Evaluation of Optimal Acquisition Duration or Injected Activity for Pediatric $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT
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Pediatric $^{18}$F-FDG dosing and acquisition durations are generally based on coarse extrapolation from adult guidelines. This study sought to determine whether shorter acquisition durations or a lower $^{18}$F-FDG injected activity could be used for pediatric $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT examinations while maintaining diagnostic utility. Reduction of overall scan time potentially reduces motion artifacts, improves patient comfort, and decreases length of sedation. Alternatively, decreased $^{18}$F-FDG dose minimizes radiation risk. Methods: Fourteen whole-body $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT examinations were performed on 13 patients (weight, 13–109 kg; age range, 1–23 y) with a weight-based injected activity (5.3 MBq/kg [0.144 mCi/kg]), fixed acquisition durations (3 min/field of view [FOV] if < 22 kg, 5 min/FOV if > 22 kg), and list-mode acquisition. For each examination, the list-mode data were truncated to form multiple datasets with shorter acquisition durations down to a minimum of 1 min/FOV (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min/FOV data were formed from single 5 min/FOV acquisition). Fifty-six image volumes were generated, randomized, and reviewed in a masked manner with corresponding CT image volumes by 5 radiologists. Overall, subjective adequacy and objective lesion detection accuracy by body region were evaluated. Results: All examinations with maximum acquisition duration were graded as adequate and were used as the reference standard for detection accuracy. For patients less than 22 kg, 1 of the 3 PET/CT examinations was graded as inadequate for clinical tasks when acquisition duration was reduced to 2 min/FOV, and all examinations were graded as inadequate when reduced to 1 min/FOV. For patients more than 22 kg, all 3–5 min/FOV studies were graded as adequate, and 2 of the 9 studies were graded as inadequate for 2 min/FOV studies. Lesion detection accuracy was perfect for acquisition times between 3 min/FOV and 5 min/FOV for all regions of the body. However, lesion detection became less accurate when imaging acquisition time was reduced more than 40%. Conclusion: Evaluation of image volumes generated from simulated shorter acquisition durations suggests that imaging times for larger patients (>22 kg) can be reduced from 5 min/FOV to 3 min/FOV without a loss of diagnostic utility. Using decreased acquisition times as a surrogate for $^{18}$F-FDG dose, $^{18}$F-FDG dose can be reduced by approximately 40% when all patients were scanned for 5 min/FOV.

I
n pediatric nuclear medicine, imaging protocols are generally extrapolated from adult imaging guidelines. The two primary parameters affecting image quality, injected radioisotope activity and scan duration, can vary widely on the basis of local experience and preference (1). The European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) (2,3) and North American societies (4) have published guidelines for pediatric injected activity in an effort to provide guidance on recommended optimal imaging protocols. This current work seeks to justify injected activity and scan durations for pediatric whole-body $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT.

An optimal $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT protocol would result in images with diagnostic quality at a minimum of risk. For $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT, images must be of sufficient quality to perform a variety of tasks including the detection, staging, and therapeutic response monitoring of diverse diseases. Images that can perform all of these tasks without compromising clinically relevant information will be defined as having full diagnostic utility. On the other side of the equation, for pediatric imaging, there are risks associated with the scan duration and injected activity. Specifically, longer scan durations are associated with increased risk of motion artifacts, patient discomfort, and complications from potential sedation. Likewise, increased injected radioisotope activity is linked with an increased risk of radiation-induced cancer (5,6). Both diagnostic utility and risk are difficult to quantify and therefore it is inherently challenging to optimize imaging protocols for any modality. Accepting these limitations, this study seeks to determine whether shorter acquisition durations or a lower $^{18}$F-FDG injected activity can be used for pediatric $^{18}$F-FDG PET examinations while maintaining diagnostic utility.

Several groups have presented recommendations on pediatric PET protocols and $^{18}$F-FDG dosing (7–10). Different dosing strategies are presented in Figure 1. Injected activity is often based on simple linear extrapolation from
adult protocols. Jacobs et al. presented a discussion of pediatric dosing (11), which led to the current EANM pediatric dose card (3). The EANM recommendations attempt to normalize the effective dose from radiopharmaceuticals across all ages. These weight-independent effective doses to patients (effective dose remains roughly the same for all weight groups) do not ensure the image quality of procedures at different ages. The original 2007 EANM recommendations suggest a dose minimum of 70 MBq, which was later revised to 14 MBq for fully 3-dimensional PET acquisitions (2).

