Clinical Utility of Enhanced Relative Activity Recovery on Systolic Myocardial Perfusion SPECT: Lessons from PET
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SPECT and PET myocardial perfusion images show greater myocardial intensity and homogeneity in systole than diastole because of greater systolic myocardial thickness, less partial volume loss, and enhanced activity recovery. Consequently, conventional myocardial perfusion images obtained from whole cardiac cycles have lower myocardial intensity and greater heterogeneity than systolic images. Considering relative activity distribution on SPECT systolic images may add clinical utility to whole-cycle images and wall motion. Methods: Patients undergoing coronary angiogram within 4 mo after SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging were reviewed. Images were interpreted by 2 masked interpreters using a 17-segment, 5-point scale to determine summed rest scores (SSS), summed stress scores, and summed difference scores on conventional and systolic images in 603 patients (55.6% no coronary artery disease [no-CAD] and 44.4% CAD). Studies were considered normal when the SSS was less than 4 and summed difference score was less than 2. Results: In the no-CAD group, systolic SSS was lower than SSS from conventional images (2 ± 2.3 vs. 3 ± 2.6, P < 0.001). In contrast, SSS derived from systolic and conventional images were not different in the obstructive CAD group (9.1 ± 7.6 vs. 9.2 ± 7.4, P = 0.559). When systolic images were considered, true-negative studies increased from 27.2% to 43.3% (P < 0.001) whereas false-positive studies decreased from 28.4% to 12.3% (P < 0.001). True-positive (38% vs. 37.2%, P = 0.505) and false-negative studies (6.5% vs. 7%, P = 0.450) were not significantly changed. Diagnostic accuracy increased from 65.2% to 80.8% (P < 0.001). Conclusion: For gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging, when relative activity distribution on systolic images was considered, false-positive studies were reduced and diagnostic accuracy was improved.
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LV wall motion on ECG-gated perfusion images is commonly used to help differentiate myocardial scar or ischemia from attenuation artifact (8–10). However, our purpose was to test the potential added clinical utility of considering relative activity distribution on systolic images in addition to relative activity and wall motion on standard gated whole-cycle images. Quantitative measurements by ECG-gated PET have proven that systolic perfusion images have quantitatively significantly reduced partial-volume loss and better quantitative activity recovery than diastolic or whole-cycle images (14,29–31). Therefore, for SPECT perfusion imaging, we tested the hypothesis that interpreting relative activity distribution of systolic images would decrease the number of false-positive studies because of reduced partial-volume loss and reduced translational motion on systolic images, thereby improving diagnostic accuracy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Selection

From January 2010 to December 2013, all sequential patients who underwent stress and rest gated SPECT MPI with 99mTc-sestamibi at Memorial Hermann Hospital, Texas Medical Center, were reviewed. Patients who had a coronary angiogram within 4 mo after gated SPECT MPI were included in the study. The Committees for the Protection of Human Subjects (institutional review board) of the University of Texas and Memorial Hermann Hospital approved the project and determined that signed patient consent for data analysis was not necessary because only deidentified data were used from existing medical records.

Patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy, previous coronary revascularization, or an interim event were excluded from the study because perfusion defects in these groups may not correlate with the result of coronary angiogram at the time they were performed (9,32). As reported for virtually all MPI-angiogram comparisons in the literature and routine clinical practice, patients were considered to have CAD when the angiogram showed 50% or greater stenosis of the left main coronary artery or a 70% or greater stenosis of other epicardial coronary arteries by visual interpretation as entered into the official angiogram report.

Imaging Protocols and Interpretation

All images were acquired according to the guidelines of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology (ASNC) using ECG-gated (8 frames per R-R cycle) SPECT single-day or 2-d (depending on body weight) protocols (7). In our institution, only stress images are gated. All γ cameras were dual-detector systems, with a 90° orientation (7). Images were reconstructed primarily by filtered backprojection with a Butterworth prefilter; power of 10; critical frequency of 0.45 Nq for stress, 0.4 Nq for rest images, and 0.35 Nq for ECG-gated images at rest and stress; and, less commonly, a quantitative ramp filter or ordered-subset expectation maximization/maximum likelihood expectation maximization, 2 iterations, subset 10, and the same filters.

