Antibody Mass Escalation Study in Patients with Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Using $^{111}$In-J591: Lesion Detectability and Dosimetric Projections for $^{90}$Y Radioimmunotherapy
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J591, a monoclonal antibody that targets the external domain of the prostate-specific membrane antigen, has potential as an agent for radioimmunotherapy. A pilot trial was performed in patients with prostate cancer using repetitive administrations of escalating masses of J591. An analysis was performed to assess lesion detectability by $^{111}$In-J591 $\gamma$-camera imaging compared with standard imaging methods and the effect of increasing antibody mass on lesion detectability, biodistribution, and dosimetry.

Methods: Fourteen patients with metastatic prostate cancer received escalating amounts (10, 25, 50, and 100 mg) of J591 in a series of administrations each separated by 3 wk. All antibody administrations included a fixed amount of the radiolabeled antibody $^{111}$In-J591 $\gamma$-camera imaging compared with standard imaging methods and the effect of increasing antibody mass on lesion detectability, biodistribution, and dosimetry.

Results: A total of 80 lesions in 14 patients were detected. Both skeletal and soft-tissue lesions were targeted by the antibody as seen on $^{111}$In-J591 scans. The antibody localized to 93.7% of skeletal lesions detected by conventional imaging. Clearance of radioactivity from the whole body, serum, and liver was dependent on antibody mass. Normalized average values of the ratio of residence times between lesion and liver for 10, 25, 50, and 100 mg of antibody were 1.0, 1.9, 3.2, and 4.0. Dosimetric projections for radioimmunotherapy with $^{90}$Y-labeled J591 suggested similar absorbed doses to lesions for treatment at the maximally tolerated activity (MTA), irrespective of antibody mass. However, absorbed doses to liver at the MTA would be antibody mass-dependent with estimates of 20, 10, 7, and 5 Gy for 10, 25, 50, and 100 mg of J591. Conclusion: The proportion of the amount of antibody increased in lesions and decreased in the liver with increasing mass of administered antibody up to a dose of 50 mg. Proportional hepatic uptake continued to decrease with increasing antibody mass up to 100 mg. The optimal antibody mass for radioimmunotherapy would therefore appear to be greater than or equal to 50 mg.
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Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is a transmembrane glycoprotein found on prostate epithelial cells. Both benign and malignant prostatic compared with non-prostatic tissues show excess PSMA expression (1). PSMA expression appears to be greatest in primary prostate adenocarcinoma and nodal metastasis (2,3). The radiolabeled anti-PSMA antibody capromab pendetide (ProstaScint; Cytogen Corp.) has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for evaluation of metastatic prostate cancer by scintigraphic imaging. Capromab pendetide antibody targets an internal domain of PSMA and has been used in management of prostate cancer to detect metastatic disease and recurrence (4,5). J591 (Millennium Pharmaceuticals), a monoclonal IgG1 antibody that targets the external domain of PSMA (6,7), was derived from the original murine J591 by replacement of the B- and T-cell epitopes (8). J591 exhibits immune effector function, mainly by inducing antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (7). The antibody can be conjugated to a variety of
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 14 patients with metastatic biopsy-proven prostate
cancer were studied. All patients had progression of disease as
determined by an increase in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and
in lesions on bone scans or either an increase in the number of
lesions or more than a 25% increase in bidimensional lesion
measurement on CT scans. A minimum PSA of 4 ng/dL was re-
quired, and a 25% rise in PSA over 2 measurements obtained 4 wk
apart or 3 measurements performed 1 wk apart was considered
significant for progression. All patients had received previous
therapy with hormones. All patients provided written informed
consent, and the protocol was approved by the Institutional
Review Board of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, the
sole study site.

Study Design

This study featured a novel design of intrapatient mass esca-
lation of administered antibody as described previously (15).
A total of 14 patients were entered into the study, with each patient
receiving cycles of J591 with progressively increasing antibody

mass: 12 patients received all 4 antibody infusions, 1 patient
received 3 antibody infusions (10, 50, and 100 mg), and 1 patient
received 2 antibody infusions (10 and 25 mg). Starting at 10 mg,
the mass of administered antibody was increased at 3-wk intervals
to 25 mg on day 22, 50 mg on day 43, and 100 mg on day 64. All
administrations, irrespective of the total antibody mass, included
a fixed amount of radiolabeled antibody 111In-DOTA-J591 (2 mg of
J591 labeled with 185 MBq [5 mCi] of 111In via the chelating
agent DOTA) (15). All infusions were administered at a rate of at
least 5 mg of antibody/min (15).

