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Metastatic disease to bone is commonly seen in the advanced stages of many cancers. The cardinal symptom, pain, is often the cause of significant morbidity and reduced quality of life. Treatment of bone pain includes nonsteroidal analgesics and opiates; however, long-term use of these drugs is commonly associated with significant side effects, and tolerance is common. External-beam radiation therapy is effective mainly in localized disease sites. Bone-targeting radiopharmaceuticals are beneficial in the management of patients with multiple metastatic lesions. This article focuses on the 3 most commonly used agents: the Food and Drug Administration-approved \( ^{89}\text{Sr-chloride} \), \( ^{153}\text{Sm-ethylenediaminetetramethylene phosphonic acid (EDTMP)} \), and \( ^{223}\text{Ra-dichloride} \). We will discuss the physical characteristics, clinical data, dosage, and administration of these agents, including optimal patient selection and toxicity associated with their use. These radioactive agents have proven efficacy in the treatment of painful osseous metastases from prostate cancer and breast cancer. Significant recent advances include use of these agents in combination with chemotherapy and the use of the \( \alpha \) emitter \( ^{223}\text{Ra-dichloride} \) in prostate cancer, primarily to improve survival and skeletal related events. The review is presented in 2 parts. The first will discuss the characteristics and clinical use of \( ^{223}\text{Ra-dichloride} \), and the second will discuss the \( \beta \) emitters \( ^{89}\text{Sr} \) and \( ^{153}\text{Sm-EDTMP} \).
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systemic bone-seeking radiopharmaceuticals. Bone pain is controlled with analgesic medications in a 3-step approach. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), including aspirin, ibuprofen, and naproxen, are used initially for mild to moderate pain. If the pain persists or increases, treatment progresses to the second step, weak opioids such as codeine or hydrocodone, and then to the third step, higher doses or more potent opioids such as morphine, hydromorphone, or fentanyl. The World Health Organization has published guidelines for pain management (4,5). Narcotics form the next level of treatment, given with increasing potency for more painful disease, but are associated with side effects including constipation, limitations in mental and physical status, and addiction. The pain management guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (6) recommend an initial comprehensive assessment of pain, including severity, pathophysiology, presence of cancer pain syndromes, and any skeletal related events. Recommendations include initiation of analgesic NSAIDs such as acetaminophen. Pain associated with inflammation is treated with NSAIDs and corticosteroids. For pain without an oncologic emergency, NSAIDs are given first, with the dose being increased if pain persists or increases on treatment. Bisphosphonates, chemotherapy, and endocrine therapy may be used to prevent bone resorption and target the bone metastasis, respectively. Localized radiotherapy or systemic radionuclide treatment may be added as needed for cases of local pain or generalized diffuse disease pain, respectively. Continuous pain is treated with regular doses of pain medication, and small doses of opioids may additionally be given for breakthrough pain. Opioids are rotated or changed when pain persists or increases (6). Lethargy and constipation are particularly common symptoms that are associated with opiate use. Prolonged use leads to tolerance, and progressively increased dosing is required. Radiation therapy and surgery are commonly used for the treatment of localized bone metastases (7). The use of antiresorptive agents such as bisphosphonates and targeting of the pathway of receptor activator of nuclear factor κB with denosumab are commonly used for reducing pain and skeletal related events (8). Bone-seeking radiopharmaceuticals are preferred for widespread symptomatic bone metastasis (9,10).

Although several radiopharmaceuticals with different physical properties have been used for treatment of metastatic bone disease, our review will focus on more commonly used β-emitting isotopes, namely 89Sr-chloride (Metastron; GE Healthcare), 153Sm-methyleneaminetetramethylene phosphonic acid (EDTMP) (lexidronam/Quadramet; Dow Chemical Co.), and the α-emitting radioisotope 223Ra-dichloride (Xofigo [previously known as Alpharadin]; Bayer HealthCare), the 3 radiopharmaceuticals currently approved and available in the United States.

