# <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET–Derived Tumor Blood Flow Changes After 1 Cycle of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Predicts Outcome in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

Olivier Humbert<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Marc Riedinger<sup>1,3</sup>, Jean-Marc Vrigneaud<sup>1,2</sup>, Salim Kanoun<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Inna Dygai-Cochet<sup>1</sup>, Alina Berriolo-Riedinger<sup>1</sup>, Michel Toubeau<sup>1</sup>, Edouard Depardon<sup>1</sup>, Maud Lassere<sup>1</sup>, Simon Tisserand<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Fumoleau<sup>5</sup>, François Brunotte<sup>1,2,4</sup>, and Alexandre Cochet<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Centre GF Leclerc, Dijon, France; <sup>2</sup>LE2I UMR 6306, CNRS, Arts et Métiers, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Besançon, France; <sup>3</sup>Departments of Biology and Pathology, Centre GF Leclerc, Dijon, France; <sup>4</sup>Imaging Department, CHU Le Bocage, Dijon, France; and <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Centre GF Leclerc, Dijon, France

Previous studies have suggested that early changes in blood flow (BF) in response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and evaluated with <sup>15</sup>O-water are a surrogate biomarker of outcome in women with breast cancer. This study investigates, in the triple-negative breast cancer subtype, the prognostic relevance of tumor BF changes ( $\Delta$ BF) in response to chemotherapy, assessed using a short dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET acquisition. Methods: Forty-six consecutive women with triple-negative breast cancer and an indication for neoadjuvant chemotherapy were prospectively included. Women benefited from a baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET examination with a 2-min chest-centered dynamic acquisition, started at the time of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG injection. Breast tumor perfusion was calculated from this short dynamic image using a first-pass model. This dynamic PET acquisition was repeated after the first cycle of chemotherapy to measure early ΔBF. Delayed static PET acquisitions were also performed (90 min after <sup>18</sup>F-FDG injection) to measure changes in tumor glucose metabolism ( $\Delta SUV_{max}$ ). The association between tumor BF, clinicopathologic characteristics, and patients' overall survival (OS) was evaluated. Results: Median baseline tumor BF was 21 mL/min/100 g (range, 6-46 mL/min/100 g) and did not significantly differ according to tumor size, Scarf-Bloom-Richardson grade, or Ki-67 expression. Median tumor ∆BF was -30%, with highly scattered values (range, -93% to +118%). A weak correlation was observed between  $\Delta BF$  and  $\Delta SUV_{max}$  (r = +0.40, P = 0.01). The median follow-up was 30 mo (range, 6-73 mo). Eight women developed recurrent disease, 7 of whom died. Low OS was associated with menopausal history (P = 0.03), persistent or increased tumor vascularization on the interim PET ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%; P = 0.03), non-breast-conserving surgery (P = 0.04), and the absence of a pathologic complete response (pCR) (P = 0.01).  $\Delta BF$  and pCR provided incremental prognostic stratification: 3-y OS was 100% in pCR women, 87% in no-pCR women but achieving an early tumor BF response, and only 48% in no-pCR/no-BF-response women ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%, P < 0.001). **Conclusion:** This study suggests the clinical usefulness of an early user- and patient-friendly 2-min dynamic acquisition to monitor breast tumor ΔBF to neoadjuvant chemotherapy using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT. Monitoring tumor perfusion and angiogenesis response to treatment seems to be a promising target for PET tracers.

Received Jan. 21, 2016; revision accepted Mar. 14, 2016.

E-mail: ohumbert@cgfl.fr

Published online Apr. 21, 2016.

**Key Words:** triple-negative; breast cancer; PET; blood flow; perfusion

J Nucl Med 2016; 57:1707–1712 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.172759

**B** reast cancer includes different molecular entities (1). Triplenegative breast cancers (TNBCs) are defined by the lack of hormone receptor expression and no overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (2,3). This subtype is characterized by an aggressive clinical course marked by high rates of metastases and poor outcome (2). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy aims to improve rates of breast conservation (4) but also offers an opportunity to evaluate early biomarkers of tumor response. The identification of biomarkers, either molecular or otherwise, that could distinguish between highly responsive and nonresponsive tumors early is of critical importance to tailor treatments to tumor response in individual patients.