Antibody Radiolabeling

J591 antibody was conjugated with DOTA by direct coupling of
1 of the 4 carboxylic acid groups of DOTA to the primary amines
present in the protein structure and subsequently labeled with
111In as described previously (9). The specific activity of 111In-
DOTA-J591 was approximately 220 MBq/mg (7.6 mCi/mg). All
radiolabeled material was checked for radiochemical purity using
instant thin-layer chromatography and immunoreactivity using
previously described methods (15). The radiolabeling yield was
more than 85%, radiochemical purity was 99%, and immunore-
activity was 72% or higher for all administered doses.

γ-Camera Imaging

Anterior and posterior whole-body planar scans were acquired on a dual-head γ-camera (Philips Inc.) using dual-energy acqui-
sition centered at 171 and 245 keV with 20% windows. Each
patient underwent at least 3 whole-body scans performed on the
day of infusion, between days 2 and 4, and between days 5 and 7,
with separate scans of an 111In standard of known activity and
background acquired contemporaneously. All patients also under-
went SPECT scans (64 steps, 40 s each) of the chest, abdomen, or
pelvis to further evaluate sites of uptake from planar imaging or
known areas of disease from conventional imaging. SPECT
images were constructed using iterative reconstruction and attenu-
ation correction.

Image Interpretation and Lesion Detection

All images were reviewed by nuclear medicine physicians un-
aware of the other conventional imaging results for tumor target-
ing. For each patient, anterior and posterior whole-body images
obtained after each antibody infusion at 3 time points and SPECT
images were visually analyzed. All visualized areas of uptake
were graded on a scale of 1–5 (1, negative; 2, probably negative;
3, equivocal; 4, probably positive; 5, definitely positive). All such
areas of uptake were recorded. Baseline CT scans and 99mTc-
methylene diphosphonate bone scans were reviewed for lesions by
separate radiologists who were unaware of the results of the anti-
body scans. All lesions detected by antibody scans and CT or bone
scanning were recorded separately and then compared.

Whole-Body Clearance Measurements

Anterior and posterior whole-body counting rates were mea-
sured by a 12.7-cm (5-in)-thick sodium iodide scintillation detec-
tor probe at a fixed geometry (3 m from probe to patient). A total
of 5–7 measurements were made on each patient from the day of
infusion for up to 7 d after antibody administration. The net counting
rates at later times were normalized to the rates immediately
after administration (taken as the 100% value) to yield percentage
retained activities. These data were used to generate clearance
curves and calculate kinetic parameters.
Serum Clearance Measurements

Typically 5 serum samples were obtained: a sample on the day of antibody administration at 5, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after antibody infusion and a sample on at least 3 occasions for up to 7 d after administration. Aliquots of serum were measured in duplicate using a γ-well counter (LKB Wallac, Inc.) together with appropriate $^{111}$In standards. Measured activity concentrations were converted to percentage injected dose per liter and used to generate clearance curves and calculate pharmacokinetic parameters.

Derivation of Whole-Body and Serum Kinetic Parameters

Biologic and effective clearance rates and corresponding half-times were estimated for each treatment cycle using a software application (SAMM II; SAAM Institute Inc.) (17). A monoexponential function was fitted to the whole-body data, whereas both mono- and biexponential functions were fitted to the serum data. Subsequently, whole-body and serum residence times, $\tau$, were calculated according to the formula $\tau = \tilde{A}/A_0$, where $\tilde{A}$ is the cumulated activity (estimated by integration of the time–activity curve) and $A_0$ is the administered activity.