223Ra-DICHLORIDE

223Ra-dichloride is a novel, bone-seeking calcium mimetic α emitter, accumulating in areas of increased bone turnover, that is being developed to target metastatic bone disease (11–17). The physical characteristics of 223Ra are shown in Table 1. Among its advantages are its availability from a long-lived 227Ac/227Th generator (18) and a physical half-life of 11.4 d, which allows for easy shipping to end users because of the long time between manufacturing and expiration. 223Ra has a complex decay scheme in which 4 α particles are generated during each decay, resulting in high energy deposition (28.2 MeV), with 95% of the energy from the α emissions (Fig. 1). The high linear energy transfer of α radiation results in a greater biologic effectiveness than β radiation, as well as generation of double-strand DNA breaks, and gives rise to cytotoxicity that is independent of dose rate, cell cycle growth phase, and oxygen concentration (19). The range of the α particles (<100 μm) is much smaller than the 0.7-cm path length of 89Sr and the 0.33-cm path length of 153Sm; as a result, less hematologic toxicity for a given bone surface dose would be expected from α emitters than from β emitters (20). It is estimated that as few as 1–20 α tracks crossing the nucleus will result in cell death (19). 223Ra, similar to other alkaline earth elements such as calcium, is absorbed into bone matrix at sites of active mineralization (21).

Preclinical studies have shown selective concentration in bone, compared with 89Sr, with no significant redistribution of the daughter radionuclides (16). Lack of significant redistribution of
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TABLE 2

CLINICAL STUDIES WITH 223Ra (TABLE 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of injections</th>
<th>Activity (kBq/kg)</th>
<th>Major endpoints</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al., 2005 (13)</td>
<td>Breast and prostate</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46, 93, 183, 213, or 250</td>
<td>1 Toxicity, ALP, AIP, skeletal related event, PSA response, AIP, toxicity, quality of life</td>
<td>1 (single-center)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al., 2007 (14)</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>50 vs. placebo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50 vs. placebo</td>
<td>2 Prostate, PSA, AIP, ALP, toxicity, quality of life</td>
<td>2 (double-blind and randomized, multicenter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al., 2012 (11)</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5, 25, 50, or 100</td>
<td>3 PSA response, ALP, s-CTX-1, toxicity, biodistribution, toxicity</td>
<td>1 (single-center)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker et al., 2013 (25)</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 every 4 wk</td>
<td>1 in 6 patients, dose was repeated at 50</td>
<td>3 (double-blind randomized, multicenter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker et al., 2013 (24)</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25, 50, or 80</td>
<td>1 in 6 patients, dose was repeated at 50</td>
<td>3 (double-blind randomized, multicenter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al., 2013 (23)</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50, 100, or 20</td>
<td>2 Prostate, PSA related event, pain</td>
<td>2 (double-blind randomized, multicenter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrasquillo et al., 2013</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50, 100, 200</td>
<td>1 Toxicity, ALP, skeletal related event, PSA response, AIP, toxicity, quality of life</td>
<td>1 (single-center)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breast cancer, 10: prostate cancer, 15; s-CTX-1 = serum carboxy-terminal crosslinking telopeptide of type I collagen.

PHARMACOKINETICS AND BIODISTRIBUTION

Analysis of the pharmacokinetics of 223Ra (13,22) showed rapid clearance from the blood, with less than 1% remaining at 24 h. Imaging of γ rays from 223Ra and its daughters, although feasible, is of poor quality but does allow for understanding the biodistribution and establishing targeting of lesions (Fig. 2) (13,22,26). Elimination is mainly through the gastrointestinal tract, and early excretion is seen in the small bowel, presumably transmurally, with the median being 52% activity in the bowel at 24 h (22). Urinary excretion is minimal (typically <5%), in contrast to 153Sm-EDTMP (22).

CLINICAL STUDIES WITH 223Ra (TABLE 2)

Administration of 223Ra is based on body weight, similar to 153Sm-EDTMP. However, because of the higher relative biologic effectiveness of α emitters than β emitters, the activity required is much smaller. An initial phase I study using single escalating doses ranging from 46 to 250 kBq/kg showed favorable biologic effects, good tolerance at all levels, and no dose-limiting toxicity even at the highest administered dose (13). Other phase II trials were conducted using either a single injection of no more than 200 kBq/kg or cumulative activity of up to 240 kBq/kg administered in split doses, with low toxicity and clinical benefit. Later studies focused on administering fractionated activity. A larger multicenter phase III trial was done with a 223Ra dose of 50 kBq/kg given as repeated injections for 6 doses (24). In the United States, a small phase I trial evaluated activity of 50, 100, and 200 kBq/kg as a single injection without an effort to reach a maximal tolerated dose because of the favorable biologic effect of lower repeated activity (22).

