Variable Lung Density Consideration in Attenuation Correction of Whole-Body PET/MRI
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Present attenuation-correction algorithms in whole-body PET/MRI do not consider variations in lung density, either within or between patients; this may adversely affect accurate quantification. In this work, a technique to incorporate patient-specific lung density information into MRI-based attenuation maps is developed and compared with an approach that assumes uniform lung density. **Methods:** Five beagles were scanned with 18F-FDG PET/CT and MRI. The relationship between MRI and CT signal in the lungs was established, allowing the prediction of attenuation coefficients from MRI. MR images were segmented into air, lung, and soft tissue and converted into attenuation maps, some with constant lung density and some with patient-specific lung densities. The resulting PET images were compared by both global metrics of quantitative fidelity (accuracy, precision, and root mean squared error) and locally with relative error in volumes of interest. **Results:** A linear relationship was established between MRI and CT signal in the lungs. Constant lung density attenuation maps did not perform as well as patient-specific lung density attenuation maps, regardless of what constant density was chosen. In particular, when attenuation maps with patient-specific lung density were used, precision, accuracy, and root mean square error improved in lung tissue. In volumes of interest placed in the lungs, relative error was significantly reduced from a minimum of 12% to less than 5%. The benefit extended to tissues adjacent to the lungs but became less important as distance from the lungs increased. **Conclusion:** A means of using MRI to infer patient-specific attenuation coefficients in the lungs was developed and applied to augment whole-body MRI-based attenuation maps. This technique has been shown to improve the quantitative fidelity of PET images in the lungs and nearby tissues, compared with an approach that assumes uniform lung density.
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A decade and a half of development, human whole-body PET/MRI systems are now a reality (1). It has been widely speculated that PET/MRI will prove useful in several clinical disciplines (2–4), a prediction that is in the nascent stages of realization (5,6). However, without a means of attenuation correction (AC), accurate quantification in PET is not possible.

Multiple approaches have been proposed to create MRI-based attenuation maps (µ-maps) (7–15). However, none of these approaches measures the attenuation coefficients (µ-coefficients) of the lungs, which vary both between individuals (16) and within a given individual (17,18) and are influenced by inflation (16,17,19), gravitational dependency (18,19), and pathology (18,20,21). Visualizing lung parenchyma with MRI is challenging. The lungs have a low proton density (22) and short transverse relaxation time (T2*) (23,24), compromising available MRI signal. Also, the lungs are mobile and highly vascular, generating motion and flow artifacts, respectively (22).

In this work, an MRI-based AC method that incorporates patient-specific measures of lung µ-coefficients is developed. First, a standard MRI pulse sequence capable of visualizing lung tissue is described. Next, the relationship between MRI signal and CT signal in the lungs is inferred. Said relationship was used to create µ-maps with patient-specific µ-coefficients. The quantitative fidelity of PET reconstructions induced by these µ-maps was compared with reconstructions done with µ-maps that assumed a constant µ-coefficient in the lungs across all subjects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Protocol**

Five canines were scanned with PET/CT and MRI. One set of PET emission data was collected per canine, but CT scans were acquired at 3 respiratory states to simulate different lung densities. The CT scans yielded a clinical quality CT (CT clin) and a pre-µ-map for AC (CT pre-µ). Two types of MR image were acquired: one of the whole body (MRI whole) and one of the lungs (MRI lung). As with CT scans, MR images were acquired at 3 respiratory states. Further, MRI lung was acquired at 4 echo times (TEs), enabling the computation of a lung T2* map (MRI lung T2*) and extrapolated proton density image (MRI lung PD). The MRI lung image...
The MRI signal was related to CT\textsubscript{clin} signal in the lungs rather than 511-keV $\gamma$-rays because the latter exhibited severe partial-volume effects. First, MRI\textsubscript{lungST}E was registered to CT\textsubscript{clin}. Three scatterplots of CT\textsubscript{clin} lung signal versus MRI\textsubscript{lungST}E signal were produced: one relating signal intensities at individual voxels, one relating mean signal intensities of coronal slices—coronal slices were chosen to preserve the dorsal to ventral lung density gradient that arises in supine subjects (17,18)—and the last relating mean signal intensities of the lungs in their entirety. Linear regression was performed on each scatterplot, and the resulting mappings were termed voxel-by-voxel, slice-by-slice, and global, respectively. The process was repeated for MRI\textsubscript{lungT2*} and MRI\textsubscript{lungPD}, but for reasons discussed in the “Results” section, only MRI\textsubscript{lungST}E was used to create $\mu$-maps.