Determination of Uptake in Lesions and Liver

Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn on anterior and posterior γ-camera images to encompass the whole body, on selected lesions that were clearly seen on both anterior and posterior images and were away from the site of the blood pool, on the whole liver, and on normal-tissue background. Typically ROIs were initially drawn on the latest images acquired after 50- or 100-mg antibody infusions (where lesions were generally most clearly seen) and then copied and pasted to all other image sets. In all, a total of 24 lesions in 14 patients were examined. Background-corrected geometric mean counts in lesion and liver ROIs were computed and expressed as proportions of the geometric mean whole-body count. These were converted to proportions of administered activity using the effective whole-body clearance curve derived from probe measurement. Residence times in lesions and liver were then estimated by trapezoidal integration. Areas under the terminal portions of the time–activity curves were calculated by extrapolation from the last measured estimate using the apparent terminal clearance rate or physical decay, whichever rate was the shorter.

To assess antibody mass–dependent variations in lesion or liver uptake, a relative uptake parameter was used. Relative uptake was defined as the ratio of residence time in lesions or liver for a particular antibody mass to residence time for an antibody mass of 10 mg. The use of relative uptakes calculated for individual patients minimizes the influence of interpatient differences and enables more optimal paired statistical comparisons between different antibody masses.

Normal-Tissue Dosimetry

Absorbed radiation doses to liver, red marrow, and whole body for $^{111}$In-DOTA-J591 were estimated using the OLINDA/EXM software application (18). The input data were residence times for liver, red marrow, and remainder of body. Residence times for red marrow were derived from serum residence times using standard assumptions (19), namely a proportion of 0.19 of red marrow with a total mass of 1.12 kg composed of extracellular fluid in equilibrium with serum. On this basis, $\tau_{RM} = 0.21\tau_{serum}$, where $\tau_{serum}$ is the per-liter value. The residence time for the remainder of the body was derived by subtracting liver and red marrow residence times from the whole-body residence time. Normal-tissue doses were calculated for each patient on a per-cycle basis using individualized kinetics. No adjustments to standard adult male phantom organ masses were made.

To assess the potential utility of radioimmunotherapy using $^{90}$Y-J591, the projected absorbed doses to normal tissues were estimated, assuming identical biodistribution between $^{111}$In- and $^{90}$Y-labeled antibodies. Residence times for $^{90}$Y-J591 were derived in a similar manner to the procedure used for $^{111}$In-labeled J591, adjusted for the difference in physical half-lives.

Assessment of Targeting Specificity

For each treatment cycle, the ratios of residence time in lesion to those in liver and the whole body were calculated. The lesion-to-liver ratio represents targeting specificity to the main antibody sink, whereas the lesion–to–whole-body ratio represents a more generalized assessment of targeting specificity. These ratios were subsequently normalized for each lesion to the value for a 10-mg antibody dose. Variations in these ratios with antibody mass may be due to changes in uptake or retention in lesions, liver, and whole body, either individually or together.

Projected Absorbed Doses to Lesions for $^{90}$Y Radioimmunotherapy

Lesion dimensions were estimated from CT scans for 18 lesions in 12 patients. Lesion volumes were calculated assuming ellipsoidal geometry, and lesion mass was derived assuming unit density. Subsequently, lesion residence times, corrected for the difference in half-life between $^{90}$Y and $^{111}$In, were assigned to the CT-derived lesion masses.

Absorbed doses to lesions per unit of administered activity ($D_l$) for $^{90}$Y, due only to self-irradiation, were calculated according to the formula $D_l = \Delta \gamma / \phi_l / m_l$, where $\Delta (0.54$ Gy/MBq/h) is the absorbed dose per unit of cumulated activity concentration for $^{90}$Y, $\gamma_l$ is the $^{90}$Y-equivalent lesion residence time, $\phi_l$ is the lesion size–dependent absorbed fraction for $^{90}$Y, and $m_l$ is the estimated lesion mass. To estimate absorbed fractions, the equivalent lesion spheric radius (for spheres of equal volume to ellipsoids, with axes given by the CT lesion measurements) was used to look up $\phi$ for $^{90}$Y from the values tabulated by Bardis et al. (20).

Statistics

Parameter estimates were quoted in terms of mean values and associated SEs. The statistical significance of differences between groups was assessed using paired $t$ tests. For lesion detection, detection rates of the 3 modalities under investigation were compared using McNemar test. The proportion true-positive for each test was evaluated using clinical and radiographic follow-up as the gold standard. Binomial confidence intervals were computed using the Clopper-Pearson method. Some patients contributed more than 1 observation, and the resulting clustered data were analyzed using methods described by Gonen et al. (21).

