Evaluation of Prostate Cancer with PET/MRI
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In the ongoing effort to understand and cure prostate cancer, imaging modalities are constantly evolving to assist in clinical decisions. Multiparametric MRI can be used to direct prostate biopsies, improve diagnostic yield, and help clinicians make more accurate decisions. PET is superior in providing biologic information about the cancer and is sensitive and highly specific. Integrated PET/MRI is a welcome technical advance with great potential to influence the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer in clinical practice.
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Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of cancer mortality in men in the United States. Because PCa tumors usually grow slowly, many men live with this cancer (>2.9 million men in the United States); this situation represents a large burden of disease (1). Given the sizable number of affected individuals, imaging methods for improving diagnosis, assessing the response to therapy, and identifying early recurrence are of great interest. Imaging modalities that have traditionally been used to assess PCa include transrectal ultrasound (TRUS), CT, MRI, and nuclear bone scanning. MRI, in particular, has had a major impact on the diagnosis of PCa. It can be used to localize and guide the biopsy of focal lesions, thus supplementing traditional “blind” biopsies of the prostate. In recent years, considerable progress has been made with PET/CT, along with novel PCa-targeted agents. Combining the virtues of prostate MRI with PET is now possible with the development of new PET/MRI hybrid cameras; this technique holds unique promise for evaluating PCa. Here, we review the benefits of separate PET and MRI for PCa and then explore the potential value of integrating them into a single PET/MRI examination.

MRI AND PROSTATE CANCER

Multiparametric MRI (mpMRI), which includes both anatomic (T2-weighted MRI) and functional (diffusion-weighted MRI and dynamic contrast–enhanced MRI) pulse sequences, has been an integral component of PCa management for the last decade. More commonly used for mapping localized PCa, it has been beneficial in guiding biopsies, even in patients with persistently high serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels and inconclusive workups, as well as for treatment follow-up in patients after definitive therapy (2). However, the staging of PCa has been more challenging.

The current standard approach to primary PCa diagnosis is based on random TRUS-guided biopsies. This approach has been criticized because it often yields an overdiagnosis of indolent disease and an underdiagnosis of clinically significant cancer (3,4). mpMRI can be used to assist prostate biopsies through image guidance. This procedure can be done directly in the bore of the MRI scanner (in-gantry biopsy) or in the ultrasound suite (MRI–TRUS fusion or “cognitive” fusion biopsy). Regardless of the method used, mpMRI guidance has been reported to result in increased diagnostic yield for clinically significant cancers and, thus, improved patient care (5–8). Nevertheless, the widespread use of mpMRI has been hindered by its relatively high cost, its complexity, and lack of training (9).

In the staging of localized PCa, the results of using mpMRI to identify extraprostatic extension (EPE) and seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) have been promising (10). For the assessment of EPE with mpMRI, the sensitivity ranged from 50% to 86% and the specificity was approximately 95% (10,11). However, the current consensus is that mpMRI is more specific for ruling out EPE than it is sensitive for visualizing EPE (12). Gross extension of tumor beyond the prostate capsule is highly reliable, but more subtle extension can be due to EPE or inflammatory or traumatic changes induced by biopsy. Attempts to overcome this challenge include assessing tumor contact length; however, this technique is still considered exploratory, and further evaluation in larger studies is needed (13). The detection of SVI with mpMRI has followed a similar trajectory. In a cohort of 376 patients, the sensitivity and the specificity for SVI were 49% and 98%, respectively (14). In a study of 822 patients, 31 had suspected SVI on mpMRI; in this population, the rate of detection with MRI-targeted biopsy was 65% (15). Therefore, mpMRI has limitations in sensitivity but yields few false-positive findings and therefore has high specificity. Naturally, because interpretation is subjective, strict criteria for assessing EPE and SVI must be used. mpMRI currently is the most encouraging pretreatment method for staging, but there is room for improvement.

Recent studies have suggested that mpMRI can also be used in the evaluation of recurrent or residual disease (16–18). Most recurrences occur in the prostatectomy bed (after surgery) or within the prostate (after radiotherapy). However, treatment-induced changes, including distorted anatomy, fibrosis, artifacts from surgical clips, and alteration of the signal characteristics on mpMRI, can complicate the interpretation and hinder the ability of mpMRI to diagnose...
lesions. Suggestive findings still need to be confirmed by histopathology. Although experience remains limited, early results with mpMRI in the setting of biochemical recurrence suggest that it can be sensitive but lacks specificity (17).