Next, multiple MRI-derived pre-$\mu$-maps (CT-like objects that the PET/CT scanner converts to $\mu$-maps) were formed. First, MRI\textsubscript{WB} was segmented into air, lung, and soft tissue. Air and soft tissue were assigned values of $-1,000$ and $0$ Hounsfield units (HU), respectively. Three pre-$\mu$-maps were formed by registering MRI\textsubscript{lungST}E to MRI\textsubscript{WB} and applying the voxel-by-voxel, slice-by-slice, or global mappings to the lungs. PET reconstructions using these pre-$\mu$-maps are denoted PET\textsubscript{voxels}, PET\textsubscript{slices}, and PET\textsubscript{global}, respectively. Eleven pre-$\mu$-maps were formed by assigning the lungs a constant CT number ranging from $-900$ to $-400$ HU in $50$-HU increments. PET reconstructions using these pre-$\mu$-maps are referred to by subscripting the CT number assigned to the lungs, for example, PET\textsubscript{-650}. Reconstructions using any MRI- or CT-based $\mu$-map are termed PET\textsubscript{MRI} and PET\textsubscript{CT}, respectively.

The quality of the PET\textsubscript{MRI} reconstructions was assessed by comparison to PET\textsubscript{CT}. The analysis included both global and local components. Statistical testing was done with ANOVA and Tukey tests.

### Subjects

This work was conducted on 5 female beagles (mass, 8–12 kg). The protocol was approved by The University of Western Ontario’s animal care committee. Anesthesia was initiated with propofol and maintained with 2.0%–2.5% isoflurane. After intubation, artificial ventilation was conducted with a Veterinary ADS 1000 system (Engler Engineering Co.). To facilitate coregistration of the PET/CT and MR images, the canines were immobilized on a rigid board during the experiment.

### Imaging

Imaging consisted of $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT performed on a Discovery VCT (GE Healthcare) and MRI on a Verio 3-T (Siemens Medical). After an overnight fast (mimicking the clinical protocol for whole-body PET/CT), the $^{18}$F-FDG was administered intravenously $1$ h before the PET/CT study. The injected activity was approximately $10$ MBq/kg. The acquisition was 3-dimensional, with $5$ min per table stop. Reconstructions were done with ordered-subset expectation maximization (2 iterations, 28 subsets). The PET images had an in-plane pixel size of $5.47 \times 5.47$ mm (128 $\times$ 128 matrix size), with $3.27$-mm slice thickness. The acquisition was ungated.

CT scans were acquired at 3 respiratory states: functional residual capacity (FRC) was attained by halting ventilation, and 2 levels of inspiration were achieved by applying a positive inspiratory pressure (PIP) of $8$ cm of $H_2O$ and $16$ cm of $H_2O$.
segmenting by applying a level-set algorithm implemented in ITK-SNAP (28) to seed voxels identified with a second empirical threshold. The air in the trachea was segmented by hand, and segmentation errors were corrected manually. All remaining voxels were classified as soft tissue.

Quantitative Analysis

The global quality of PET MRI images was assessed in lung, soft tissue, and bone. Masks of these tissues were generated by thresholding the CT-based pre-μ-map (−950 HU < lung ≤ −150 HU < soft tissue ≤ 100 HU < bone). Only axial slices containing lung were included in the analysis.