RESULTS

Fourteen patients with known castration-resistant prostate cancer were treated with $^{111}$In-J591 (Table 1). $^{111}$In-J591 antibody scans were positive in all patients for either bone or soft-tissue lesions (Fig. 1). A total of 90 lesions including 80 bone lesions and 10 soft-tissue lesions were detected by either conventional imaging (CT or bone scanning) or antibody imaging. Soft-tissue lesions involved...
lymph nodes (n = 7) and lung, prostate, and seminal vesicle (n = 1 each). A total of 56 bone lesions were detected by CT or bone scanning, and 8 soft-tissue lesions were seen by CT scanning.

Fifty-four (67.5%) osseous lesions were seen on bone scans and 72 (91.25%) on antibody scans (Table 2). A total of 32 skeletal lesions were detected on CT scans, 6 (7.5%) bony lesions seen on bone scans were not seen on antibody imaging, and 18 (22.5%) lesions seen on antibody scans were not detected on bone or CT scans. There was a statistically significant difference for detection of lesions between the imaging modalities (adjusted McNemar \( \chi^2 \), \( P = 0.01 \)). Of the 18 bony lesions identified on antibody scans alone, 13 (16.25%) were confirmed as true lesions on follow-up bone or CT scans, performed within 4 mo after the antibody scan. Eight bony lesions were not seen on antibody scans but were seen on either bone or CT scans.

A total of 10 soft-tissue lesions were seen on CT scans or antibody scans, 8 soft-tissue lesions were detected on CT scans, and 7 lesions were detected on antibody scans alone (Table 2). Five lesions were seen on both CT and antibody imaging; CT detected 3 lesions not seen on antibody imaging, and antibody imaging alone detected 2 lesions.

Lesions were more evident on antibody scans obtained at later time points after each infusion (Fig. 1). Lesions were more detectable on scans obtained with later infusions with higher (50–100 mg) antibody masses (Fig. 2).

**Uptake and Clearance from Whole Body, Serum, Liver, and Lesions**

The clearance of activity from both whole body and serum conformed to monoexponential kinetics with no apparent separate fast and slow clearance phases. Summary statistics are provided in Table 3. For both whole body and serum, biologic half-times increased with increasing antibody mass. All intergroup differences with one exception were statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)) by paired \( t \) test. The sole exception was the difference between whole-body biologic half-times for antibody masses of 50 and 100 mg, which fell just outside the significance threshold (\( P \sim 0.08 \)).

Residence times for whole body, serum, liver, and lesions are also provided in Table 3. For whole body, serum, and lesions, residence times increased with increasing antibody mass, whereas for liver they decreased.

As described in “Methods and Materials,” relative uptake parameters (the ratio of residence times normalized to the 10-mg level) were used to assess antibody mass-dependent variations in liver and lesion uptake. These are shown in Table 3. All intergroup differences for lesions, except those between antibody masses of 50 and 100 mg, and all intergroup differences for liver, except those between antibody masses of 25 and 50 mg, were statistically significant.

**Normal-Tissue Dosimetry**

Estimates of absorbed doses (in mGy/MBq) for whole body, red marrow, and liver are provided in Table 4. As described in “Materials and Methods,” the values for \( ^{90}Y \) projections are based on the assumption of identical biologic behavior between \( ^{111}In- \) and \( ^{90}Y \)-labeled J591. All intergroup differences were statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)) by paired \( t \) test with the following exceptions: for whole body and red marrow, 25 versus 100 mg and 50 versus 100 mg, respectively, and for liver, 25 versus 50 mg.

**Targeting Specificity**

Targeting specificity was assessed using the relative lesion-to-liver and lesion-to-whole-body residence time ratios. These are shown in Figure 3. The lesion-to-whole-body ratio reached a maximum for an antibody mass of 50 mg. In contrast, the lesion-to-liver ratio continued to increase up to the maximum antibody mass administered (100 mg). This latter finding was because of the continual decrease in liver residence time rather than an increase in lesion residence time (Table 3).

