Adjuvant Intraarterial Lipiodol or $^{131}$I-Lipiodol After Curative Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Prospective Randomized Trial
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The prevention of tumor recurrence after curative treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is unresolved. Postoperative intraarterial injection of $^{131}$I-labeled lipiodol has been proposed as adjuvant treatment. The aim of this prospective randomized trial was to evaluate if a single dose of postoperative adjuvant intraarterial $^{131}$I-lipiodol (vs. unlabeled lipiodol) could reduce the rate of intrahepatic recurrence at 2 y. Methods: Patients who underwent curative treatment for HCC and recovered within 6 wk were randomly assigned to receive a single 2,200-MBq $^{131}$I-lipiodol dose or a single unlabeled lipiodol dose on a 1:1 basis. Recurrence-free and overall survival rates were analyzed. Results: Between June 2005 and February 2009, we included 58 patients (median age of 63 y [range, 23–85 y]), 29 received intraarterial $^{131}$I-lipiodol and 29 received lipiodol adjuvant treatment. At 2 y after treatment, the rate of patients with intrahepatic recurrence was 28% in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol group and 56% in the lipiodol group ($P = 0.00449$). The Kaplan–Meier analysis confirmed this result, with a 2-y recurrence-free survival in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups of 73% and 45%, respectively ($P = 0.0259$). The 5-y recurrence-free survival rates in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups were 40% and 0%, respectively ($P = 0.0184$). The overall and specific survivals were not significantly different between groups ($P = 0.9378$ and $P = 0.1339$, respectively). $^{131}$I-lipiodol had no severe toxic effects. Conclusion: After curative treatment of patients with HCC, one 2,200-MBq dose of intraarterial $^{131}$I-lipiodol significantly decreased the rate of intrahepatic recurrence but failed to improve overall or specific survival.

Key Words: hepatocellular carcinoma; $^{131}$I-labeled lipiodol; adjuvant therapy; recurrence; survival


DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.113.131367
The purpose of the present randomized study was to evaluate if a single 2,200-MBq dose of $^{131}$I-lipiodol versus unlabeled lipiodol would reduce the rate of recurrence of HCC after curative treatment. Side effects and patient tolerance were assessed in both groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This was a multicenter, randomized controlled study conducted in French academic hospitals of the Rhône-Alpes area. Patients were required to have undergone hepatic resection or percutaneous ablation of HCC within 8–20 wk before adjuvant therapy and to have no documented evidence of disease recurrence on abdominal sonography and CT scanning or MR imaging 4 wk before inclusion. Patients had to be older than 18 y, with a Child–Pugh score of no more than 7, World Health Organization Performance Status below 3, no ascites, total bilirubinemia below 370 mg/dL, no portal thrombosis or hepatofugal portal flow, a neutrophil count of at least 1,500/mm³, a platelet count of at least 50,000/mm³, and serum creatinine of no more than 1.2 mg/dL.

The concomitant use of other therapy was not allowed. All patients were required to give written informed consent. The trial was approved by the ethics committee CCPPRB (Comité consultatif de protection des personnes dans la recherche biomédicale) Lyon B on December 21, 2004, and by the French Health Authority (Agence française de sécurité sanitaire des produits de santé [AFSSaPS]) on February 9, 2005. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Randomization

The randomization list was prepared using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc.) by the research unit (Unité de recherche clinique, Hospices Civils de Lyon). Afterward, the investigator contacted the randomization search unit by fax to obtain the randomization group. Patients were randomly assigned within 6 wk after curative treatment of HCC to receive either an adjuvant intraarterial hepatic injection of $^{131}$I-lipiodol (treatment arm) or unlabeled lipiodol (control arm) on a 1:1 basis. Randomization was centralized and stratified according to the first treatment of HCC (surgery or ablation). Because of the constraints related to radioactive therapy, the study was not masked.

Therapy

The volume of $^{131}$I-lipiodol (Lipiocis; CIS Bio International) to be injected was 10 mL, and the activity was uniformly 2,200 MBq for each treatment dose. To protect the thyroid from uptake of free $^{131}$I-lipiodol during cellular degradation of $^{131}$I-lipiodol, patients were given 2 drops (0.05 mL) of Lugol iodine solution 3 times daily for 1 wk before and 4 wk after treatment. On the day of treatment, the patients underwent selective cannulation of the hepatic artery by the Seldinger technique, which was performed by the interventional radiologist who administered $^{131}$I-lipiodol. The catheter for angiography was removed after the treatment. The patients remained in an isolation room for radiation protection purposes and were discharged home once the activity of $^{131}$I-lipiodol was below 370 MBq (about 7 d, depending on the effective half-life of $^{131}$I-lipiodol).