For each PET MRI reconstruction, a voxel-by-voxel scatterplot of PET MRI activity versus PET CT activity was created in lung, soft tissue, and bone. The scatterplots were normalized to the maximum PET CT activity. Three metrics were computed on each scatterplot: the integral from 0 to 1 of the squared difference between the line of best fit (LOBF) computed via linear regression and the line of identity, \(D_x^2\); the squared Pearson product–moment correlation coefficient, \(R^2\); and the root mean square error, \(E\), defined as \(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)^2}\), where \(y_i\) and \(x_i\) are the (normalized) estimated and true activities, respectively, at voxel \(i\), and \(n\) is the number of voxels. \(D_x^2\) measures the proximity of the line of best fit to the line of identity—that is, accuracy. \(R^2\) measures the spread of points about the line of best fit—that is, precision. \(E\) is affected by both accuracy and precision; in particular, \(E\) approaches zero if and only if \(D_x^2\) approaches 0 and \(R^2\) approaches 1.

Each metric was analyzed statistically using a 3-way ANOVA (α = 0.05), with respiratory state, tissue type, and μ-map as factors. Significant results were followed by the Tukey test.

Local magnitude of relative error (%) was assessed in eight 1,094 × 1,094 × 0.981 cm rectangular volumes of interest (VOIs) (Supplemental Fig. 1; supplemental materials are available online only at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). Statistical analysis was the same as above, except the tissue type factor was changed to VOI in the ANOVA.

Steps were also taken to identify experimental errors. Lung segmentation error in MRI WB was computed as the percentage difference in lung volume at FRC as compared with CT clin. To see whether these errors were dependent on lung inflation, this computation was repeated for the other respiratory states, and the means and SD were compared statistically with a 1-way ANOVA and Bartlett test for equal variances, respectively.

The effect of misregistration between MRI lung STE and CT clin on the voxel-by-voxel mapping (most sensitive to registration error) was inferred by shifting the registered MRI lung by −1 to +1 cm in 0.5-mm increments along each of the \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) axes and recalculating \(R^2\) as a function of the shift.

RESULTS

Of MRI lung STE, MRI lung T2*, and MRI lung PD, only MRI lung STE correlated with CT; thus, neither MRI lung T2* nor MRI lung PD were included in the subsequent analysis (Supplemental Fig. 2). Good agreement between the spatial distribution of lung signal in CT and MRI lung STE can be observed in Supplemental Figure 3. The mappings from MRI lung STE to CT number are presented in Figure 1. A linear relationship was demonstrated between the modalities.

The MRI-based pre-μ-maps that incorporate lung information are contrasted with a CT-based pre-μ-map in Figure 2. The global mapping retains the least spatial information, whereas the voxel-by-voxel mapping retains the most.

The results of the tissue-specific analysis are presented in Figure 3, and the ANOVA results are found in Table 1. The results of the post hoc test are best visualized in Figure 3; points without overlapping error bars are significantly different. The μ-map class had little influence on the metrics in soft tissue and bone, but a marked impact in the lungs.

\[
D_x^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)^2
\]

\[
R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2}
\]

\[
E = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)^2}
\]
Within the lungs, PET\textsubscript{voxels} exhibited the best accuracy, as reflected by $D^2$. PET\textsubscript{slices} and PET\textsubscript{voxels} were the most precise, as reflected by $R^2$. The lowest $E$ was achieved by PET\textsubscript{voxels}, followed by PET\textsubscript{slices}.

The VOI analysis (Table 2) revealed that the minimum error in the lungs, heart, and liver was achieved by PET\textsubscript{voxels}, PET\textsubscript{global}, and PET\textsubscript{slices}, respectively. Error was minimized in the chest wall, vena cava, and vertebral bodies by PET\textsubscript{-900}, PET\textsubscript{-700}, and PET\textsubscript{-400}, respectively. However, in many VOIs the differences were not statistically significant.