**Dosimetric Projections for \( ^{90}Y \)-Labeled J591**

Projected absorbed doses (mGy/MBq) to lesions for \( ^{90}Y \)-J591 are included in Table 4. The values are for a subset of 15 lesions in 10 patients who received all 4 administration cycles. The trend here was similar to that observed for lesion residence time and relative uptake, with a general increase in lesion-absorbed dose from 10 to 50 mg but no further increase from 50 to 100 mg.

We have previously estimated that an absorbed dose to the red marrow of 1.85 Gy is close to clinical tolerance for a single administration of \( ^{131}I \)-labeled antibody (22). The average administered activities of \( ^{90}Y \)-J591 that would result in a red marrow–absorbed dose of 1.85 Gy were
calculated as a function of antibody mass. These activities, together with the corresponding average absorbed doses to liver and lesions, are shown in Table 5. Major differences are apparent between absorbed doses to liver for different values of antibody mass, with higher doses corresponding to lower antibody masses. In contrast, absorbed doses to lesions appear similar irrespective of antibody mass, because the dependencies between antibody mass and red marrow– and lesion-absorbed doses were similar. The lesion-absorbed dose estimates are very high (Table 5), most likely a consequence of the relatively small lesion mass estimates to which the γ-camera–derived residence times were assigned.

**DISCUSSION**

7E11, a murine antibody that targets the internal domain of PSMA, is widely used to visualize prostate cancer. This antibody (ProstaScint; Cytogen Corp.) is an imaging agent approved by the FDA for the detection of occult metastases from prostate cancer. However, it is unlikely that 7E11 is taken up by active viable disease, because of its binding to the internal domain of PSMA. J591 antibody preferentially binds to the external domain of PSMA and is thus more likely to detect viable prostate tissue.

This report represents an extension and refinement of the initial analysis (15), which reported on toxicity, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity induction, and clinical antitumor effects in this patient group and provided a preliminary assessment of pharmacokinetics. One of the findings reported previously was that there was no difference in clearance characteristics between mixtures of radiolabeled and cold huJ591 antibody when administered either sequentially or concurrently (15). Thus, in the current report no distinction is made between patients on this basis, and
the sole independent variable of interest is the mass of administered antibody. The previous study also reported that liver saturation was essentially complete for an antibody mass of 25 mg, on the basis of the lack of statistical significance between terminal values of the fraction of whole-body counts in the liver for antibody masses greater than or equal to 25 mg. The findings in the current study are more comprehensive and are based on analysis of full areas under the curve. As a proportion of the amount of antibody administered, hepatic uptake continues to decrease up to the highest mass administered (i.e., 100 mg).

We have quantitatively evaluated the uptake of $^{111}$In-J591 in normal tissues and lesions and its dependency on the mass of antibody administered. Various parameters were examined including residence times, relative residence times, lesion-to-nonlesion residence time ratios, and absorbed dose. Irrespective of the parameters used, the findings were consistent and may be summarized as follows: increasing the mass of antibody administered results in an increased proportion of antibody retained in the circulation, in lesions, and in the whole body in general and a reduced proportion retained in the liver.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total skeletal lesions (all imaging)</th>
<th>No. skeletal lesions on bone scan only</th>
<th>No. skeletal lesions on bone or CT scan</th>
<th>No. skeletal lesions on antibody scan only</th>
<th>Total soft-tissue lesions on CT/antibody scan</th>
<th>No. of soft-tissue lesions on CT scan only</th>
<th>No. of soft-tissue lesions on antibody scan only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2.** Patient with metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Anterior and posterior whole-body images were acquired on day of infusion (day 0) and at 72 (day 3) and 120 h (day 5) after injection for each of 10, 25, 50, and 100 mg of administered antibody. Tracer uptake is seen in left rib lesion anteriorly (arrowhead) and right rib lesion posteriorly (arrow). Both these lesions appear to be more clearly seen in scans with higher antibody infusions (50 and 100 mg) than with lower antibody mass infusions (10 and 25 mg).
These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the liver acts as a saturable sink for circulating antibody. Low amounts of antibody are rapidly removed from the circulation, leading to relatively low lesion uptake. As the amount of circulating antibody increases, the liver gradually saturates, a higher proportion remains in the circulation, and the relative lesion uptake increases.