Stress was performed by standard exercise treadmill (Bruce or modified Bruce protocol), adenosine infusion (4-min intravenous infusion at a dose of 140 mcg/kg/min with or without slow-paced walk on treadmill), regadenoson (single 400-mcg intravenous bolus), or dobutamine infusion (intravenous infusion at 5–40 mcg/kg/min) (6).

SPECT myocardial perfusion images were interpreted by 2 masked interpreters using the ASNC 17-segment and 5-point qualitative scale (0, normal; 1, equivocal or mildly reduced; 2, moderately reduced; 3, severely reduced radioisotope uptake; and 4, absence of tracer uptake) to determine a consensus of summed rest score (SRS) and summed stress score (SSS) on conventional whole-cycle images (7). Summed difference score (SDS) is the difference of SSS and SRS indicating reversibility of perfusion defect (7).

In addition, gated stress images were navigated to the single end-systolic frame with the visually smallest LV cavity to calculate systolic SSS and SDS (systolic SSS – SRS). Occasionally for small hearts, the LV cavity nearly disappears as the end systolic frame for analysis. There was no additional training provided to interpreters for systolic image interpretation, who interpreted systolic images as if they were interpreting conventional images. Conventional whole-cycle images and systolic images were considered normal when SSS was less than 4 and SDS was less than 2 (7). LV regional wall motion and thickening were not used for determining SSS and SDS, consistent with ASNC guidelines.

Statistical Analysis

Demographic and patient characteristics were summarized according to the presence or absence of obstructive CAD using descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) for continuous variables and frequency (%) for categoric variables. Data were compared using unpaired and paired t tests where indicated for continuous variables. A 2-tailed Fisher exact test and McNemar χ² test were used to compare paired categoric variables. P values of less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

During the study period, 4,564 sequential patients underwent SPECT MPI at our institution. After inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied, 603 patients meeting criteria were included in our study. The mean age was 57.5 ± 11.8 y, and 366 patients (60.7%) were men. Pharmacologic stress with intravenous adenosine infusion was used in 529 patients (87.7%), exercise treadmill stress in 52 patients (8.6%), and regadenoson in 16 patients (2.7%). Baseline patient characteristics, risk factors for CAD, and type of stress test are described in Table 1. Significant obstructive CAD by angiography was found in 268 patients (44.4%). Among patients with CAD (Table 2), single-vessel disease was reported in 147 patients (54.9%), and the left anterior descending artery was the most commonly affected (165 patients, 61.6%).

Figure 2 shows schematic plots of scanner activity recovery across sections of short-axis images for conventional whole-cycle and systolic images in an example patient with normal coronary artery and no other obvious source of imaging artifact. Because of limited scanner resolution and efficiency at heart depth and associated partial-volume loss, the scanner failed to recover true activity (red dashed line) particularly more so causing relative perfusion defects in anterior and inferior walls in this example. In systole, the LV walls are thicker throughout the heart, with better activity recovery by the scanner and more homogeneous images than the conventional whole-cycle images such that the anterior defect normalizes on systolic images (Fig. 2A).
The inferior defect has similar but less complete activity recovery profiles compared with the anterior defect (Fig. 2B), as expected because of diaphragmatic and liver attenuation. Nonetheless, both these defects substantially improve to nearly normal on systolic images, thereby suggesting that the defects seen in conventional images of this example are artifacts, as confirmed by a normal angiogram.

Examples of conventional whole-cycle and systolic image interpretation are demonstrated in Figure 3. Figure 3A illustrates short-axis views of an anterior stress perfusion defect on conventional whole-cycle images not present on the systolic images, suggesting that the defect on the whole-cycle image is an artifact, confirmed by coronary angiogram. Figure 3B illustrates an inferior stress defect on both the conventional whole-cycle and the systolic images, suggesting a true defect, confirmed by corresponding stenosis on coronary angiogram.

### Quantitative Scores of Perfusion Defects

All calculated SRS, SSS, and SDS were significantly lower in patients with no significant CAD as demonstrated in Table 3. LV ejection fraction in this group of patients was higher than those with CAD (61.6% ± 9.8% vs. 50.6% ± 15.8%, P < 0.001). In patients with no CAD, systolic SSS (Table 4) were significantly lower than SSS calculated from conventional whole-cycle images (2 ± 2.3 vs. 3 ± 2.6, P < 0.001). On the other hand (Table 4), the SSS derived from systolic and conventional whole-cycle images were not different in patients with significant CAD (9.1 ± 7.6 vs. 9.2 ± 7.4, P = 0.559). On average (Table 4), SSS calculated from systolic images were lower than those of conventional whole-cycle images by 1.0 ± 1.3 in patients with no CAD and by 0.1 ± 1.3 in patients with obstructive CAD (P < 0.001).