Representative profiles through the PET images are presented in Figure 4. The effect of altering the lungs’ $\mu$-coefficients is propagated into nearby soft tissues, including the myocardium, diminishing as the distance from the lungs increases. In this example PET\textsubscript{voxels} is the most representative of the profile through PET\textsubscript{CT}.

The lungs were undersegmented at FRC by 16% ± 8%, at PIP = 8 cm of H$_2$O by 14% ± 12%, and at PIP = 16 cm of H$_2$O by 18% ± 11%. Neither the means ($P = 0.82$) nor the SDs ($P = 0.81$) were significantly different between the respiratory states.

Regarding the effect of misregistration on the voxel-by-voxel mapping, $R^2$ was found to be an approximately gaussian function of shift, peaking at 0.8 when no shift was applied. To maintain $R^2$ within 10% of its optimum, the maximum allowable shift was ± 1.25 mm left to right and ± 2.75 mm anterior to posterior or superior to inferior.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, a means of using MRI to infer the spatial $\mu$-coefficient distribution in the lungs was developed and tested on 5 canines. The evidence suggests doing so improves quantification in PET images.

In Figure 3, observe that in lung tissue the $\mu$-map class influences each of the 3 metrics of quantitative fidelity; this was statistically significant in all cases (Table 1). Moreover, PET\textsubscript{voxels} performed the best according to all 3 metrics. Additionally, PET\textsubscript{voxels} had the least error in the lung VOIs (Table 2). These results suggest that PET\textsubscript{voxels} is the best choice for quantification in the lung.

Though $\mu$-map choice did not influence quantification in soft tissue or bone when averaged over the whole thorax (Fig. 3), it did affect structures near the lungs (Fig. 4), notably the vena cava and peripheral left ventricle (Table 2). In the latter, there was a benefit to estimating the lung’s $\mu$-coefficients, which is potentially of clinical significance because even subtle alterations in viability or perfusion PET can affect clinical impression (29). However, in the vena cava (which crudely simulated a pulmonary lesion), PET\textsubscript{-700} performed the best. This may have been due to the limitation of MRI-based AC algorithms relying on segmentation. In Figure 2A, the vena cava in the CT-based $\mu$-map was subject to the partial-volume effect. Segmentation cannot reproduce this phenomenon because each voxel must be classified as air, lung, or soft tissue. In the MRI-based $\mu$-maps (Figs. 2B–2D), the voxels about the vena cava were preferentially deemed soft tissue. The MRI-based estimates of activity were therefore inflated relative to PET\textsubscript{CT}. One means of compensating is to underestimate the lung’s $\mu$-coefficients. As the mean lung CT number across all subjects and respiratory states was −600 HU, PET\textsubscript{-700}’s apparent success was probably attributable to undervaluing the true mean by 100 HU. Further, PET\textsubscript{voxels} achieved the lowest SD in the vena cava, suggesting that albeit biased, it is the most precise and therefore amenable to correction with a scaling factor. In sum, accurate quantification of lung lesions in PET/MRI may prove challenging even with patient-specific estimates of the lungs’ $\mu$-coefficients.

As the amount of adjacent lung tissue near the VOI decreases, so does the lung’s impact on quantification. For instance, in the central left ventricle, vertebral body, liver’s dome, and chest wall, the error was never changed by more than 9% on the basis of $\mu$-map selection, and no statistically significant differences emerged. In these regions, what $\mu$-coefficients are assigned to the lungs is therefore less important.