For lesion detection, targeting is seen at all dose levels for lesions in both soft tissue and bone. However, in accordance with the quantitative uptake findings, increased detection of lesions with an increased mass amount of infused antibody was seen. Visually, more lesions were detected in delayed scans than on earlier scans (Fig.1). For any patient, lesions were better detected in the later infusions with a higher antibody mass (i.e., the third [50 mg] and fourth [100 mg] infusions) than in the earlier infusions.

Antibody scans showed good targeting to skeletal disease. As compared with combined CT and bone scans for skeletal lesions, antibody scans detected an additional 13.7% lesions. Compared with bone scans alone, the results matched in 63% of lesions, whereas in 37% of lesions the results were discordant (P = 0.01). Compared with CT scans alone, antibody scans detected significantly more lesions (P = 0.01). There was 45% agreement between antibody and CT scan findings, whereas findings in 37% of lesions were discordant, with more lesions detected on antibody imaging.

Thirteen lesions seen only on antibody scans were confirmed as metastasis on follow-up conventional imaging. Eight lesions seen on bone or CT scans were not seen on antibody scans. Three of these lesions were in pelvic bones overlying sites of blood-pool activity in iliac or femoral vessel regions. Four lesions not seen on antibody imaging represented stable disease as assessed by follow-up imaging.

### TABLE 3
Summary of Absorbed Dose Estimates (mGy/MBq) to Whole Body, Red Marrow, and Liver for $^{111}$In-huJ591 and $^{90}$Y-huJ591

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>10 mg</th>
<th>25 mg</th>
<th>50 mg</th>
<th>100 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>$^{111}$In</td>
<td>0.111 (±0.003)</td>
<td>0.119 (±0.003)</td>
<td>0.125 (±0.002)</td>
<td>0.123 (±0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{90}$Y</td>
<td>0.50 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.55 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.58 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.57 (±0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red marrow</td>
<td>$^{111}$In</td>
<td>0.111 (±0.004)</td>
<td>0.132 (±0.005)</td>
<td>0.146 (±0.004)</td>
<td>0.147 (±0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{90}$Y</td>
<td>0.55 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.73 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.86 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.90 (±0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>$^{111}$In</td>
<td>0.75 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.56 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.48 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.37 (±0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{90}$Y</td>
<td>5.7 (±0.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (±0.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (±0.4)</td>
<td>2.5 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion</td>
<td>$^{111}$In</td>
<td>0.111 (±0.006)</td>
<td>0.119 (±0.003)</td>
<td>0.125 (±0.002)</td>
<td>0.123 (±0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{90}$Y</td>
<td>0.50 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.55 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.58 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.57 (±0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{111}$In</td>
<td>0.111 (±0.004)</td>
<td>0.132 (±0.005)</td>
<td>0.146 (±0.004)</td>
<td>0.147 (±0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{90}$Y</td>
<td>0.55 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.73 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.86 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.90 (±0.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All intergroup differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) except 25 vs. 100 mg and 50 vs. 100 mg.

### TABLE 4
Summary of Absorbed Dose Estimates (mGy/MBq) to Whole Body, Red Marrow, and Liver for $^{111}$In-huJ591 and $^{90}$Y-huJ591

