Progression from Unilateral to Bilateral Parkinsonism in Early Parkinson Disease: Implication of Mesocortical Dopamine Dysfunction by PET
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It is still unclear why some early Parkinson disease (PD) patients with unilateral parkinsonism develop bilateral parkinsonism soon after the diagnosis is made as Hoehn and Yahr (HY) stage 1 and others remain stable for a long time. Here, we examined in vivo changes in the brain dopaminergic system using PET with a dopamine transporter radiotracer, 11C-2-B-carbomethoxy-3β-(4-fluorophenyl) tropane (11C-CFT), to elucidate the pathophysiologic characteristics of the dopamine system in early converters.

Methods: Twelve drug-naïve PD patients with HY stage 1 disease and 8 age-matched healthy subjects participated in this study. Clinical evaluation of their parkinsonism was performed monthly until their HY stage 1 (unilateral parkinsonism) disease had become stage 2 (bilateral parkinsonism) disease according to the Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale. The endpoint of the follow-up study was the time of the conversion. Region-of-interest analysis was used to examine 11C-CFT binding in the mesocortical (nucleus accumbens, caudate, orbitofrontal cortex) and nigrostriatal (putamen) dopamine projection regions. Multiregression analyses between these PET data and clinical parameters were performed within the PD group.

Results: Between-group comparisons showed that, irrespective of the duration of conversion, all PD patients clinically diagnosed at HY stage 1 had a significant reduction in 11C-CFT binding in the bilateral striatum (affected, 246%; unaffected, 235%). Regression analysis showed that the level of 11C-CFT binding in the nucleus accumbens and orbitofrontal cortex on the unaffected side was significantly positively correlated with the conversion interval. This positive correlation indicates that the more severe a dysfunction presents in the mesocortical dopamine system on the seemingly intact side, the more rapidly the parkinsonism proceeds to the intact side (bilateral parkinsonism).

Conclusion: The finding of bilateral reduction in the striatal 11C-CFT binding even in HY stage 1 PD patients confirms that molecular changes in the dopamine system precede clinical phenotype, suggesting an advantage of PET for detecting an early abnormality of the disease. The spread of parkinsonism to the unaffected side soon after the diagnosis of HY stage 1 PD may be related to the degree of mesocortical dopamine dysfunction.
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The diagnosis of Parkinson disease (PD) is based on clinical assessment, which is also used to evaluate disease progression before therapeutic intervention. The neuropathologic process involved in PD is loss of the nigral dopamine neurons and the ensuing loss of dopamine nerve terminals in the striatum (1,2). Although the extent of the annual reduction in dopamine neurons is estimated to be around 10% (3,4), the exact rate of disease progression varies from patient to patient. This variation makes decisions on medication difficult in the clinical setting because administering the normal doses of levodopa and other antiparkinsonian drugs irrespective of the severity of parkinsonian symptoms would not always be appropriate. We previously reported that even in early-stage PD, dopamine dysfunction is present not only in the striatal but also in the mesocortical dopaminergic projection system and that mesocortical dysfunction might contribute to mental and behavioral impairment (5). Our findings indicate that the level of dopamine function in the mesocortical system may be a key surrogate marker of the progression of PD.

Many in vivo studies have used PET with several radiotracers for presynaptically located dopamine transporters to
match PET findings with pathology in the postmortem PD brain (6,7). The advantage of using PET is its ability to depict functional abnormalities in dopamine neurons at the molecular level before clinical phenotypes appear. For example, motor symptoms do not develop until 50%–60% of dopamine neurons (binding of tracers) have been affected (8,9). Although PET of the dopamine transporter cannot differentiate the number of dopamine transporters per synapse from the number of dopamine terminals in PD, imaging of the dopamine transporter is of diagnostic value because reduction in dopamine transporter comes earlier than changes in dopamine content in the PD-model monkeys (10). Therefore, this technique allows us to predict the progression of the disease by examining the vulnerability of dopamine neurons at the molecular level.

The purpose of the present study was to compare the binding level of $^{11}$C-2-B-carbomethoxy-3B-(4-fluorophenyl) tropane ($^{11}$C-CFT, a marker for the membrane dopamine transporter) in dopaminergic projection (mesocortical and nigrostriatal) regions at an early stage of PD (Hoehn and Yahr [HY] stage 1) with the interval of conversion from HY stage 1 to HY stage 2 to clarify whether dopamine abnormalities in any brain region can act as a surrogate marker of the clinical progression of early-stage PD.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Participants

We studied 12 drug-naïve patients with HY stage 1 PD (5 men, 7 women; mean age ± SD, 58.8 ± 9.3 y) and 8 healthy subjects (5 men, 3 women; mean age ± SD, 51 ± 15.5 y). We obtained their written informed consent for the present study, which was also approved by the local ethics committee of Hamamatsu Medical Center. Each PD patient was clinically assessed using the Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS). Patients who had limb tremors, rigidity, and bradykinesia and who had received a tentative clinical diagnosis of PD were recruited from our main hospital or the neighboring clinics. Controls who were physically healthy and did not have or were not being treated for any neurologic complications were recruited by in-house advertisement. All participants underwent MRI, a neuropsychiatric examination, and a blood test to exclude the possibility of any accompanying disease. None of the participants had major depression, dementia, or a history of psychostimulant drug abuse. The UPDRS evaluation was performed just before the PET examination. The UPDRS scores varied from 11 to 25. The duration of disease was from 4 to 33 mo (mean, 16.2 mo). The disease duration was defined by the time from initial symptoms. Because all PD patients were diagnosed as having a clinical severity of HY stage 1, their limbs were affected unilaterally (left-sided in 4 patients and right-sided in 8 patients). More detailed clinical characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1.