Previous studies found that early changes in tumor glucose metabolism, assessed with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT after the first or second course of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, can indicate breast cancer response early (*5*,*6*), especially in the aggressive TNBC subtype (*7*,*8*).

Beyond tumor glucose metabolism, the ability to induce angiogenesis is another hallmark of cancer cells: the presence of functional vasculature is essential for the growth of solid tumors. In addition to their cytotoxic action, conventional chemotherapeutic agents exert effects on tumor vasculature, indirectly by interrupting proangiogenic support secondary to tumor cell kill and directly by affecting endothelial cell function (9). In the era of precision medicine, one of the challenges facing the field of tumor response monitoring is to find predictive biomarkers to identify tumors with angiogenic resistance. In such tumors, standard treatments may require the adjunction of a pure angiogenic inhibitor (10).

Previous studies have demonstrated that early changes in tumor blood flow (BF) measured by <sup>15</sup>O-water PET or in the flow component extracted from 1-h dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET scans ( $K_1$ ) were associated with pathologic tumor response and outcomes among women with locally advanced breast cancer (*11–13*). Patients with persistent or incremental increases in <sup>18</sup>F-FDG  $K_1$  were more likely to relapse or die. Kinetic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG measurements were found more useful than static SUV measurements (*14*).

For correspondence or reprints contact: Olivier Humbert, Centre GF Leclerc, 1 Rue du Pr Marion, 21000 Dijon, France.

COPYRIGHT @ 2016 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

Because of the short half-life of <sup>15</sup>O-water, an on-site cyclotron is required and very few nuclear medicine centers have one. Moreover, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET kinetic analysis requires an acquisition of 1 h. There is, thus, an impetus for clinically practical methods capable of estimating kinetic parameters from PET studies. Mullani et al. developed a first-pass model for the in vivo calculation of BF with PET. This model was applied to the evaluation of tumor BF using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG and demonstrated good correlations with BF measured with <sup>15</sup>O-water (*15*).

The present study investigated the clinical and prognostic relevance of early changes in tumor perfusion in response to neoadjuvant treatment, using baseline and interim short dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT acquisitions. We focused on women with TNBC, which is a challenging tumor subtype because of its high clinical aggressiveness.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Patients and Study Design**

From February 2009 to October 2014, 260 women referred to our institution for clinical stage II or III invasive breast cancer with an indication for neoadjuvant chemotherapy were consecutively and prospectively evaluated. Only women with TNBC were included. This population overlaps those of previous articles published by our team (7,16). Patients with high glycemia (>9 mmol/L), unwilling to undergo the complete PET examinations or with suspected metastasis on baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET, were excluded. The institutional review board approved this prospective study as a current care study. The medical team documented the nonopposition of the patient in source documents and in the information note provided to the patient (the requirement to obtain signed consent was waived).

Most women underwent sequential chemotherapy with anthracyclines (FEC100, 3 courses every 3 wk) followed by taxanes (3 courses every 3 wk). This systematic switch was done in conformance with institutional guidelines and not based on PET response monitoring. Few of the women, included at the beginning of the study, underwent 6 cycles of FEC100. One month after the last course of chemotherapy, the tumors were surgically removed and examined by a pathologist. Radiotherapy was performed according to standard recommendations. Patients were followed up every 3 mo during the first 2 y, every 6 mo for the following 3 y, and then once per year.

#### **Pathologic Analysis**

Pretreatment core biopsies from the primary tumor were used to determine the histologic type and the tumor Scarf–Bloom–Richardson grade (17). The following immunohistochemical markers were examined: estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 expression. All immunostaining was performed on an automated immunostainer (Ventana XT). Estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor status were considered negative if the tumor showed less than 10% of positive cells. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status was graded according to the HercepTest scoring system (18). In cases of 2+ scores, fluorescent in situ hybridization was used according to criteria of the American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists (18).