In the control group, a similar injection of 10 mL of lipiodol (Lipiodol Ultrafluide; Laboratoire Guerbet) was performed.

We recorded any side effects such as pain or fever. Liver function tests were performed every day during the hospital stay.

Follow-up

Medical history and physical examination, as well as complete blood count and liver function tests, were assessed after months 1, 3, and 6 after adjuvant therapy and then every 6 mo until 5 y. The survey for recurrent tumors included a serum $\alpha$-fetoprotein check every 6 mo and thoracic and abdominal CT scanning every 6 mo or whenever clinically indicated.

The study had to be stopped early in April 2011 because the study product was no longer available. On that date, all patients had at least a 2-y follow-up. To ensure homogeneity, data were presented at 2 y. We updated information on recurrence and vital status from the medical files in April 2012.

Outcomes

The primary endpoint was the recurrence rate at 2 y, with recurrence defined as an intrahepatic recurrence (local recurrence or second HCC) occurring more than 6 mo after the initial treatment. Local recurrence was defined as recurrence in the same liver segment as the initial tumor. A second HCC was defined as recurrence in a different liver segment or at a distance of 2 cm from the initial tumor. Intrahepatic recurrence was diagnosed by histology or by MR imaging, CT scanning (doubled surface, hypervascularized hepatic tumor), or a significant elevation of serum $\alpha$-fetoprotein levels (>80 ng/mL).

Secondary endpoints were recurrence-free survival, overall and specific survival, occurrence of extrahepatic metastasis, liver function evolution, and treatment toxicity in both groups.
The study population comprised all randomized patients available at injection time; that is, any patients who had been wrongly included, had withdrawn before injection, or had a recurrence within 6 mo were not considered.

The efficacy population comprised all randomized patients having effectively received the injection and with follow-up available at 2 y (patients who had been lost to follow-up, had received a transplant, or had died before 2 y were excluded). Patients with recurrence within the first 6 mo after initial treatment were also excluded.

The injected population comprised all randomized patients having effectively received the injection; patients with recurrence within the first 6 mo after initial treatment were also excluded.

The safety population consisted of all patients injected.

**Sample Size**

Assuming that the recurrence rate at 2 y was 40%, we expected that $^{131}$I-lipiodol would reduce this rate to 15%. To achieve 80% power with an $\alpha$ level of 5%, a total of 47 patients per group had to be assessed. To take into account loss of follow-up, 60 patients were included (120 patients overall).

**Statistical Analysis**

For quantitative variables, parameters at inclusion were presented as mean followed by SD in parentheses and median followed by minimum and maximum in parentheses and were compared using the Student $t$ test or the Mann–Whitney test in case of nonnormality. For qualitative variables, parameters at inclusion were presented as number followed by percentage in parentheses and were compared using the $\chi^2$ test (or the Fisher exact test when conditions for $\chi^2$ were not fulfilled).

The rate of recurrence at 2 y was computed on the efficacy population and compared using a $\chi^2$ test. This analysis was completed with a recurrence-free survival analysis on the injected population. Time to recurrence was computed from the injection date to the recurrence date or to the latest follow-up for patients without recurrence (end of study, transplantation date, or death date). Groups were compared using the log-rank test.

Overall survival was analyzed for the injected population. Time was computed from the injection date to the death date or to the latest follow-up for surviving patients. Specific survival was computed by considering as censored all patients with a cause of death not related to the disease. Groups were compared using the log-rank test.

The impact of tumor size and cirrhosis on 2-y recurrence-free survival and on specific survival was tested using a Cox model. In cases of significance, a model was created involving the variable, the treatment group, and their interaction.

Adverse events were recorded and were encoded using the MedDRA System Organ Class. All analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.2.
RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

Between June 2005 and February 2009, 58 patients (median age, 63 y [range, 23–85 y]) were included and were available for analysis (Fig. 1). The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The sex ratio, age, stage and size of tumor, Child–Pugh score, and biologic variables were similar in both groups.

Lipiodol and 131I-Labeled Lipiodol Injection

There were no complications related to angiography. After injection of 131I-lipiodol, mild extrahepatic radionucleotide diffusion was observed (on scintigraphy) in the thyroid or lung in 8 of 29 patients, without clinical consequence.