In contrast to development of several other bone-targeting radionuclides that have focused on relief of pain as endpoints, several of the clinical trials with 223Ra have focused on survival, biochemical response, skeletal related events, and quality-of-life outcomes as endpoints. Furthermore, most of the phase II trials are randomized, placebo-controlled trials limited to castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer.

Decrease in Bone Markers

There is a suggestion that bone alkaline phosphatase (ALP) is a predictive marker for tumor response in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer (27), and a significant decrease in ALP is seen with 223Ra. A randomized study performed by Nilsson et al. evaluated 64 castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with
painful bone disease requiring external-beam radiation therapy followed by randomization to external-beam radiation either alone (n = 31) or along with $^{223}$Ra at 50 kBq/kg every 4 wk × 4 (n = 33). At 4 wk after treatment, a median decrease of 65.6% in ALP levels was seen in the $^{223}$Ra group, versus a 9.3% rise in those treated with external-beam radiation alone (14). Similar results for ALP decrease were noted in other studies (13,22). In patients treated with a 25, 50, or 80 kBq/kg dose of $^{223}$Ra repeated 3 times, a drop of at least 50% in bone ALP was seen in 16%, 67%, and 66%, respectively (24). When patients were treated with only a single dose of $^{223}$Ra, a significant drop in ALP was seen only at the 100 kBq/kg dose level (24).

Markers of bone turnover such as CTX-1 (carboxy-terminal crosslinking telopeptide of type I collagen) and procollagen I N-terminal propeptide also show a significant decrease in patients treated with $^{223}$Ra (14). A significant decrease in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels occurs after $^{223}$Ra treatment, versus placebo (23.8% PSA decrease vs. 44.9% increase, respectively). A longer median time to PSA progression is also seen: 26 wk versus 8 wk for $^{223}$Ra versus the control group (14). A dose response was seen; for treatment at 25, 50, or 80 kBq/kg, a drop of at least 50% in PSA was seen in no patients (0%), 2 patients (6%), and 5 patients (13%), respectively, whereas a drop of at least 50% in bone ALP levels was seen in 16%, 67%, and 66% for the respective dose levels (24).

**Effect on Skeletal Related Events**

$^{223}$Ra effectively decreases the onset of the first skeletal related event. In a group of 64 patients receiving external-beam radiation plus 50 kBq/kg × 4 doses of $^{223}$Ra or external-beam radiation and placebo (14,23), the median time for the first skeletal related event was 14 wk versus 11 wk, respectively. In the ALSYMPCA trial, on 921 patients, a significant delay in skeletal related event onset was seen: median, 14.9 mo, versus 11.3 mo for the control group (25).

**Survival Benefit**

In contrast to other radiopharmaceutical agents, $^{223}$Ra therapy has demonstrated significant improvement in survival as a single agent. Among patients with bone pain receiving external-beam radiation alone or external-beam radiation plus $^{223}$Ra, 15 patients assigned to the $^{223}$Ra group were alive at 18 mo of follow-up, compared with 8 in the group receiving external-beam radiation alone (14). The median overall survival was, at 18 mo, 65.3 wk for $^{223}$Ra versus 46.4 wk for external-beam radiation only and, at 24 mo, 65.3 wk versus 46.4 wk, respectively (hazard ratio of 0.47 in favor of the $^{223}$Ra arm). Furthermore, at 2 y 30% of the $^{223}$Ra group were alive, compared with 13% for placebo. Those who received all 4 injections had longer overall survival than those receiving fewer injections (23). Overall survival in the phase III ALSYMPCA trial was 14.9 mo for men treated with $^{223}$Ra and 11.3 mo for those receiving placebo (Fig. 3). An overall survival benefit with $^{223}$Ra was seen in all subgroups of men, regardless of their extent of disease and whether they had previously received docetaxel or current treatment with bisphosphonates (25).

**Effect on Pain**

$^{223}$Ra is effective in pain relief. In an initial study, pain relief was seen at all dose levels ranging from 46 to 250 kBq/kg given as a single dose. Pain relief occurred in 52%, 60%, and 56% of patients at 1, 4, and 8 wk, respectively. There was no definite dose–response relationship. Similar results were noted in a randomized double-blind controlled trial focused on patients experiencing pain from castration-resistant prostate cancer. Patients received a single 5, 25, 50, or 100 kBq/kg dose of $^{223}$Ra. At 8 wk, 40% of those treated with the 5 kBq/kg dose had pain relief, versus 71% of those treated with the 100 kBq/kg dose, with complete or very good pain response seen in 30% versus 51%. A significant number of patients had a decrease in pain medication at 8 wk. The mean pain relief duration was 44 d for higher doses (50 and 100 kBq/kg), versus 28 d for the 5 kBq/kg dose and 35 d for the 25 kBq/kg dose ($P > 0.05$) (24).