Pathologic complete response (pCR) was defined as no residual invasive cancer in the breast and nodes, though in situ breast residuals were allowed (ypT0/is ypN0) (19).

### <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT Procedures

A first <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET scan was done at baseline. Two different PET/ CT imaging systems were used: a Gemini GXL PET/CT scanner from February 2009 to December 2010 and a Gemini TF PET/CT scanner from December 2010 to October 2014 (Philips). Patients were instructed to fast for at least 6 h before the intravenous injection of 5 MBq/kg of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG for Gemini GXL studies and 3 MBq/kg for Gemini TF studies.

<sup>18</sup>F-FDG was injected using an automatic PET infusion system (Intego; Medrad) at a rate of 1 mL/s. Simultaneously with the injection, the first chest-centered emission acquisition with the patient in the prone position, using a breast imaging coil, was run in the list-mode for 2 min, followed by a low-dose CT scan. Reconstructions of 5- and 10-s frames were extracted from this dynamic first-pass acquisition. Sixty minutes after the <sup>18</sup>F-FDG injection, a whole-body PET scan was obtained. Finally, 90 min after the injection, a PET scan restricted to the chest (2 bed positions) with patients in the prone position was obtained. Emission data were corrected for dead time, random, and scatter co-incidences and attenuation before reconstruction with the row action maximum-likelihood algorithm.

A few days before the second course of chemotherapy, a second <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET scan was acquired with the same early dynamic firstpass acquisition and late chest-restricted PET static acquisition.

## First-Pass Model for Measurement of Tumor BF (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The concept and method for measuring tumor BF from the first pass of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG has been explained in a previous paper from our institution (20). Briefly, it is based on the first-pass model of Mullani et al. (15,21) hypothesizing that during the early period of the first transit of a highly extracted tracer through the tumor, the venous concentration of the tracer can be considered zero; the first-pass extraction fraction of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG in tumor tissue is high, close to those of <sup>15</sup>O-water (15); and so as to minimize the error in flow measurement due to the statistical quality of the data, the numerator and the denominator are determined at their maximum values-this peak count time (Tm) was defined on the arterial time-activity curve as the end of the first pass of the tracer in the volume of interest drawn inside the ascending aorta (Supplemental Fig. 1; supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). A volume of interest encompassing the primary tumor was also manually drawn. Mixed functional and anatomic contouring was used to delineate the breast tumor. Tumor BF at baseline (BF1) and after the first cycle of chemotherapy (BF2) were then calculated in mL/min/100 g of tumor, using the following equation:

$$BF = \frac{Q(T_m)}{E \times \int_0^{T_m} Ca(t) dt}.$$

 $Q(T_m)$  is the amount of the tracer in tumor tissue at time  $T_m$ ; E is the tumor first-pass extraction fraction of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG, which is assumed to be equal to 1 (*15*); and Ca(t) is the arterial concentration of the tracer at time t. The tumor BF response to chemotherapy was calculated:

$$\Delta BF(\%) = 100 \times (BF_2 - BF_1)/BF_1.$$

#### **Tumor Glucose Metabolism Measurements**

A spheroidal volume of interest encompassing the primary tumor was manually drawn on the chest-restricted acquisitions obtained at 90 min after tracer injection to measure the SUV maximal index at baseline  $(SUV1_{max})$  and after the first course of chemotherapy  $(SUV2_{max})$ . Measured  $SUV_{max}$  was corrected for body surface area and glycaemia, as detailed in our previous studies (22,23). The metabolic response to treatment was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta SUV_{max}(\%) = 100 \times (SUV2_{max} - SUV1_{max})/SUV1_{max}.$$

The ratio between baseline tumor glucose metabolism and perfusion ( $SUV1_{max}/BF_1$ ) was calculated.



