Dual-Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ - and Gastrin-Releasing Peptide Receptor–Targeting PET Radiotracer (68 Ga-BBN-RGD)

TO THE EDITOR: Zhang and colleagues recently published their interesting work in *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine* (*I*). Both integrin $\alpha_v \beta_3$ and gastrin-releasing peptide receptors are important markers in the biology of cancer as evidenced by the plethora of such PET radiopharmaceuticals, including arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) peptides for imaging angiogenesis (2) and bombesin analogs for imaging gastrin-releasing peptide receptors (*3*).

Although the use of RGD PET radiopharmaceuticals in prostate cancer is limited (4), bombesin analogs have been used successfully in this disease (5,6). We now know the expected biodistribution of the two classes of PET radiopharmaceuticals when injected separately. Therefore, in reviewing the methods and results reported by the authors, one may notice an incomplete evaluation of the new radiotracer because a comparison was not made with the ⁶⁸Ga-RGD injected separately. RGD dimers have normal distribution in the choroid plexus and thyroid gland (7), which is not observed in the images published for ⁶⁸Ga-bombesin-RGD. Can this be an indication that the new tracer may behave differently from the two injected separately? Our group uses the combined administration of ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-NaF for detection of skeletal lesions. However, we compared the combined scan with both ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-NaF in each participant included in the protocols before concluding that the combined scan provides similar information (8). A similar scrutiny should be the authors' goal for future use of ⁶⁸Ga-bombesin-RGD.

More importantly, readers may have difficulty identifying the optimal clinical use of ⁶⁸Ga-bombesin-RGD. Both angiogenesis and gastrin-releasing peptide receptors can be targets for therapies such as bevacizumab or ¹⁷⁷Lu-labeled bombesin analogs (9), respectively. How would a treating physician decide on the use of one versus the other based on a ⁶⁸Ga-bombesin-RGD PET scan? How would a theranostics approach be possible?

Lastly, the important task of identifying disease heterogeneity within patients will not be possible using ⁶⁸Ga-bombesin-RGD PET. Clinicians need to know whether all or what lesions demonstrate increased angiogenesis versus gastrin-releasing peptide receptor expression.

We are now fortunate to have multiple targets for detection and treatment of prostate cancer (10). Although the appropriate use of each class of PET radiopharmaceutical still needs to be evaluated in this disease, the information about cancer biology that each provides is of high merit. Bundling such information in a single examination may negate its usefulness. To quote from an editorial that accompanied our previous published work, technical feasibility versus clinical utility may be a question of "can we?" versus "should we?" (11)

COPYRIGHT © 2017 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

REFERENCES

- 1. Zhang J, Niu G, Lang L, et al. Clinical translation of a dual integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ and gastrin-releasing peptide receptor–targeting PET radiotracer, ⁶⁸Ga-BBN-RGD. *J Nucl Med.* 2017;58:228–234.
- Iagaru A, Gambhir SS. Imaging tumor angiogenesis: the road to clinical utility. AJR. 2013;201:W183–W191.
- Mansi R, Minamimoto R, Mäcke H, Iagaru AH. Bombesin-targeted PET of prostate cancer. J Nucl Med. 2016;57(suppl 3):67S-72S.
- Beer AJ, Schwarzenböck SM, Zantl N, et al. Non-invasive assessment of interand intrapatient variability of integrin expression in metastasized prostate cancer by PET. Oncotarget. 2016;7:28151–9.
- Kähkönen E, Jambor I, Kemppainen J, et al. In vivo imaging of prostate cancer using [68Ga]-labeled bombesin analog BAY86-7548. Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 19:5434-5443
- Nock BA, Kaloudi A, Lymperis E, et al. Theranostic perspectives in prostate cancer with the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor antagonist NeoBOMB1: preclinical and first clinical results. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:75–80.
- Minamimoto R, Jamali M, Barkhodari A, et al. Biodistribution of the ¹⁸F-FPPRGD2 PET radiopharmaceutical in cancer patients: an atlas of SUV measurements. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;42:1850–1858.
- Iagaru A, Mittra E, Mosci C, et al. Combined ¹⁸F-fluoride and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scanning for evaluation of malignancy: results of an international multicenter trial. *J Nucl Med.* 2013;54:176–183.
- Dalm SU, Bakker IL, de Blois E, et al. ⁶⁸Ga/¹⁷⁷Lu-NeoBOMB1, a novel radiolabeled GRPR antagonist for theranostic use in oncology. *J Nucl Med*. 2017;58:293–299.
- Weber WA, Morris MJ. Molecular imaging and targeted radionuclide therapy of prostate cancer. J Nucl Med. 2016;57(suppl 3):3S–5S.
- Niederkohr RD. Technical feasibility vs. clinical utility: a question of "can we?" vs. "should we? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012;39:260–261.

Andrei Iagaru

Stanford University 300 Pasteur Dr., H-2200 Stanford, CA 94305 E-mail: aiagaru@stanford.edu

Published online Mar. 9, 2017. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.117.191478

REPLY: I would like to respond to the wonderful commentary from Dr. Andrei Iagaru regarding a paper my colleagues and I recently published in *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine* (1). The heterodimer comprises two monomers that target two distinct types of receptors and are covalently linked. Consequently, one major advantage of the heterodimer over the corresponding monomers is the multivalency effect, resulting in improved binding affinity and an increased number of effective receptors (2). However, after covalent conjugation, the heterodimer is a new compound, which would show different in vivo pharmacokinetics from the monomers. Thus, it is not surprising that bombesin—arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) showed different distribution patterns within normal organs and tissues from either RGD or bombesin alone. If we mix RGD and bombesin, the similarity of the background would be improved, but that is not the purpose of this study.

I and my coauthors agree with Dr. Iagaru that it is challenging to reflect distinct pathways through imaging with heterodimers since the imaging intensity relates to both tumor angiogenesis by the RGD