Accorsi et al. proposed an 18F-FDG dosing scheme to normalize the noise-equivalent counting rate density (NECRD) across patient ages (12). The NECR attempts to describe the number of good counts in the raw data and has been used as a surrogate for image quality (13). One primary limitation of the work of Accorsi et al. is that it assumes that the equal NECRD across patient ages will equate to equal diagnostic performance. Pediatric CT literature suggests that smaller patients require higher signal-to-noise-ratio images for the same diagnostic performance (14), because smaller patients have smaller features to resolve. Similar logic may hold true in PET, for which cancerous neoplasms scale with the size of the organ or patient, questioning the use of normalized NECRD (15).

In this work, we attempt to normalize the diagnostic utility of PET/CT image volumes across patient weights. For each patient, the list-mode data were truncated to form multiple datasets with shorter acquisition durations to a minimum of 1 min/field of view (FOV) (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min/FOV data were formed from single 5 min/FOV acquisition). Similar evaluations have been performed to determine optimal acquisition parameters for obese adult patients (16,17). Fifty-six image volumes were generated, randomized, and reviewed in a masked manner with corresponding CT image volumes by 5 radiologists. Overall adequacy and lesion detection accuracy by body region were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seattle Children’s Hospital and consisted of a population of 13 consecutive pediatric patients (14 examinations; mean age ± SD, 8.8 ± 6.2 y; age range, 1–23 y) who received whole-body 18F-FDG PET/CT examinations for detection or staging of lymphoma (n = 5), sarcoma (n = 4), neuroblastoma (n = 2), hepatoblastoma (n = 1), and Castleman disease (n = 1). One patient, an 11-y-old boy with non-Hodgkin lymphoma, had 2 imaging studies performed. Patient weight averaged 52.1 ± 28.2 kg and ranged from 13 to 109 kg. The body mass index of the study patients averaged 21.4 ± 6.8 and ranged from 15.7 to 36.7.

PET/CT

All patients were scanned using weight-based PET/CT protocols (10). Patients underwent identical preparation (6 h of taking nothing by mouth except water hydration; and warm, quiescent uptake environment), were scanned 1 h after injection of 18F-FDG and were imaged during quiet breathing. The PET preceded the CT portion, to reduce the potential for respiratory and other motion mismatch. All images were acquired on a 64-slice PET/CT scanner (Discovery VCT; GE Healthcare) (18).

The injected 18F-FDG activity was linear with weight (5.3 MBq/kg, 37 MBq minimum and 370 MBq maximum). Patients weighing more than 22 kg were scanned with 5 min per bed position, and patients less than 22 kg were scanned with 3 min per bed position. This shorter acquisition duration was chosen to minimize sedation time for these smaller patients, who all require sedation. The PET system has a 15.7-cm axial FOV; with bed overlap, the effective FOV is 12.6 cm, leading to 5–12 scanning bed positions depending on patient height. All PET data were collected in 3-dimensional mode with list-mode acquisition (each coincident event is stored in order of detection).

The CT technique was designed for attenuation correction and anatomic localization of the PET findings. All patients were scanned with a tube voltage of 120 kVp, pitch of 0.98:1, rotation speed of 0.5 s, body bow-tie filter, and reconstructed slice thickness of 2.5 mm. The maximum CT tube current (10–40 mAs) was adjusted on the basis of patient weight (10).

PET Data Processing

The portions of list-mode data from each PET acquisition were truncated to effectively form reduced-scan-duration acquisitions. We reduced scan durations for each bed position by integer values ranging from 1 to 4 min. For example, for a larger patient, a 5 min/FOV data acquisition was truncated into 1, 2, 3, and 4 min/FOV data, and for smaller patients, a 3 min/FOV data acquisition was truncated into 1 min/FOV and 2 min/FOV data. The truncated data were taken from the first portion of the list-mode data. For example, the 2 min/FOV data were taken from the first 2 min of the acquisition. In this manner, the datasets were not independent realizations of the data but represented both reduced total counts and reduced potential for patient movement during the scanning of a bed position. On the basis of the hypotheses that it would be difficult to see image quality differences in 4 min/FOV studies, compared with 5 min/FOV studies, and to simplify data manipulation and review, 4 min/FOV studies were not generated for 6 of the 5 min/FOV patients. From the 14 original datasets, 42 reduced-scan-duration versions were generated, leading to a total of 56 datasets for evaluation. All datasets were reconstructed into image volumes with 3-dimensional ordered-subset expectation maximization (3 iterations, 28 subsets, 7-mm postsmoothing), with an axial FOV that included the base of the skull to the thighs in all patients.