**FIGURE 1.** Bivariate scatterplots of tumor BF response ( $\Delta$ BF) and tumor metabolism response ( $\Delta$ SUV<sub>max</sub>).

## **Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as medians and ranges or means and SD. The study endpoints were the oncologic outcomes of relapsefree survival and overall survival (OS). Relapse-free survival was defined as the time from date of breast cancer diagnosis (biopsy) until the first evidence of disease recurrence (local, regional, or distant). Alive patients without progression were censored at the last follow-up. OS was defined as the time from diagnosis until the date of death or, if alive, the date of the last clinical follow-up.

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between  $\Delta SUV_{max}$  and  $\Delta BF$  was calculated. Receiver-operating characteristic curves were used to compare the predictive values for pCR.

Univariate Cox proportional hazards models were calculated to compute the hazard ratios with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All *P* values were 2-sided and considered significant when no greater than 0.05.

Median follow-up with its 95% CI and survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method. Survival outcomes were compared using log-rank tests.

WinSTAT software (Microsoft) and Systat software (Systat Inc.) were used.

## RESULTS

#### Patients' Characteristics (Supplemental Table 1)

Among the 260 women evaluated, 57 (22%) had TNBC. Eleven women were then excluded: 1 of them because of uncontrolled glycaemia, 5 because of unexpected stage IV upstaging, and 5 because the first-pass dynamic acquisitions was not performed for different reasons (e.g., technical problems, refusal). In the remaining 46 patients finally included in the study, 6 missed the second PET scan.

Patients' characteristics are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. Briefly, the median age was 46 y (age range, 26–85 y). The median primary tumor size, assessed with breast ultrasound or mammogram, was 3.6 cm (range, 1.5–6.7 cm). On ultrasound scanning, 32 of 46 women had lymph node involvement (based on sonographic features of the lymph nodes). Almost all the tumors were invasive ductal carcinoma (45/46), and one was an invasive lobular carcinoma.

The median value of tumor  $BF_1$  was 21 mL/ min/100 g (range, 6–46 mL/min/100 g). Tumor size, Scarf–Bloom–Richardson grade, mitotic count, and Ki-67 tumor expression were not associated with  $BF_1$  values and the SUV1<sub>max</sub>/BF<sub>1</sub> ratio.

Median tumor  $\Delta BF$  was -30% (range, -93% to +118%). Thirty percent of the women (12/40) experienced increased tumor BF after the first cycle of treatment.

Compared with  $\Delta BF$ , median tumor  $\Delta SUV_{max}$  showed less scattered values (median  $\Delta SUV_{max} = -50.0\%$  [range, -87 to +15%]). A weak correlation was observed between  $\Delta SUV_{max}$  and  $\Delta BF$  (r = +0.40; P = 0.01) (Fig. 1).

The mean ( $\pm$ SD) timeframe between interim PET and surgery was 19  $\pm$  2 wk. Conservative surgery was performed in 65% (30/46) of the women. The pCR rate was 43% (20/46). When receiver-operating-

characteristic curve analyses were used,  $\Delta SUV_{max}$  predicted pCR with an area under the curve of 0.82 ± 0.07 (P = 0.0007), whereas  $\Delta BF$  did not reach significance (area under the curve = 0.66 ± 0.09; P = 0.09).

## Survival Analysis (Fig. 2; Table 1)

The median follow-up period was 30 mo (range, 6–73 mo). Eight of the 46 women included developed recurrent disease, 7 of whom died. The 3-y relapse-free survival rate was 77.3% (95% CI = 62.9%–91.7%) and the 3-y OS rate was 82.2% (95% CI = 69.0%–95.4%).

Continuous variables were dichotomized according to their median value. Univariate Cox analysis for OS demonstrated that menopausal history, no significant decrease in tumor BF after the first



**FIGURE 2.** Kaplan–Meier curves showing OS according to menopausal status, histologic response, tumor BF response ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%), and association of pathologic response and  $\Delta$ BF. *P* values were determined with log-rank test.