HCC Recurrence

The efficacy population comprised 50 patients followed for at least 2 y. Complementary recording allowed a median of 4.4 y of follow-up to be reached. At 2 y after treatment, there were 7 cases of intrahepatic recurrence (28%) in the 131I-lipiodol group and 14 (56%) in the lipiodol group (P = 0.0449). These recurrences were local in 4 cases (1 and 3, respectively) and were a second tumor in 17 (6 and 11, respectively). The Kaplan–Meier analysis on the 56 patients of the injected population confirmed this result, with a 2-y recurrence-free survival in the 131I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups of 73% and 45%, respectively (P = 0.0259) (Fig. 2). Initial treatment of HCC recurrence consisted of surgery (n = 2), percutaneous ablation (n = 2), transarterial chemoembolization (n = 1), chemotherapy (n = 1), or best supportive care (n = 6).

Tumor size and presence of cirrhosis had no impact on 2-y recurrence-free survival.

When the data were not restricted to the first 2 y, the number of patients with intrahepatic recurrence was 12 (48%) in the 131I-lipiodol group and 19 (76%) in the lipiodol group (P = 0.0414). In addition, 2 patients (all in the lipiodol group) presented extrahepatic metastases during follow-up (bone and lung metastases in both cases).

Patients with cirrhosis had a higher risk of recurrence (P = 0.0236). The Cox model with interaction showed that the effect of treatment was significantly different between patients with and without cirrhosis (P = 0.0203), with radioactive treatment being more efficient in patients without cirrhosis.

Similarly, the 5-y recurrence-free survival rates in the 131I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups were 40% and 0%, respectively (P = 0.0184).

Overall Survival

The number of deaths was 10 (34.5%) in the 131I-lipiodol group and 9 (31.0%) in the lipiodol group. According to Kaplan–Meier analysis (Fig. 3), the overall survival was not significantly different (P = 0.9378).
The 2-y overall survival rates in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups were 83% and 88%, respectively. Concerning related deaths, 3 and 7 deaths were observed in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol and lipiodol groups, respectively. The specific survival was not significantly different ($P = 0.1339$). The specific survival rate at 2 y was 92% in both groups (Fig. 4).

Tumor size and presence of cirrhosis affect survival. The primary endpoint was recurrence rate at 2 y. However, receipt of a transplant, loss to follow-up, and death before this timeline make the per-protocol analysis unsatisfactory. Intention-to-treat analysis was not performed since imputation would have been necessary for more than 20% of patients. Therefore, the only valid method for analyzing data with these characteristics is survival analysis. This is why we decided to complete the simple proportion comparison with a Kaplan–Meier analysis.

HCC commonly arises from chronic viral or alcoholic liver diseases as is in accordance with our study population, most of whom had alcoholic liver disease—the main etiology of cirrhosis in France. We report here the largest randomized controlled study and the first as is in accordance with our study population, most of whom had alcoholic liver disease—the main etiology of cirrhosis in France. Twenty years ago, Lau et al. recruited (from April 1992 to August 1997) 43 patients in Hong Kong who were randomly allocated to receive intraarterial $^{131}$I-lipiodol ($n = 21$) or no adjuvant treatment ($n = 22$) (8,9). The 5-y actuarial recurrence-free survival was significantly better in the treatment group (61.9% vs. 31.8%, $P = 0.0397$). In addition, the 5-y overall survival was significantly better in the treatment group (66.7% vs. 36.4%, $P = 0.0433$). The median intrahepatic recurrence interval after curative resection was 19 mo (range, 2–120 mo) and 7 mo (range, 2–25 mo) in the treatment and control groups, respectively ($P = 0.013$). Interestingly, the differences in recurrence-free and overall survival became statistically insignificant 8 y after randomization. The authors hypothesized that this finding could have been related to one of two factors: the small sample size due to the early stopping of randomization after interim analysis, or the inability of a single injection of $^{131}$I-lipiodol to prevent new tumor formation in a cir-
rhotic liver over the very long term, as expected. Since $^{131}$I-lipiodol emits both $\beta$ rays and $\gamma$ rays, patients treated with $^{131}$I-lipiodol need to stay in the hospital for approximately 7–14 d to allow radiation to decrease to a safe level before discharge. On the one hand, it can be postulated that this agent could be used as an adjuvant treatment after curative treatment of HCC to deliver a sufficient dose of radiation to the liver remnant and eradicate microscopic disease. On the other hand, such treatment is probably not able to prevent delayed recurrence presenting as new tumor (10). A second injection of $^{131}$I-lipiodol, for example, 2 y after the first one (and thereafter iterative), could be of interest and would need evaluation. The main limiting factor for $^{131}$I-lipiodol use is the patient isolation required for radioprotection, because serious adverse effects are quite rare. Potassium iodide premedication is usually used to decrease thyroid iodide uptake and the risk of hypothyroidism. As observed in our study, undesirable effects reported fairly frequently consist of moderate and temporary fever (29%), moderate and temporary disturbances of the biologic liver test (20%), and hepatic pain during injection (12.5%) (7). Moderate and reversible leukopenia is observed more rarely (7%) (7). Interstitial pneumonia is probably the most severe complication of $^{131}$I-lipiodol and has been reported at an estimated prevalence of 15.5 cases per 1,000 treated patients (7).