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>10 mg</th>
<th>25 mg</th>
<th>50 mg</th>
<th>100 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body T$_{1/2}$ biol (d)</td>
<td>8.5 (±0.8)</td>
<td>12.8 (±1.5)</td>
<td>17.7 (±2.2)</td>
<td>23.1 (±3.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum T$_{1/2}$ biol (d)</td>
<td>0.96 (±0.07)</td>
<td>1.94 (±0.15)</td>
<td>2.78 (±0.22)</td>
<td>3.49 (±0.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body residence time (h)</td>
<td>71 (±2)</td>
<td>77 (±2)</td>
<td>82 (±2)</td>
<td>84 (±2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum residence time (h)</td>
<td>24 (±1)</td>
<td>39 (±2)</td>
<td>47 (±2)</td>
<td>53 (±2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver residence time (h)</td>
<td>21 (±1)</td>
<td>15 (±1)</td>
<td>13 (±2)</td>
<td>10 (±1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion residence time (h)</td>
<td>0.29 (±0.05)</td>
<td>0.38 (±0.07)</td>
<td>0.43 (±0.06)</td>
<td>0.45 (±0.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative liver uptake</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.70 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.65 (±0.11)</td>
<td>0.48 (±0.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative lesion uptake</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.32 (±0.04)</td>
<td>1.80 (±0.14)</td>
<td>1.67 (±0.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All intergroup differences significant (P < 0.05) except 50 mg vs. 100 mg.
†All intergroup differences significant (P < 0.05).
‡All intergroup differences significant (P < 0.05) except 25 mg vs. 50 mg.
§All intergroup differences significant (P < 0.05) except 50 mg vs. 100 mg.
T$_{1/2}$ biol = biologic half-time.
Values are mean parameter estimates for each administered mass of huJ591. Quoted uncertainties are SEMs. Statistical significance of differences between groups assessed by paired t test except for 25 vs. 100 mg and 50 vs. 100 mg.
absorbed radiation doses for 90Y-labeled antibody on the immunotherapy was assessed by examining the projected body for 50 vs. 100 mg. The lesions not detected by antibody imaging were small.

Cardiac blood pool would generally be limited. Other peripheral lung. Detection of lung lesions near the neck, pelvis, and inguinal regions and were likely related to prominent vascular activity. Among parenchymal lesions, antibody scans were able to detect only 1 lesion located in the peripheral lung. Detection of lung lesions near the cardiac blood pool would generally be limited. Other lesions not detected by antibody imaging were small (<1.5 cm) and in the prostate and seminal vesicles.

The potential of J591 as a molecular vector for radioimmunotherapy was assessed by examining the projected absorbed radiation doses for 90Y-labeled antibody on the basis of the quantitative uptake parameters found for 111In-labeled antibody. For a single therapeutic administration, the maximally tolerated activity (MTA) of 90Y-J591 was defined by hematologic toxicity and would thus be expected to be determined by the absorbed dose to red marrow. On the basis of our analysis, it was expected that the MTA of 90Y-J591 would be dependent on the mass of antibody administered. The differences in MTA for different antibody masses may be significant. For example, we estimated the MTA to be around 3,300 MBq (~90 mCi) for 10 mg of antibody, falling to around 2,000 MBq (~55 mCi) for 100 mg. Irrespective of the mass of antibody administered, treatment at the MTA would be expected to produce broadly similar absorbed doses to lesions. However, there would be major differences in absorbed dose to liver, ranging from about 20 Gy for 10 mg of antibody to about 5 Gy for 100 mg of antibody. The possibility of high doses to liver is important if multiple therapeutic administrations of radiolabeled antibody are contemplated. The hemopoietic system has a significant capacity for regeneration such that similar treatments could be repeated if sufficient time is provided between treatments to allow for recovery. In clinical radiobiologic terms, the liver is an example of a “late-responding” normal tissue characterized by long-term “memory” of latent radiation injury and a protracted time course for the expression of radiation damage. However, the liver may also experience significant sparing even for very high average radiation doses, if the dose distribution is spatially nonuniform. The use of 90Y with its relatively long-range β-particle emissions would minimize the nonuniformity associated with the dose distribution.

A case could be made for defining the optimal mass of antibody to be 100 mg, as treatment at the MTA is predicted to result in the lowest absorbed dose to liver while delivering absorbed doses to lesions similar to lower antibody masses. However, it cannot be stated definitively if repetitive treatments at the 100-mg level would maintain a high lesion-targeting capability. In the current study, it was found that 100 mg of antibody provided high lesion uptake after successive administrations of 10, 25, and 50 mg. Thus, lesion targeting was maintained for a cumulative antibody mass of 185 mg (corresponding to an average of approximately 50 mg per administration).

Our data suggest that the optimal mass of J591 antibody for radioimmunotherapy over repeated cycles of treatment is at least 50 mg and may be as high as 100 mg.

**CONCLUSION**

J591 antibody targets both bone and soft-tissue lesions. The lesions are best targeted with higher mass amounts of antibody, most likely because of the saturation of liver uptake. Similarly, projected data for 90Y-J591 treatment of metastatic lesions in prostate cancer patients suggest that higher antibody masses of at least 50 mg would be optimal for radioimmunotherapy.
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