**DOSIMETRY AND TOXICITY**

There is less bone marrow toxicity with $^{223}$Ra than with β emitters. Dosimetry...
estimates using standard approaches have been compared with cell level dosimetry using a model for the expected localization of $^{223}$Ra relative to marrow cavity. Hobbs et al. estimated the percentage of cells that receive a potentially toxic absorbed dose (2 or 4 Gy). On the basis of the model, it is estimated that the majority of the radiation dose is deposited near the trabecular elements, substantially decreasing the risk of marrow toxicity at higher doses (20). Using an International Commission on Radiological Protection biokinetic model for alkaline earth elements, Lassman et al. estimated the absorbed dose to organs from $^{223}$Ra. The largest estimated doses were $3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ Gy/Bq to bone endosteum and $3.7 \times 10^{-7}$ Gy/Bq to bone marrow (28). The organ-based dosimetry from patient studies and using the MIRD method and OLINDA software are provided in the Xofigo product information (29), and the major organ doses are summarized in Table 3.

### Hematologic Toxicity

Compared with β emitters, $^{223}$Ra has the theoretic advantage of sparing much of the marrow irradiation given the short-range emissions (15,20,28). Although the activity of administered $^{223}$Ra has ranged from single injections of 5 kBq/kg to 250 kBq/kg and maximum fractionated activity of up to 300 kBq/kg (12,24), a maximum tolerated dose has not been reached. $^{223}$Ra is well tolerated, with a small incidence of adverse events.

$^{223}$Ra myelotoxicity is infrequent, typically with no grade 4 toxicities and infrequent grade 3 toxicities (using National Cancer Institute common toxicity criteria) (13,14). Of 33 patients receiving 50 kBq/kg × 4 doses of $^{223}$Ra, none developed grade 4 myelotoxicity and only 1 had grade 3 leukopenia, neutropenia, and anemia, seen in the first 2–4 wk (13,14) and with no cumulative myelotoxicity. Hematopoietic toxicity is dose-related (11). The hematopoietic toxicity or myelosuppression is reversible, with the nadir occurring 2–4 wk after treatment (13). Generally, recovery occurs by 24 wk; those with anemia at baseline may take longer (24).

### Nonhematologic Toxicity

Nonhematologic toxicities are generally more common than hematologic toxicity and are mild to moderate in intensity. The most common side effects are diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and bone pain (13,14,24), some of which are dose-related. These side effects are easy to manage; treatment is symptomatic and supportive. In one study, there was no difference in the incidence of these toxicities in the $^{223}$Ra versus control patients for most symptoms except for a higher incidence of constipation in $^{223}$Ra-treated patients (12/33) versus controls (2/31) (14).

In a larger trial on 600 patients treated with $^{223}$Ra, toxicities were mild. The most frequent reported side effects, occurring in more than 10% of patients, were nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, and peripheral edema; interestingly, constipation was not mentioned. Hematopoietic grade 3 or 4 leukopenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in less than 3% of the $^{223}$Ra-treated group and less than 1% of the placebo group (29).

### Combined Therapy

The landscape in prostate cancer treatment has changed significantly in the last few years, with various new therapies becoming available, including cabazitaxel, abiraterone, and enzalutamide. Although this progress has increased the armamentarium available for metastatic prostate cancer, it creates challenges in determining the sequencing of treatment and provides opportunities and challenges for combined therapy with $^{223}$Ra. Several studies combining $^{89}$Sr-chloride and $^{153}$Sm-EDTMP with chemotherapy and other reagents have been reported (30–38); these will be detailed more thoroughly in part 2 of this article. On the basis of the observed benefits of combining $^{153}$Sm EDTMP with docetaxel and the expected greater marrow-sparing effect of $^{223}$Ra, interest in combination therapy has arisen. Although there is a phase I/II trial comparing the effect of $^{223}$Ra in conjunction with docetaxel to docetaxel alone (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01106352), no definite data are yet available.