## TABLE 1 Factors Affecting Survival

	OS*			Disease-free survival*		
Variable	Events	HR (95% CI)	Р	Events	HR (95% CI)	Р
Menopausal status						
No	1/28	1		2/28	1	
Yes	6/18	9.7 (1.1–84)	0.035	6/18	5.4 (1.0–28)	0.040
Glucose metabolic response ( $\Delta$ SUV <sub>max</sub> cutoff = -50%)						
Good metabolic response	2/20	1		2/20	1	
Poor metabolic response	5/20	3.1 (0.6–16)	NS	5/20	3.0 (0.6–16)	NS
Unknown	0/6			1/6		
BF response ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%)						
Good BF response	1/20	1		1/20	1	
Poor BF response	6/20	9.6 (1.1–84)	0.037	6/20	9.2 (1.1–80)	0.040
Unknown	0/6			1/6		
Type of surgery						
Breast-conserving	3/30	1		4/30	1	
Mastectomy	4/16	4.7 (1.0–22)	0.046	4/16	3.3 (0.8–14)	NS
Histologic response						
pCR	0/20	NC	_	1/20	1	
Non-pCR	7/26			7/26	6.7 (0.8–57)	NS
Histologic response + BF response (cutoff = $-30\%$ )						
pCR	0/20	NC	—	1/20	1	
Non-pCR and good BF response	1/10			1/10	1.4 (0.3–20)	NS
Non-pCR and poor BF response	6/13			6/13	13 (1.5–116)	0.017
Unknown	0/3			0/3		

\*Univariate Cox proportional hazards model (patients with unknown variables were not included in the model).

Tumor size (>5 cm), American Joint Committee on Cancer staging, lymph node involvement, history of pregnancy, Scarf–Bloom–Richardson grading, tumor architectural differentiation, nuclear pleomorphism, number of mitosis,  $SUV_{max}1$ ,  $BF_1$ , and the  $SUV_{max}1/BF_1$  ratio were not associated with OS. Data in parentheses are 95% Cls.

HR = hazard ratio; NS = nonsignificant (P > 0.05); NC = not calculable.

cycle of chemotherapy ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%), and non-breastconserving surgery were associated with worst OS (P = 0.035, 0.037, and 0.046, respectively) (Table 1). Women who died had an average increase in tumor  $\Delta$ BF (+11.6% ± 56%), whereas those who survived had an average decrease ( $-30.4\% \pm 45\%$ ). Given the small number of events, the multivariate analysis was not performed.

The Kaplan–Meier curves for OS with dichotomized values of  $\Delta$ BF, type of surgery after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and histologic tumor response are shown in Figure 2. Women achieving a pCR had excellent outcome (3-y OS = 100%). In women who did not achieve a pCR, tumor  $\Delta$ BF could further stratify between women with good or poor outcome: 3-y OS was 87.5% in no-pCR women but who did experience an early tumor  $\Delta$ BF decrease, whereas OS was only 48.5% in women who achieved neither a pCR nor a  $\Delta$ BF response ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%; P < 0.001).

## DISCUSSION

Angiogenesis plays an important role in both growth and metastasis of many cancers and is thus recognized as one of the main hallmarks of oncogenesis (24). In TNBC, the association of an antiangiogenic agent (bevacizumab) with conventional neoadjuvant chemotherapy increases the pCR rate (25) but without any survival benefit demonstrated (26), emphasizing the need for biomarkers of the angiogenic cascade, to better select women who may benefit from antiangiogenic drugs.