Image Evaluation

All PET and CT image volumes were made anonymous and then interpreted by 2 reader groups, each consisting of 1 board-certified radiologist and 1 nuclear medicine specialist. In each group, at least 1 of the readers was certified to read PET/CT scans. The order of the images was randomized and presented in order of increasing scan duration, such that the shorter-duration scans for any given patient were read first. This order was intended to present the lower-quality scans first, to avoid evaluation bias. The PET/CT scans were reviewed on a volumetric review workstation (VolumeViewer 2; GE Healthcare) to match the current clinical review steps for PET/CT at Seattle Children’s Hospital. The readers used review tools and steps based on their preference. The
readers in groups A or B reviewed and scored each study as a team. When there was a discrepancy between groups A and B, a fifth radiologist (certified in both pediatric radiology and PET/CT review) provided a consensus read to determine the final score.

The PET/CT images were scored to determine overall adequacy and detection performance. Readers graded images (a subjective grade based on the reader’s clinical experience) as having either adequate or inadequate quality for clinical tasks. Readers also recorded the number of detected lesions in each region of the body (neck, chest, abdomen, pelvis, and musculoskeleton). The detection of lesions was based on the interpretation of both the PET image and the CT image, in keeping with standard clinical PET/CT evaluation.

Quality Analysis
For the adequacy analysis, the observed agreement between reader groups A and B was evaluated for each acquisition duration. When groups A and B agreed on adequacy, their grade was the overall adequacy score; when groups A and B disagreed, the consensus reader defined the overall adequacy score.

For the detection analysis, agreement between readers was evaluated with Cohen’s κ-statistic for categoric data that suggests that k values greater than 0.80 indicate almost-perfect concordance and k values of 0.61–0.80 indicate substantial agreement (19). For these studies, the detection results from the longest-duration scan were defined as truth for the patient. The accuracy of detection for each body region was reported in terms of true-positive rates and false-positive rates.

RESULTS
Examples of PET/CT image volumes generated with reduced PET acquisition duration are presented in Figures 2 and 3. As expected, there is increased noise in the shorter-duration scans.

Adequacy
The adequacy scores for readers A and B and the consensus reader are summarized in Table 1. There was strong agreement between the readers for scans with acquisition times of 5 min/FOV down to 2 min/FOV. All readers scored the longest-duration scan as adequate in all patients. On the basis of the consensus read, all 3 and 4 min/FOV scans were also scored as adequate. Only 75% of the 2 min/FOV scans and 30% of the 1 min/FOV scans were considered adequate. The overall adequacy scores for each patient are presented in Figure 4 with different marker types. Four patients were scored as adequate for all scan durations, and on the other end of the spectrum, 3 patients were scored as inadequate for their 2-min acquisitions.

Lesion Detection
Forty-nine lesions were detected on the full-duration scans. Of these, 15 were in the neck, 7 in the chest, 9 in the abdomen, 9 in the pelvis, and 9 in bone. All patients, except 1, had at least 1 lesion in 1 body region. The false-positives and false-negatives for each patient for any body region are shown in Figure 4; the labels for false-positive or false-negative represent one or more detection failures. The true-positive and false-positive rates are plotted in Figure 5 by body region on the basis of scores from the consensus reader. Although there was some disagreement in the total number of lesions, there was no disagreement in the assessment of disease present versus disease absent in each body
region for the 5, 4, and 3 min/FOV images. False-positives occurred in the neck (61-kg patient), chest region (94-kg patient), and pelvis (same 94-kg patient) for the 2 min/FOV studies, and true-positive rate degrades for most regions for the 1 min/FOV studies.

The agreement in number of detected lesions between readers A and B is presented in Table 2. For body regions other than the chest, there was excellent agreement between readers for the 5, 4, 3, and 2 min/FOV images, as demonstrated by $\kappa$-scores greater than 0.7. The readers had moderate agreement in the chest region, for which there was larger discrepancy between readers because of different interpretations of mediastinal $^{18}$F-FDG activity, where 1 group reported all suggestive foci and the other group discounted foci with no pathologic significance (i.e., esophagitis in a postchemotherapeutic patient or mild, diffuse $^{18}$F-FDG activity in the hilum). In general, there was demonstrably less agreement in the 1 min/FOV studies. Readers A and B defined disease present (1 lesion or more) and disease absent (no lesions) identically in all regions and all studies for scans of 5 min/FOV down to 3 min/FOV, except in 1 case in which reader B had a false-positive result in the abdomen for a 3-min scan. Consequently, for 5- down to 3-min studies, the deviation from perfect agreement presented in Table 2 signifies slight variations in the number of detected lesions in each body region. The $\kappa$-score could not be computed in the cases with zero lesions (abdomen, 4-min duration).