The importance of reliable quantification in the heart and lung lesions is clear, but several potential applications of PET/MRI depend on accurate PET images of the lung parenchyma itself. For instance, whereas PET has demonstrated utility in identifying lung inflammation and infection in cystic fibrosis (30), CT-based AC is undesirable considering the predominantly pediatric patient population and ionizing radiation that accompanies CT scans; MRI-based AC could provide a convenient alternative, consistent with the “Image Gently” campaign. PET/MRI may also prove useful in understanding the inflammatory response in acute lung injury; pulmonary models of $^{18}$F-FDG kinetics (31) might be complemented by functional measures such as perfusion via MRI (32). There are several other
instances in which PET/MRI may be useful for lung imaging (33), all of which will require accurate MRI-based AC of the lungs.

To our knowledge, no other approach has been able to measure the lungs’ \( \mu \)-coefficients. Some assign a constant \( \mu \)-coefficient to the lungs (9,12,13,27) whereas others permit \( \mu \)-coefficient distributions (15), but none are patient-specific. One method allows patient-specific \( \mu \)-coefficients to be estimated by an iterative reconstruction algorithm (14), but correct convergence is not guaranteed. Ours is the first approach to directly link MRI to CT signal in the lungs but is subject to the following limitations.

First, there remains a great deal of variance in Figure 1A unexplained by the regression. One source is the dependence of the MRI signal on magnetic timing parameters (notably \( T_2^* \)), which are location- and subject-specific (24). Pure proton-density images would avoid this problem, but our measures of \( T_2^* \) proved too noisy to allow reliable extrapolation of the signal at \( TE = 0 \) ms. Eliminating \( T_2^* \) dependence may prove even more important if disease is present, but in this work the impact of disease on the relationship between MRI and CT lung signal was not assessed. Exploring how disease affects the connection between MRI and CT signal in the lungs should be a priority for future work in this area, because ultimately, the method is intended for patients with diseases.

Another contributor to the unexplained variance in the scatterplots in Figure 1 is registration error. By exploring the impact of misregistration via translation on \( R^2 \), it was found that there exists a 1.25- to 2.75-mm buffer (depending on direction) before the correlation deteriorates beyond 10%. It is difficult to quantify registration error, especially for nonrigid transformations; however, given the precautions taken to immobilize the dogs, the visual agreement between the registered images, and the misregistration buffer, registration error likely had a limited impact on the results.

\( B_1 \) and receiver inhomogeneity also add to the scatterplots’ variance by making lung MRI signal a function of spatial position. However, prescan normalization reduced this problem. Retrospectively, it was found that the prescan normalization was also sufficient to standardize the MRI signal across subjects, rendering the additional step of normalizing to the signal from a saline vial unnecessary. This, however, may depend on the manufacturer.

Apart from the assignment of erroneous \( \mu \)-coefficients in the lungs, a major contributor to the observed errors in the PET\(_{\text{MRI}}\) images was missegmentation. The improper classification of air, lung, and soft tissue can alter quantification, even in remote regions (27). Indeed, our analysis

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Results of ANOVAs on Canine PET\(_{\text{MRI}}\) Metrics of Overall Quantitative Fidelity and Quantitation Error in Myocardium}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Metric & Factor & \( F \) & \( P \) \\
\hline
\( D_i^2 \) & Respiratory state & 4.45 & 0.01 \\
& Tissue & 271.41 & <0.0001 \\
& \( \mu \)-map class & 11.45 & <0.0001 \\
\hline
\( R^2 \) & Respiratory state & 13.72 & <0.0001 \\
& Tissue & 899.97 & <0.0001 \\
& \( \mu \)-map class & 3.10 & 0.0001 \\
\hline
\( E \) & Respiratory state & 0.13 & 0.88 \\
& Tissue & 570.71 & <0.0001 \\
& \( \mu \)-map class & 9.35 & <0.0001 \\
\hline
Magnitude of relative error in VOIs & Respiratory state & 44.65 & <0.0001 \\
& Tissue & 109.21 & <0.0001 \\
& \( \mu \)-map class & 25.63 & <0.0001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
TABLE 2
Average Magnitude of Relative Error in VOIs as Function of $\mu$-Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HU</th>
<th>VOI</th>
<th>Slices</th>
<th>Voxels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Right lung</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Left lung</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>Lung parenchyma</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>Vena cava</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>Vena cava</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>Vena cava</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>Dome of liver</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>Dome of liver</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>Dome of liver</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean error recorded as percentage of true activity, with SD in parentheses. Best result in each VOI is in boldface type, whereas results that are not statistically different from the best result in each VOI are italicized.