Several imaging modalities, which yield different imagingderived hemodynamic parameters, have been proposed for the noninvasive assessment of tumor vascularity and the response to treatment (27). In the neoadjuvant setting of breast cancer, these modalities demonstrated that maintained tumor BF at therapy midpoint was associated with poorer survival (12,13). Dynamic contrastenhanced MRI is widely available and frequently used in studies evaluating tumor BF response to treatment (28,29). Dynamic contrastenhanced–MRI derived biomarkers, particularly those involving tumor kinetic textures, are able to predict tumor histologic response early (28,29). The clinical value of monitoring tumor BF using <sup>15</sup>O-water PET has also been evaluated: BF in breast cancer is highly variable and women with persistent or elevated tumor BF on interim PET experienced poorer tumor response and outcomes (11–13), thus paralleling our results in the specific TNBC population. When pharmacokinetic modeling was used, the flow component extracted from 1-h dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET imaging ( $K_1$ ) yielded a good correlation to <sup>15</sup>O-water PET and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI–measured BFs of breast cancer (30,31). In the neoadjuvant setting, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG  $K_1$  changes over the first course of treatment provide the same prognostic information as BF changes assessed with <sup>15</sup>O-water PET (13).

One main limitation of all these previous studies was that they evaluated tumor BF in all breast cancer subtypes pooled together. Today, this is less relevant than a per-subtype analysis because of the well-known molecular heterogeneity of breast cancer entities (1,32). Other limitations are that <sup>15</sup>O-water PET requires an onsite cyclotron and that <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET kinetic complete analysis requires a long and uncomfortable acquisition procedure for the patient (1 h). Further optimization of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG kinetic analysis measurements is needed to yield insights into practical alternatives that overcome the limitations of purely static measurements.

To assess tumor BF, we applied a dynamic first-pass model developed by Mullani et al., based on a simple 1-compartment flow model. This model estimates BF using only the first 2 min of data after injection. This short dynamic acquisition is suitable for routine practice (15,21). Tumor BF assessed with this model is linearly correlated with <sup>15</sup>O-water-measured BF (r = 0.86) (15) and with breast tumor angiogenesis as measured by immunohistochemistry markers (20).

Using this first-pass model, we evaluated early tumor BF changes in response to chemotherapy in the challenging and aggressive TNBC subtype. Our estimated TNBC BF at baseline averaged 21 mL/min/100 g, which agrees with previous reports using <sup>15</sup>O-water PET (*11,30,31*). The tumor BF response ( $\Delta$ BF) showed highly scattered values, ranging from -93% to +118%, which weakly correlated with tumor metabolic changes (r = 0.4). Patients whose tumors failed to show a significant decrease in tumor BF after the first cycle of treatment ( $\Delta$ BF cutoff = -30%) had a higher risk of recurrence and death. These results are consistent with those of Dunnwald et al., obtained in all tumor subtypes pooled together and using <sup>15</sup>O-water PET and dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET (*13,14*).

In TNBC, pCR at the end of neoadjuvant chemotherapy has demonstrated a crucial endpoint: women who do not achieve pCR at the end of neoadjuvant chemotherapy have a higher risk of relapse and reduced OS (*19*). We found a 100% 3-y OS in women reaching a pCR. The more accurate exclusion of oligometastatic women by the baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET examination, compared with previous studies using conventional imaging, may explain the excellent prognostic stratification of the pCR as already shown by Groheux et al. (*33*).

Contrary to  $\Delta$ SUV<sub>max</sub>,  $\Delta$ BF was not a good predictor of pCR. But interestingly,  $\Delta$ BF and pCR seemed to provide incremental prognostic stratification in the TNBC subtype: 3-y OS was 100% in pCR women, 87% in no-pCR women but achieving an early tumor BF response, and only 48% in no-pCR/no-BF–response women. We could thus identify a high-risk subgroup of women who experienced neither an early tumor BF decrease after the introduction of chemotherapy nor a pCR at the end of treatment. This study may thus be an important step toward the use of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET to quantify different aspects of tumor biology and their changes in response to therapy, using a single <sup>18</sup>F-FDG injection and 2 short PET acquisitions (early dynamic for tumor BF and late static for tumor glucose metabolism).

Our study has some limitations. First, TNBC is a rare subtype, which explains the small cohort of women: the results are preliminary.