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All studies</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of image volumes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agreement (%)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean score of readers A and B (proportion acceptable)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean score after consensus read (proportion acceptable)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean score was derived from average of readers A and B at each acquisition duration. Consensus mean score was derived from average at each acquisition duration.

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluates subjective image quality and objective detection performance for reduced-scan-duration pediatric whole-body $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT. For examinations in patients more than 22 kg ($n = 11$), the adequacy of scans was not degraded when the scans were reduced from 5- to 3-min acquisitions. For these larger patients, the detection task performance is identical for 3- to 5-min acquisitions and is slightly degraded for 2-min acquisitions. When a threshold of less than 22 kg was used to define the class of patients that require sedation during scanning, for these smallest patients ($n = 3$), the adequacy and detection performance of scans could be assured only at 3-min per bed position. In particular, for the 2 min/FOV study of the 17-kg patient, all readers deemed the study as inadequate and there was a false-negative for lesions in the pelvic region. Because this was a consistent failure for all readers, we consider this a suboptimal scan. In this work, because of the limited numbers of subjects, we adopted the conservative approach and claimed that any degradation in overall adequacy or detection performance would dictate the accepted performance for that patient group. As a result, these results suggest that acquisitions can be reduced to 3 min per bed position for patients more than 22 kg (40% reduction in scan time) and that the protocol should remain the same (3 min per bed position) for patients less than 22 kg.

Reducing acquisition duration or injected radiotracer activity can lead to identical PET images. In the absence of detector dead time, the number of true coincident events decreases linearly with decreases in injected activity. The number of scattered events decreases linearly, and the number of random events decreases with the square of decreased injected activity. When these are combined with detector dead time, the ratio of true events to degrading events decreases more than linearly with injected activity. For this reason, if a 30% reduction in acquisition duration provides diagnostic utility equivalent to the full-duration scan, then it suggests that a 30% reduction in injected activity (at the full-duration scan) will provide higher image quality. The exact relationship of scan duration reduction to injected activity reduction is a complex function of the size of the patient, scan region, and counting...
rate performance of the imaging system (21). Therefore, we suggest the conservative relationship that reductions in acquisition duration can be directly traded off for reductions in activity. Consequently, the 40% reduction in acquisition duration for patients more than 22 kg could be traded for a 40% reduction in injected activity. Results suggest that the new protocol for patients more than 22 kg could be an injected activity of 3.2 MBq/kg (0.09 mCi/kg) with a scan duration of 5 min/FOV.

These proposed changes in injected activity that provide diagnostic utility equivalent to the full-duration scans are presented in Figure 6. The proposed dosing of 3.2 MBq/kg is close to the minimum level of 3.7 MBq/kg suggested in the North American consensus guidelines (4). These results provide image quality confirmation of the minimum linear relationship from the North American guidelines and suggest that the maximum dose could be changed from 370 MBq (10 mCi) to 222 MBq (6 mCi). Likewise, these results are close to the EANM recommendations.

In general, dosing schemes were originally devised to minimize radiation dose to critical organs, with little effort to optimize image quality. At present, none of the 18F-FDG PET recommendations for adult or pediatric dosing in the literature include firm recommendations on optimal scan durations. If our current protocols (5.3 MBq/kg, 5 min/FOV) are assumed to have appropriate image quality, this study suggests that a dosing scheme of 3.2 MBq/kg with 5 min/FOV will provide equivalent image quality. Along with limitations of the study, this claim may not hold with different PET/CT systems (each with unique sensitivity performance) and with different readers (each with unique interpretation preferences). Despite these challenges, we assert that there is value to providing guidance to pediatric scanning protocols based on measures of image quality.