FIGURE 4. Sample profiles through PET reconstructions of canine at FRC. (A) Transaxial slice through CT-based $\mu$-map for anatomic reference. Profiles were taken through cyan line that can be seen to pass through humeri, lungs, and heart. (B) Corresponding slice through PET$_{CT}$ image. (C) Profiles through PET$_{global}$ and PET$_{400}$. Profiles through all other PET$_{MRI}$ images are bounded by these curves. Solid line represents truth as obtained via PET$_{CT}$. (D) Profiles through PET$_{global}$, PET$_{slices}$, and PET$_{voxels}$. Again, black line represents truth.

found that the lungs were systematically undersegmented by about 15% in all respiratory states. This problem might be assuaged with higher-resolution MR images to better delineate the lung boundaries. Also, bone was ignored, explaining the higher $D_{v}^{2}$ $x$ and $E$ in bone than in soft tissues (Figs. 3A and 3C). Neglecting bone causes underestimation of activity into adjacent tissues, but this effect is localized and relatively small (34). Accounting for bone remains a challenge in MRI-based AC, with only 1 group doing so for whole-body PET (15).

Another complication is that respiration occurs throughout the PET acquisition whereas a single phase of respiration...
was used to create the μ-maps in this experiment, a problem referred to as transmission or emission mismatch. Thus, the PET_{CT} image is not a true gold standard; it may contain errors of considerable magnitude (35). Nevertheless, these errors are separate from those induced by assigning erroneous μ-coefficients to the lungs and do not alter the conclusions of this study. On the contrary, without a means to account for the changing lung μ-coefficients with respiration (16,17,19), optimal correction of the transmission to emission mismatch (via a time-varying μ-map) would be flawed.

The principal reason that this study was performed using a large-animal model was that it allowed for precise control of their ventilation; the lungs could be held at the same respiratory state during the CT and MR image acquisitions. A means of controlling respiratory state in humans would be helpful to extend MRI-based estimates of lung μ-coefficients to patients. An impediment to translating the methodology described here to humans is that people necessitate a larger field of view. Accordingly, if resolution were to be maintained, scan time and consequently breath-hold duration may increase. One solution is to eliminate cardiac gating, accelerating the acquisition. Gating was used in this study to facilitate image registration but was likely overconservative. However, eliminating cardiac gating is not a panacea. Additional problems will inevitably arise when modifying the pulse sequence for humans (e.g., altered signal-to-noise ratio and unanticipated artifacts), for which different solutions will be necessary. This method is not fully automated; if this approach is to be used clinically, automation is pivotal.

Most of the challenges associated with AC of the lungs in PET/MRI result from MRI’s difficulty in reliably acquiring signal from lung parenchyma. An exciting prospect is to use ultrashort-TE pulse sequences to overcome lung tissue’s short $T_2^*$. This method has been used to successfully demonstrate a correlation between signal intensity and lung inflation in mice (36). However, ultrashort-TE pulse sequences are harder to implement for large fields of view, and the acquisition generally takes several minutes. In the immediate future, standard gradient echo and turbo spin sequences with relatively short TEs are more tenable (22,24).

CONCLUSION

Until now, MRI-based AC algorithms have treated the μ-coefficients of the lungs as unknown, undermining quantification in PET images. We have demonstrated that MRI can be used to infer μ-coefficients and applied this principle to MRI-based AC. As a result, quantification is clearly improved in the lungs and is likely improved in the surrounding tissues.
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