Integration of systolic images with gated SPECT MPI interpretation (Fig. 4) increased the number of true-negative studies from 164 (27.2%) to 261 (43.3%, P < 0.001) whereas false-positive studies decreased from 171 (28.4%) to 74 (12.3%, P < 0.001). In patients with obstructive CAD, the number of true-positive and false-negative studies was not significantly changed by adding systolic image interpretation (Fig. 4). Overall, diagnostic accuracy increased from 65.2% to 80.8% (P < 0.001) when systolic images were incorporated into image analysis (Fig. 4).

The consideration of systolic images did not change interpretation of conventional whole-cycle images (from abnormal to normal or normal to abnormal) in most patients (499 patients, 82.8%), as demonstrated in Table 5. For patients with baseline SSS greater than 8, none of the conventional whole-cycle image interpretations was altered by adding systolic image analysis (Table 5). Among 104 patients (17.2%) with conventional whole-cycle image interpretation altered by adding systolic images, the changed interpretations by considering systolic images correlated with their angiographic data were as follows: false-positive to true-negative in 97 patients (93.3%), true-positive to false-negative in 5 patients (4.8%), and false-negative to true-positive in 2 patients (1.9%).

In 97 studies in which interpretation changed from false-positive to true-negative, 31 patients (32%) had SSS less than 4
(mean SSS of 2.6 ± 0.5) but were considered to be abnormal by conventional whole-cycle images due to SDS of 2 or greater (mean SDS of 2.2 ± 0.4). In 5 studies that changed from true-positive to false-negative, the mean SSS and SDS with conventional method were 3.4 ± 0.9 and 1.6 ± 1.1, respectively, and 1.8 ± 1.3 and 0 ± 1.2, respectively, with added analysis of systolic images.

**DISCUSSION**

In our study, perfusion defects on systolic images, as measured by SSS, in patients without obstructive CAD were less severe and smaller than on conventional whole-cycle images because of greater homogeneity and enhanced activity recovery associated with less partial-volume loss and less motion artifact on systolic images. By contrast, in patients with obstructive CAD, there was no significant difference in the interpreted size or severity by SSS of perfusion defects between systolic and conventional whole-cycle images. These data suggest that artifactual perfusion defects in healthy subjects tend to improve or normalize on systolic images whereas true perfusion abnormalities in patients with obstructive CAD remain unchanged on systolic images. Incorporating systolic images into our routine SPECT MPI analysis

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECT score</th>
<th>No CAD</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional images</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>9.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic images</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic SSS</td>
<td>2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 7.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic SDS</td>
<td>−0.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>61.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>50.6 ± 15.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. Normal study = SSS < 4 and SDS < 2, P < 0.05 = statistically significant.
improved clinical interpretation by decreasing the number of false-negative studies and increasing true-negative studies and improved overall diagnostic accuracy.

In our study, systolic images altered 32% of SPECT MPI clinical interpretation from false-positive to true-negative studies based on perfusion defects that normalized on systolic images despite being reversible on conventional rest–stress images. Systole comprises one third of the heart cycle at slow heart rates of resting conditions. At higher heart rates during vasodilator or exercise stress, systole comprises half or more of the cardiac cycle. Accordingly, as previously published in detail for quantitative PET perfusion imaging (14), systolic images have greater impact on whole-cycle images during tachycardia of stress, compared with the slower heart rates at resting conditions. Therefore, SDSs parallel the SSSs.

Systolic image interpretation is most useful and beneficial when perfusion defects are mild because systolic images did not alter interpretation of conventional whole-cycle images when SSS was 8 or greater. Our data indicate that considering systolic images is particularly useful for separating true perfusion abnormalities from motion artifacts for these mild perfusion defects, as confirmed by coronary angiogram.

Although not statistically significant, in a small number of patients (4.8% in our study) with small mild stress perfusion defects, systolic image interpretation might cause false-negative results. However, with or without additional information from systolic images, coronary intervention may not be beneficial or indicated in these patients because of small areas of mild myocardial ischemia. With this qualification, the use of systolic images in SPECT MPI interpretation substantially reduced false-positive studies at the small expense of a slight, statistically insignificant increase in number of false-negative studies.