MRI appears to be useful in the early detection of bone metastases. Normal bone marrow has a homogeneous signal intensity, depending on the pulse sequence. Early metastatic disease creates a heterogeneous signal within the marrow space, making MRI very sensitive for bone metastases. In a recent study of CaP-related bone disease in 8 patients who underwent 68Ga-labeled prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) PET/MRI, all 28 metastatic bone foci were seen on MRI, which performed as well as 68Ga-PSMA PET and better than low-dose CT (19). Recently, the diagnostic accuracy of whole-body MRI coupled with diffusion-weighted (DW) imaging has been promising, with a reported sensitivity of 91%; the sensitivity for 18F-NaF PET/CT was 93%. MRI also had fewer equivocal findings than nuclear bone scanning and PET (20). Whole-body MRI, including DW MRI, has the potential to augment nuclear bone scanning or 18F-NaF PET/CT; however, further research is needed to validate these early reports.

Therefore, the primary role of mpMRI is to identify focal lesions within the prostate for targeted biopsy. For staging of the prostate capsule and the seminal vesicles, mpMRI has a very high specificity, mainly because of the large number of true-negative studies. It has variable sensitivity for EPE and SVI. More recently, mpMRI has been used to detect recurrent disease after radiation therapy or surgery, but the findings are nonspecific and typically require biopsy for confirmation.

PET AND PROSTATE CANCER

Several studies have investigated the potential utility of PET/CT imaging with different radiotracers for CaPs, including 18F-FDG, 11C-acetate, 11C- or 18F-choline, anti-1-amino-3-18F-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid, 16α-18F-fluoro-5α-dihydrotestosterone and, more recently, radiolabeled PSMA ligands and gastrin-releasing peptide receptors (21). Table 1 summarizes the main PET tracers available or under development. These agents are reviewed in more detail in this special issue and are not discussed in depth in this article.

A general limitation of PET imaging in assessing primary CaPs is that the spatial resolution limits the reliability for the detection of small lesions; however, in the presence of abundant overexpression of the target, very small lesions may be visualized by PET. Many radiotracers also show nonspecific uptake at sites of benign prostatic hyperplasia (22). To date, no PET-based imaging modalities have shown any benefit over MRI in the imaging of early CaPs. More favorable data for PET imaging have been reported for the staging of high-risk CaPs and biochemical relapse after definitive therapy.

11C- or 18F-choline, a marker of cell membrane metabolism, has been used worldwide in the setting of suspected biochemical relapse, and its uptake correlates with serum PSA levels and doubling time (23,24). The diagnostic performance of radiolabeled choline PET/CT imaging in detecting bone metastases was compared with those of MRI, bone SPECT, and planar bone scintigraphy in a metaanalysis; the pooled sensitivities for 11C- or 18F-choline PET/CT, MRI, and planar bone scintigraphy were 99%, 95%, and 82%, respectively (25). Skeletal metastatic disease was also assessed with high sensitivity by 18F-NaF PET (26).

The latest PET molecular imaging agents have been developed to target PSMA (27). Recent data suggested that 68Ga-PSMA may be more sensitive than 11C- or 18F-choline PET/CT in patients with biochemical failure and low PSA levels (28).

The first 18F-labeled PSMA ligand, N-[N-(S)-1,3-dicarboxypropyl]-carbamoyl-4-18F-fluorobenzoyl-α-cysteine (18F-DCFB), appears to show superiority in the detection of early bone metastases (29) but is limited for the assessment of primary CaPs. 18F-DCFB PET localized high-grade tumors and most clinically significant tumor lesions but was limited in the detection of smaller tumors (<1.1 mL) and lower-grade tumors (Gleason score of 7 or 6) (30). In addition, persistent background vascular activity was a challenge in the interpretation of images. A second-generation 18F-labeled PSMA ligand, 2-(3-[1-carboxy-5-([6-18F-fluoro-pyridine-3-carbonyl]-amino]-pentyl]-ureido)-pentanedioic acid (31), had an affinity 5 times higher than that of 18F-DCFB.

The strengths of PET/CT for CaPs are its abilities to image the whole body in one session and to survey for distant disease. Bone, lung, and outlying lymph nodes can be easily assessed for suspected tracer activity. Although CT can identify nodes that show uptake, the localization of lesions within and around the prostate or prostate bed may be challenging because the tissue contrast of CT in the pelvis is limited. Distinguishing the prostate gland and seminal vesicles from muscles, ligaments, and the bladder or rectal wall with CT can be difficult. Conversely, the excellent contrast resolution of MRI in the prostate allows many different structures, such as the peripheral zone, transition zone, urethra, prostate capsule, and seminal vesicles, to be readily identified. In bone, CT is quite good at detecting osteoblastic lesions for correlation with PET findings; however, CT findings often are negative at a site at which PET findings are positive, raising questions about false-positive findings. In this setting, MRI may be more sensitive for detecting subtle alterations in bone structure that are indicative of early metastases.