### Late Toxicity

Long-term follow-up of patients receiving $^{223}$Ra is limited given the time from the initial clinical studies (2005) and the relatively short survival of patients treated with advanced prostate or breast cancer. A 24-mo follow-up showed 57% mortality, with

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>cGy/37 MBq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bone surface</td>
<td>4,262.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red bone marrow</td>
<td>513.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bowel wall</td>
<td>171.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary bladder wall</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testes</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovaries</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine wall</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indications</td>
<td>Skeletal metastasis in castration-resistant prostate cancer, symptomatic bone metastases, and no known visceral metastatic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraindications</td>
<td>Pregnancy, breast-feeding, and women of child-bearing age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prerequisites</td>
<td>First dose: ANC $\geq 1.5 \times 10^9$/L, platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^9$/L, and hemoglobin $\geq 10$ g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsequent doses: ANC $\geq 1 \times 10^9$/L and platelet count $\geq 50 \times 10^9$/L (discontinue if hematologic values do not recover within 6–8 wk after last administration despite supportive care)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANC = absolute neutrophil count.
similar proportions per group treated at various dose levels; however, this mortality was attributable to progression of disease. No myelodysplastic syndrome, acute myelogenous leukemia, or aplastic anemia occurred (24). Of 10 patients who were alive at 2 y after 4 injections of 50 kBq/kg, none developed acute myelogenous leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome, or aplastic anemia. A case of bladder cancer and a case of pancreatic cancer seen in follow-up patients were not related to $^{223}$Ra (23).

**DOSAGE, ADMINISTRATION, AND PATIENT INSTRUCTIONS**

The approved dose for $^{223}$Ra is 50 kBq/kg given as 6 doses. The indications, contraindications, and dose calculation details are given in Tables 4 and 5. The dose is administered intravenously with precautions to ensure proper placement in the vein by a repeated check for blood return. A 3-way stopcock is recommended to enable easy infusion and backflush so that residual can be minimized.

Radiation safety precautions are standard, and there is less concern about exposure to the public because of the limited $\gamma$ emission of $^{223}$Ra. Mean dose rates measured from a typical administered activity to patients are given in Table 6 (39). Those administering the doses should take standard precautions during the injections. Follow-up includes serial complete blood counts for any decrease in levels and follow-up until recovery from nadir counts. Patients should report any evidence of bleeding or infection to the treating physician. Because of the possibility of nausea and diarrhea, attention should be paid to maintaining good hydration. Soiled clothing or bodily fluids should be handled using gloves, and universal precautions are sufficient to protect from radiation contamination. Soiled clothing should be laundered separately to eliminate direct transfer. Even though no data are available on the potential effect on sperm and reproduction, a 6-mo period of contraception use is recommended.

**SUMMARY OF $^{223}$RA**

$^{223}$Ra is a safe and effective treatment for bone metastasis in castration-resistant prostate cancer. $^{223}$Ra is helpful not only in pain control but, more importantly, in decreasing skeletal related events and increasing survival. Additional clinical data will be available in the coming years as $^{223}$Ra is utilized more extensively in clinical practice. Future possibilities include exploring the use of $^{223}$Ra as first-choice treatment in patients with symptomatic bone disease and use in the adjuvant setting of minimal metastatic disease. Use of $^{223}$Ra in combination with chemotherapy is being studied. Its role in the treatment of bone metastasis from other cancers has yet to be defined.
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**TABLE 5**

Dosage and Administration of $^{223}$Ra-Dichloride (39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>No of doses</th>
<th>Dose calculation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 kBq/kg, or 1.3514 $\mu$Ci/kg</td>
<td>Intravenous slow injection</td>
<td>6 doses every 4 wk</td>
<td>Volume to be administered (mL) = Body weight in kg $\times$ 50 kBq/kg $\times$ Day 1 factor $\times$ Day 2 factor $\times$ Day 3 factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decay table can be found in Xofogo product information (29).

**TABLE 6**

Mean Dose Rates* for Injected Activity of $^{223}$Ra-Dichloride (39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient dose rate, 1 m (mR/h/3.5 MBq)</th>
<th>Dose rate from glass vial on contact (mR/h/6 MBq)</th>
<th>Dose rate from syringe on contact (mR/h/3.5 MBq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All dose rates with ion chamber (39).
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