Second, the simple 1-compartment flow model used in this study has some intrinsic limitations, already described (*15*). Briefly, the first pass of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG imaging may contain a few trapped components of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG that can lead to an overestimation of BF. On the other hand, the first-pass extraction of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG, relative to the <sup>15</sup>O-water, averaged 0.86, thus suggesting a small underestimation of BF (*15*). Furthermore, the limited count statistic arising from a short static acquisition has statistical fluctuation that may affect the results.

Persistent cancer cell-derived cytokines may explain the persistent or increased tumor BF in resistant tumors after initiating neoadjuvant chemotherapy (34). But our results leave questions unanswered about the relationship between preserved tumor BF during treatment and the higher likelihood of metastatic failure. The elevated level of tumor BF after initiating treatment may directly facilitate the spread of tumor metastatic cells via the circulation (35) or may be a consequence of a more invasive TNBC phenotype, which could withstand cancer treatment and metastasize. The use of functional imaging modalities in combination with tissue-based genomic profiling will offer a unique opportunity to elucidate the critical biologic pathways that underlie our results. Molecular imaging also holds promises for in vivo imaging of tumor angiogenesis with the development of new specific probes, for example, against integrin (36).

## CONCLUSION

This study showed that patients with breast carcinoma exhibiting persistent or even increased tumor vascularization after the first cycle of neoadjuvant chemotherapy experienced worse outcomes. Its great interest lies in the fact that it focused on the particularly aggressive TNBC subtype and demonstrated the prognostic usefulness of an early user- and patient-friendly 2-min dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET acquisition to monitor tumor BF changes. Imaging biomarkers of breast tumor perfusion may help the physician to better select women with TNBC who may benefit from the adjunction of angiogenic inhibitor drugs.

## DISCLOSURE

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734. No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is part of the PharmImage® project. We thank Mr. Philip Bastable for proofreading the text.

### REFERENCES

- Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*. 2000;406:747–752.
- Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:1938–1948.
- Oakman C, Viale G, Di LA. Management of triple negative breast cancer. Breast. 2010;19:312–321.
- Fisher B, Brown A, Mamounas E, et al. Effect of preoperative chemotherapy on local-regional disease in women with operable breast cancer: findings from national surgical adjuvant breast and bowel project B-18. J Clin Oncol. 1997;15:2483– 2493.
- Schwarz-Dose J, Untch M, Tiling R, et al. Monitoring primary systemic therapy of large and locally advanced breast cancer by using sequential positron emission tomography imaging with [<sup>18</sup>F]fluorodeoxyglucose. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:535–541.

- Groheux D, Majdoub M, Sanna A, et al. Early metabolic response to neoadjuvant Treatment: FDG PET/CT criteria according to breast cancer subtype. *Radiology*. 2015;277:358–371.
- Humbert O, Riedinger JM, Charon-Barra C, et al. Identification of biomarkers including 18FDG-PET/CT for early prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in triple negative breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21:5460– 5468.
- Groheux D, Hindie E, Giacchetti S, et al. Early assessment with <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography can help predict the outcome of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in triple negative breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 2014;50:1864–1871.
- Miller KD, Sweeney CJ, Sledge GW Jr. Redefining the target: chemotherapeutics as antiangiogenics. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19:1195–1206.
- Lambrechts D, Lenz HJ. de HS, Carmeliet P, Scherer SJ. Markers of response for the antiangiogenic agent bevacizumab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31:1219– 1230.
- Mankoff DA, Dunnwald LK, Gralow JR, et al. Blood flow and metabolism in locally advanced breast cancer: relationship to response to therapy. *J Nucl Med.* 2002;43:500–509.
- Mankoff DA, Dunnwald LK, Gralow JR, et al. Changes in blood flow and metabolism in locally advanced breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. J Nucl Med. 2003;44:1806–1814.
- Dunnwald LK, Gralow JR, Ellis GK, et al. Tumor metabolism and blood flow changes by positron emission tomography: relation to survival in patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:4449–4457.
- Dunnwald LK, Doot RK, Specht JM, et al. PET tumor metabolism in locally advanced breast cancer patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy: value of static versus kinetic measures of fluorodeoxyglucose uptake. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17:2400–2409.
- Mullani NA, Herbst RS, O'Neil RG, et al. Tumor blood flow measured by PET dynamic imaging of first-pass <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake: a comparison with <sup>15</sup>O-labeled water-measured blood flow. *J Nucl Med.* 2008;49:517–523.
- Humbert O, Berriolo-Riedinger A, Riedinger JM, et al. Changes in <sup>18</sup>F-FDG tumor metabolism after a first course of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: influence of tumor subtypes. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:2572–2577.
- Elston CW, Ellis IO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer: I—the value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. *Histopathology*. 1991;19:403–410.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Hicks DG, et al. Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:3997–4013.
- von Minckwitz G, Untch M, Blohmer JU, et al. Definition and impact of pathologic complete response on prognosis after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in various intrinsic breast cancer subtypes. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:1796– 1804.

