tumor vasculature. Because knottin peptides have the potential to be engineered as binding ligands for a variety of different biologic markers of angiogenesis beyond \(\alpha_v\beta_3\) integrins, this work opens a pathway toward the development of future novel targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging agents.
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