A consideration with PET/CT is ionizing radiation exposure. The CT component of a PET/CT scan contributes approximately 73% of the total effective dose unless modern low-dose CT techniques are used (32). However, efforts to decrease CT radiation exposure come at the expense of decreased image quality and anatomic detail. Therefore, in many respects, a more ideal pairing of modalities is PET and MRI, especially in the pelvis.

PET/MRI

The case for PET/MRI centers on the comparative strengths of both modalities. MRI is superior in diagnosing and characterizing localized soft-tissue disease and assisting in the evaluation of specific bone lesions, especially with T1-weighted and DW imaging. PET is superior in providing biologic information about the cancer and is sensitive and highly specific for residual or recurrent disease. Combining the 2 systems is appealing but technically challenging. Hybrid PET/MRI machines were first clinically introduced in 2010. Initial experience showed the feasibility of PET/MRI and comparability to PET/CT in oncology (33). In combined systems, the PET machinery must accommodate the strong magnetic field of MRI; therefore, avalanche photodiodes or new semiconductor detectors, such as solid-state silicon photomultipliers, are used. Several vendors have since introduced PET/MRI units that can produce simultaneous and superimposed imaging.

The first simultaneous PET/MRI unit to achieve U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval for clinical use was the Biograph mMR (Siemens). The unit uses an array of avalanche photodiodes to detect coincident photon emissions within a 3-T field strength. The Signa PET/MRI unit was recently produced by General Electric.
and approved for clinical use. This unit applies silicon-based photomultiplier tubes that afford fine temporal discrimination of photon detection events to allow for time-of-flight PET image reconstruction. Naturally, because these units combine two costly modalities into one, they are expensive, with each creating difficult engineering challenges for the other. One limitation of current simultaneous PET/MRI units lies in the availability of an MRI field strength of only 3 T. Indeed, certain advantages exist for 1.5-T MRI, including improved magnetic field homogeneity, which reduces certain artifacts. Nonetheless, successful PET/MRI scanning is now possible at many academic centers (Fig. 1).

Regarding the implementation of PET/MRI in a clinical setting, several considerations exist. In our experience, conducting a PET/MRI examination requires high levels of skill and experience on the part of the technologist, for several reasons. A busy MRI department requires flexibility in a shifting environment. Often, certain MR sequences must be repeated at the time of the examination because of issues, common to MRI (e.g., motion and artifacts), that lead to poor image quality; this situation can result in significant delays. These concerns happen in a setting in which the time between the uptake of a PET radiopharmaceutical injection and imaging must be rigorously standardized. Moreover, to avoid accidents, PET technologists must be cognizant of the safety issues encountered during work in a high-magnetic-field environment.

PET/MRI interpretation also requires varied approaches. The detection of bone and lung lesions on MRI appears to be most affected by the integrated scanner. Much has been published about various methods for MRI-based attenuation correction. The first step in creating MR attenuation correction maps involves the segmentation of in- and out-of-phase images, which are then used to infer the location and attenuation coefficients of water, fat, lung, and air. One issue is the inability of these images to accurately map bone; this issue is important in PCa because of its frequent metastases to this system. Special attenuation correction based on MR sequences usually results in a quantitative underestimation of SUVs in bone. Errors in SUVs of bone lesions can range from 15% to 20% (35). However, in our experience, these errors do not significantly affect the visual conspicuity of lesions on PET/MRI if uptake is sufficient to be perceptible on PET/CT. Indeed, some have suggested that the combination of PET and MRI increases the diagnostic confidence of prostate bone metastases over that of PET/CT (36). Furthermore, regardless of the radiopharmaceutical used, this systematic bias for the underestimation of SUVs is expected to

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PET tracer</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-FDG</td>
<td>Glucose metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^11^C- or ^18^F-acetate</td>
<td>Lipid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^11^C- or ^18^F-choline</td>
<td>Lipid metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^11^C-methionine</td>
<td>Amino acid transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-FACBC</td>
<td>Amino acid transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-DCFBC, ^18^F-DCFPyL, ^64^Cu- or ^89^Zr-J591, and ^68^Ga-PSMA compounds:</td>
<td>PSMA inhibitors/antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^68^Ga-DKFZ-PSMA-1 (same as ^68^Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC), ^68^Ga-PSMA-DKFZ-617, and ^68^Ga-PSMA I&amp;T</td>
<td>Androgen receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-FDHT</td>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-FLT</td>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-FMAU</td>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^18^F-NaF</td>
<td>Calcium analog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^68^Ga-bombesin</td>
<td>Gastrin-releasing peptide receptor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^18^F-FACBC = 1-amino-3-^18^F-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid; ^18^F-DCFPyL = 2-(3-(1-carboxy-5-[6-^18^F-fluoro-pyridine-3-carbonyl]-amino)-pentyl)-ureido)-pentanedioic acid; DKFZ = Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (German Cancer Research Center); I&T = imaging and therapy; ^18^F-FDHT = ^16^α-^18^F-fluoro-5α-dihydrotosterone; ^18^F-FLT = 3′-deoxy-3′-^18^F-fluorothymidine; ^18^F-FMAU = 1-(2′-deoxy-2′-^18^F-fluoro-β-D-arabinofuranosyl)thymine.