In comparison to ours, there were less recurrence and better survival in the Asian study, probably related to very different patient characteristics in the two randomized studies: hepatitis B–positive young (52-y-old) patients without cirrhosis in the study of Lau et al. versus older (63-y-old) patients with alcoholic cirrhosis in ours. This HCC epidemiology is the opposite of that in Asia and Europe. Therefore, the clinical impact of adjuvant therapy after curative treatment of HCC is probably different in these two different settings.

Before the present randomized study, two French nonrandomized studies also suggested clinical efficacy for adjuvant $^{131}$I-lipiodol. The single-arm prospective trial reported by Partensky et al. (on 28 patients treated from January 1991 to June 1997 in Lyon) showed 3- and 5-y overall survival rates of 86% and 65%, respectively (11). The French case-control study reported by Boucher et al. (on 38 patients treated from January 1999 to September 2001) reported that the number of recurrences in the first 2 y was significantly lower in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol group (7/38 [18.4%] vs. 15/38 [39.5%]), and this finding is in accordance with our results. In addition, recurrence-free survival was better in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol group than in the control group (2-, 3-, and 5-y rates of 77%, 63%, and 42% vs. 47%, 34%, and 27%, respectively, $P < 0.03$). Overall survival did not differ between the groups even though there was a trend toward better survival in the $^{131}$I-lipiodol group (2-, 3-, and 5-y rates of 76%, 68%, and 51% vs. 68%, 53%, and 39%, respectively, $P = 0.09$) (12,13). Similarly, in the study of Chua et al. from Australia, 41 patients who received adjuvant $^{131}$I-lipiodol after hepatic resection were compared with a matched group of 41 patients who underwent resection only (14). The median disease-free and overall survival were 24 mo versus 10 mo ($P = 0.032$) and 104 mo versus 19 mo ($P = 0.001$) in the experimental and control groups, respectively. Interestingly, rates of intrahepatic-only recurrences (73% vs. 37%, $P = 0.02$) and surgical and nonsurgical treatments for recurrences (84% vs. 56%, $P = 0.04$) were higher in the experimental group than in the control group.

To date, $^{131}$I-lipiodol is the only radionuclide agent that has been evaluated as an adjuvant treatment for HCC, but this agent is no longer available in France from CIS Bio International. Nevertheless, this status could change in the future if efficacy is supported by clinical studies, and $^{131}$I-lipiodol can also be purchased elsewhere, as is done in Hong Kong by Lau et al. (8,9) (labeling of lipiodol is done in their radioisotope laboratory by an atom-to-atom exchange reaction). Nevertheless, there are also other radionuclide agents that are currently being investigated. $^{188}$Re-HDD (4-hexadecyl-2,2,9,9-tetramethyl-4,7-diaza-1,10-decanedithiol)/lipiodol has favorable characteristics for radionuclide therapy, and first trials disclosed promising tolerance and response rates (15). More interesting is the potential role of transarterial radioembolization using $^{90}$Y microspheres. In a metaanalysis of 14 published studies, Venti et al. showed almost 80% response of any type after palliative treatment of 325 patients with HCC (16). Although $^{90}$Y microspheres are currently the preferred method for treating HCC, rather than $^{131}$I-lipiodol, the strong embolic ability of $^{90}$Y microspheres makes severe liver dysfunction a contraindication for their use (17). This consideration would not be relevant in the context of adjuvant therapy, especially in patients without cirrhosis. Ultimately, techniques using $^{90}$Y-labeled products tend to cost up to 10 times more than therapy with $^{131}$I-lipiodol.

CONCLUSION

Our study strongly confirmed the findings of the first randomized study from Hong Kong including hepatitis B virus–positive patients. Adjuvant $^{131}$I-lipiodol after curative treatment of HCC was able to significantly improve recurrence-free survival in patients with chronic liver disease of various origins, mainly alcohol, in a European setting. Randomized controlled trials will soon be necessary to evaluate the role of new radionuclide agents in this indication. Associations between locoregional and systemic adjuvant treatments could probably increase efficacy and need to be evaluated.
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