Limitations of this study include the subjective evaluation of image quality, limited number of patients enrolled, and assumption of diagnostic utility of the full-duration scan. The determination of image quality is challenging...
In this work, we sought to determine the diagnostic equivalence of reduced-scan- and full-scan-duration studies. In general, quantitative human or numeric observer studies based on simulation or numerous patient scans would provide more precise results than our current study, but they would require a more restrictive experimental design (such as the presentation of single PET slices or PET-only image volumes) that does not mimic clinical practice. We chose to re-create the clinical task of a dual-modality PET/CT review in which the PET and CT provide complementary information for interpretation. In this realistic situation, we can claim only subjective image quality and detection equivalence. We were unable to conclude a quantitative number on the exact reduction in image quality for reductions in scan duration. Similarly, subjective image quality assessment depends on the preference of the reader and can vary widely from reader to reader. We chose the use of 5 readers to minimize this variation. Furthermore, we should stress that this study evaluated diagnostic utility in the context of PET/CT review, for which the CT scan can provide valuable side information; these results are arguably not applicable to PET-only systems.

Generally, image quality evaluations require more patients to determine exact changes in quality. We did not report numeric changes in quality, only diagnostic equivalence of studies. Diagnostic equivalence was further supported by the strong agreement between readers for studies with diagnostic performance equivalent to the full-duration scan. This study used coarse 1-min changes in acquisition duration to find the threshold of acceptability. More patients, with finer variations in acquisition duration, might allow us to further shorten acquisition duration or reduce injected activity.

The highest-quality PET images are generally achieved by scanning every patient for as long as is practical, regardless of dose. The only caveats to this rule are when scan time is limited by radiopharmaceutical redistribution or decay or when patient motion is excessive. Despite the inherent value of scanning for as long as possible, this approach is not always in the patient’s best interest, particularly for sedated pediatric patients. Moreover, the realities of resource use limit the duration of many PET/CT acquisitions. Consequently, centers operate with image quality that is less than ideal. Our current work assumes that the full-duration scan provided full diagnostic utility—a solid working assumption given that our full acquisition duration is arguably longer than that used in many PET centers. Nevertheless, this assumption is a limitation of our current study, and our preference for full diagnostic utility may be different from other centers. The proposed dosing scheme should be confirmed by imaging centers to ensure acceptable image quality.

### TABLE 2
Summary of Lesion Location and Cohen’s $\kappa$-Coefficient Between Readers A and B for Number of Detected Lesions in Each Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>No. of patients with lesions</th>
<th>Total no. of lesions</th>
<th>$\kappa$, all studies</th>
<th>$\kappa$ for each acquisition duration (min/FOV)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.48 0.85 0.85 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.36 0.54 0.58 — —</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.81 0.79 0.82 — —</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.73 0.67 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bones</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.85 1 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k$ shows moderate to significant agreement for all studies with acquisition greater than 2 min.

![FIGURE 6. Administered 18F-FDG activity for different patient weights. Dose in current study used linear 5.3 MBq/kg dosing scheme with shorter acquisition durations for smaller patients. This study suggests that acquisition duration or dose can be reduced for patients more than 22 kg. These reductions result in doses similar to minimum recommended levels of North American consensus.](image)
Another limitation is that image reconstruction parameters were fixed for all studies and were not optimized for the different acquisition durations. It is conceivable that different implicit (number of iterations and subsets) and explicit (postreconstruction filtering) regularization schemes would lead to better performance. In particular, more smoothing in the shorter-acquisition-duration scans would most likely improve detection performance. Consequently, optimizing reconstruction parameters might allow further reductions in acquisition duration or injected activity.

**CONCLUSION**

The evaluation of image volumes generated from shorter acquisition durations suggests that injected activity of 3.2 MBq/kg (0.09 mCi/kg) with imaging durations of 5 min/FOV in all patients provides PET/CT studies with diagnostic utility equivalent to that of studies with 5.3 MBq/kg (0.14 mCi/kg) for 5 min/FOV for patients more than 22 kg and 3 min/FOV for patients less than 22 kg. In support of this conclusion, we found no reduction in subjective score or detection performance for patients more than 22 kg when acquisition durations were reduced from 5 min/FOV to 3 min/FOV. For the 3 patients less than 22 kg, 1 study had diminished subjective and detection performance at a 33% reduction in scan time. On the basis of these results and clinical experience, we suggest a conservative approach to image smaller patients with adequate activity or scan duration (3.2 MBq/kg at 5 min/FOV or 5.3 MBq/kg at 3 min/FOV). Future work with additional patients may help clarify the dosing regime in this smaller population. Our results are close to the minimum recommended levels of both the North American and EANM pediatric guidelines and provide image quality confirmation of these recommendations.
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