![FIGURE 1](https://example.com/figure1.png) Normal coronal ^18^F-NaF PET/MR images representing (from left to right) PET maximum-intensity projection, PET coronal slice, T1-weighted MR image, and fusion of PET with MRI.
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PET/MRI and PET/CT detected the same lesions, but quantitative assessment was better at the anatomic allocation of lesions, especially in the pelvis. Wetter et al. evaluated the 2 systems with 18F-choline in a mixed group of patients with primary PCa and patients with metastases from primary lung tumor or tuberculosis. Possible explanations for the discrepancy in lesion detection and characterization PCa lesions than PET/CT (40). In 20 men with biochemical recurrence, some mismatch in lesion detection and dropout of tracer activity attributed to scatter correction were noted on several PET/MR images. A recently published article reported a higher diagnostic accuracy of 68Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC PET/MRI in localizing PCa than of either mpMRI or PET alone on the basis of sextant pathology; therefore, this technique could improve the diagnostic yield for needle biopsies in the future (41).

For evaluating the pelvis before and after prostatectomy, focal uptake from PET tracers can imply an increased likelihood of PCa in suggestive high-resolution images of the prostate, lymph nodes, and soft tissue obtained with mpMRI (Fig. 2). With its excellent soft-tissue contrast, MRI can overcome some of the limitations encountered with PET in evaluating anatomy because of intense physiologic radiotracer excretion through the bladder and urethra, especially in biochemically recurrent disease (Fig. 3) (42).

PET/MRI IN METASTATIC DISEASE

The usefulness of PET/MRI for PCa lung lesions is still evolving. Lung nodules found in PCa patients were examined with 68Ga-PSMA and PET/CT or PET/MRI to determine whether SUVs could differentiate the lesions or further classify the type of cancer (43). Quantitative measurements were unable to distinguish prostate lung metastases from primary lung tumor or tuberculosis. Possible explanations are flaws in tracer specificity or binding behavior. For PET/MRI, attenuation correction or lung segmentation could also have influenced the outcome (44). Without dedicated MR sequences specifically designed to characterize lung parenchyma, MRI generally has limited spatial resolution and more respiratory artifacts than CT (45).

The real impact of the new hybrid technology for PCa is improved accuracy in bone disease detection and characterization. Focal bone uptake with a tracer such as 18F-NaF or radiolabeled PSMA or choline in PET is not always accompanied by characteristic osteoblastic features on CT, especially in the small space of a rib; consequently, the certainty of the malignant status of a lesion is decreased. MRI is more sensitive for the detection of bone metastases, especially when DW sequences are used (46,47). Hence, focal PET activity is complementary to morphologic MRI indices in the diagnosis of bone metastases.

PET/MRI still has some drawbacks to overcome; for example, there is a need to develop shorter but still sufficient acquisition...
protocols and accurate bone segmentation for MR attenuation correction of whole-body sequences (44,48). Cost and availability are also significant issues. As with most new technologies not yet readily produced, the expense is high. The average cost for PET/MRI is $5–$7 million (U.S. dollars); in comparison, premium-grade PET/CT is typically halve that amount or less. Currently, only 3 commercial manufacturers offer PET/MRI systems; only 2 of these systems are integrated units capable of simultaneous PET and MRI acquisitions.

CONCLUSION

Here, we briefly discussed the value of separate MRI and PET for PCAs and reviewed early experience with the combined modalities. Despite limitations, most studies have found that the performance of PET/MRI is at least equivalent to, if not slightly better than, that of PET/CT (37,49–51). PET/MRI appears to add data not provided by PET/CT, and these data can significantly affect patient management (42). For PCAs, integrated PET/MRI is a welcome technical advance with great potential to influence clinical practice by providing a more certain map of localized PCAs to aid in targeted biopsies and therapy, to improve detection in biochemical recurrence, and to enhance the staging of metastatic disease. In many respects, PET/MRI is ideally suited for PCAs because it combines the excellent anatomic and functional information of MRI with the higher specificity of PET, especially with the new generation of highly targeted PET agents for PCAs. Increasing experience and improved MR attenuation correction maps and multiparametric sequences will provide more compelling support for the use of PET/MRI as a preferred imaging tool for PCAs.
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