We acknowledge several limitations in our study. Our study is a retrospective, case-control design that cannot account for unmeasured confounders. It was not designed to evaluate sensitivity and specificity of the SPECT MPI compared with coronary angiogram because referral bias could not be objectively considered (33,34). As a university quaternary care center, referral bias or unrecognized confounders likely affected our observed percentage of false-positive and false-negative images but their change by considering systolic images remains valid. Moreover, our observed 28% false-positive whole-cycle SPECT perfusion images compares with the average of 24% reported in a large recent meta-analysis with a range of false-positives up to 44%–53% in current practice (35,36).

Although only stress images were ECG-gated for systolic analysis in this study, comparing ECG-gated resting systolic images with stress systolic images would be expected to reduce artifacts of rest–stress change even more than reported here because the systolic rest images also have thicker LV walls and less partial-volume loss than diastolic or whole-cycle rest images. Whatever small differences in processing between gated and ungated images are unlikely to affect our results because the same gated–ungated processing differences applied to the definite stress defects on systolic and diastolic images confirmed by angiogram were also applied to stress defects that normalized on systolic images in patients with no stenosis on angiogram.

Despite our awareness of and our many publications on its limitations, visual interpretation of coronary stenosis on angiogram was used as a reference standard for binary classification of our study population into significant or nonsignificant coronary artery stenosis, similar to all previous reports on diagnostic accuracy of SPECT MPI in the literature and in widespread clinical practice (37,38).

Although our daily clinical interpretations incorporate wall motion and thickening on gated images, ASNC and American College of Cardiology protocols for qualitative SSS use relative defects without modification of the SSS by wall motion or thickening.

### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Whole cycle SSS ± SD</th>
<th>Systolic SSS ± SD</th>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>( \Delta \text{SSS} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No CAD (( n = 335 ))</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-1 ± 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD (( n = 268 ))</td>
<td>9.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>9.1 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 1.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. \( \Delta \text{SSS} \) = difference between SSS and systolic SSS, normal study = SSS < 4 and SDS < 2, \( ^* P < 0.001 \), \( P < 0.05 \) = statistically significant.

![Figure 4](Image)

**FIGURE 4.** Bar graph demonstrates result of considering systolic images in interpretation of whole-cycle conventional images compared with coronary angiogram.
Moreover, the literature reports no methodology for incorporating wall motion and thickening into SSS of relative perfusion defects.

Separately and in addition to the clinical use of wall motion and thickening, our study addresses the value of considering relative activity distribution on systolic images having enhanced activity uptake with corresponding greater homogeneity and intensity without motion artifacts due to ECG-gated acquisition. Therefore, as similar to all prior reports on SSS in MPI, our study did not incorporate regional LV wall motion and thickening to our whole-cycle SPECT MPI SSS (8–10,39,40). Interestingly, considering systolic stress scores provides a potential methodology for semi-quantitatively incorporating ECG-gated data into interpretation of relative myocardial perfusion images.

CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrates that myocardial perfusion defects on conventional whole-cycle SPECT images that visually normalize on systolic images quantified by SSS are artifacts documented by correlation with coronary angiography. Therefore, integrating relative activity distribution on systolic images combined with interpretation of conventional whole-cycle stress SPECT perfusion images reduces false-positive results with no significant increase in false-negatives and improves overall diagnostic accuracy, thereby potentially reducing the need for diagnostic coronary angiograms.
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TABLE 5

Image Interpretation by Conventional and Systolic Images Based on Baseline SSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Unchanged*</th>
<th>Conventional images</th>
<th>Systolic images</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients  (n = 603)</td>
<td>499 (82.8%)</td>
<td>393 (65.2%)</td>
<td>487 (80.8%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS = 8 (n = 481)</td>
<td>377 (78.4%)</td>
<td>282 (58.6%)</td>
<td>376 (78.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS &gt; 8 (n = 122)</td>
<td>122 (100%)</td>
<td>111 (91%)</td>
<td>111 (91%)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Result of interpretation was not altered by systolic images from normal to abnormal or abnormal to normal, normal study = SSS < 4 and SDS < 2, P value < 0.05 = statistically significant.

Values are n, with percentages in parentheses.
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