- Cochet A, Pigeonnat S, Khoury B, et al. Evaluation of breast tumor blood flow with dynamic first-pass <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT: comparison with angiogenesis markers and prognostic factors. *J Nucl Med.* 2012;53:512–520.
- Mullani NA, Goldstein RA, Gould KL, et al. Myocardial perfusion with rubidium-82. I. Measurement of extraction fraction and flow with external detectors. J Nucl Med. 1983;24:898–906.
- Berriolo-Riedinger A, Touzery C, Riedinger JM, et al. [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG-PET predicts complete pathological response of breast cancer to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2007;34:1915–1924.
- Humbert O, Cochet A, Riedinger JM, et al. HER2-positive breast cancer: F-FDG PET for early prediction of response to trastuzumab plus taxane-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:1525–1533.
- 24. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. 2011;144:646–674.
- Gerber B, Loibl S, Eidtmann H, et al. Neoadjuvant bevacizumab and anthracyclinetaxane-based chemotherapy in 678 triple-negative primary breast cancers; results from the geparquinto study (GBG 44). Ann Oncol. 2013;24:2978–2984.
- Kümler I, Christiansen OG, Nielsen DL. A systematic review of bevacizumab efficacy in breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2014;40:960–973.
- Miller JC, Pien HH, Sahani D, Sorensen AG, Thrall JH. Imaging angiogenesis: applications and potential for drug development. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005; 97:172–187.
- Pickles MD, Lowry M, Manton DJ, Turnbull LW. Prognostic value of DCE-MRI in breast cancer patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy: a comparison with traditional survival indicators. *Eur Radiol.* 2015;25:1097–1106.
- Li SP, Makris A, Beresford MJ, et al. Use of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging to predict survival in patients with primary breast cancer undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Radiology*. 2011;260:68–78.
- Tseng J, Dunnwald LK, Schubert EK, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG kinetics in locally advanced breast cancer: correlation with tumor blood flow and changes in response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *J Nucl Med.* 2004;45:1829–1837.
- Zasadny KR, Tatsumi M, Wahl RL. FDG metabolism and uptake versus blood flow in women with untreated primary breast cancers. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2003;30:274–280.
- Sorlie T, Tibshirani R, Parker J, et al. Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene expression data sets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100:8418–8423.
- Groheux D, Giacchetti S, Delord M, et al. Prognostic impact of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/ CT staging and of pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in triplenegative breast cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2015;42:377–385.
- Tripathi C, Tewari BN, Kanchan RK, et al. Macrophages are recruited to hypoxic tumor areas and acquire a pro-angiogenic M2-polarized phenotype via hypoxic cancer cell derived cytokines oncostatin M and eotaxin. *Oncotarget.* 2014;5:5350–5368.
- Chambers AF, Groom AC, MacDonald IC. Dissemination and growth of cancer cells in metastatic sites. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002;2:563–572.
- 36. Niu G, Chen X. Why integrin as a primary target for imaging and therapy. *Theranostics.* 2011;1:30–47.