#### MRI and PET

Before the PET scan, MRI (0.3-T MRP7000AD; Hitachi) was performed with 3-dimensional mode sampling (repetition time/echo time, 200/23; flip angle, 75°; slice thickness, 2 mm, with no gap; matrices, 256 × 256). Each piece of MRI data was used to determine suitable areas for region-of-interest (ROI) analysis. With the aid of data relating to tilt angle and spatial coordinates obtained during the procedure for determining the intercommisural (anterior commissure–posterior commissure [AC-PC]) line on each subject’s sagittal MR images, a PET gantry was set parallel to the AC-PC line by tilting and moving the gantry for each study. This set-up enabled us to reconstruct the PET images parallel to the AC-PC line, without reslicing (11).

The details of the PET procedure are given elsewhere (5,12). In brief, we used a high-resolution brain PET scanner with 24 detector rings yielding 47 slice images simultaneously (SHR12000; Hamamatsu Photonics KK). After head-fixation using a thermoplastic face mask and a 10-min transmission scan for attenuation correction, serial scans (time frames, 4 × 30, 20 × 60, and 14 × 300 s) were performed just before the PET examination. The UPDRS scores in parentheses are mentation/activities of daily living/motor examination. UL = upper limb; LL = lower limb.

### TABLE 1. Characteristics of PD Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Duration of illness (mo)*</th>
<th>UPDRS total</th>
<th>Affected side</th>
<th>Initial symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11 (2/3/6)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Rigidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13 (2/3/8)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL/LL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 (1/2/11)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16 (2/3/11)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Tremor (UL/LL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16 (4/6/6)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17 (2/5/10)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18 (2/4/12)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18 (4/4/10)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL/LL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 (2/2/16)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL/LL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21 (2/4/15)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21 (2/6/13)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25 (4/5/16)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Rigidity/tremor (UL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Duration between disease onset and PET examination.

UL = upper limb; LL = lower limb.
obtained for 92 min after a slow bolus venous injection of a 6 MBq/kg dose of $^{11}$C-CFT. Arterial blood sampling was not performed in the present study.

**Image Data Processing**

Irregular ROIs were located bilaterally on the nucleus accumbens, nucleus caudate, ventral putamen, dorsal putamen, orbitofrontal cortex, and cerebellum (Fig. 1) on the MR images (13). The ROIs were then automatically transferred onto the corresponding $^{11}$C-CFT distribution images (reconstructed from 70 to 90 min after the tracer injection) using image-processing software (Dr View; Asahi Kasei Co.,) on a workstation (Hypersparc ss-20; SUN Microsystems) (5). We calculated the reference tissue–derived ratio index (RI) (i.e., the ratio of the PET count in the target region to the PET count in the cerebellum in the late integrated image) because the value of this ratio reflects the binding potential estimated by the quantitative 3-compartment 4-parameter model for $^{11}$C-CFT, which we tested previously (14). The PET image used for the ROI setting comprised 2 consecutive images that covered slices (thickness, 6.8 mm) in the $z$ direction (volume data).

**Statistics**

Student $t$ tests were conducted to compare all estimates in the brain regions between the PD and control groups. A $P$ value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Although an age-related reduction in $^{11}$C-CFT binding was reported (16), we compared the estimates without age correction because there was no difference in age between the 2 groups. Pearson regression coefficient analysis was performed for comparisons between $^{11}$C-CFT RI values and the patients’ clinical variables. To examine dopamine projection regions in which alterations in $^{11}$C-CFT binding might be linked to disease prognosis, multiple regression analyses were performed between the regional $^{11}$C-CFT levels at HY stage 1 and the duration required for the conversion from HY stage 1 to HY stage 2. A $P$ level of less than 0.05 after Bonferroni adjustment was used to indicate statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

**Level of $^{11}$C-CFT Binding in HY Stage 1 PD**

Compared with the values in their healthy counterparts (Table 2), $^{11}$C-CFT RI values in the PD patients were significantly reduced not only on the affected side but also on the unaffected side. The following were the reduced values in the different regions: ventral putamen, 50% on the affected side versus 39% on the unaffected side; dorsal putamen, 61% on the affected side versus 45% on the unaffected side; nucleus accumbens, 38% on the affected side versus 32% on the unaffected side; caudate, 34% on the affected side versus 22% on the unaffected side; and orbitofrontal cortex, 34% on the affected side versus 28% on the unaffected side.

**Correlation Between $^{11}$C-CFT Binding and Clinical Variables at HY Stage 1**

Statistics with Bonferroni adjustment showed that all regression results fell outside the statistically significant limit (Fig. 2) ($P > 0.05$, corrected for multiple comparison). The $^{11}$C-CFT RI values in each brain region tended to be negatively correlated with the UPDRS scores: nucleus accumbens (affected: $r = -0.408$; unaffected: $r = -0.787$), caudate (affected: $r = -0.709$; unaffected: $r = -0.703$; $P > 0.05$ corrected), orbitofrontal cortex (affected: $r = -0.404$; unaffected: $r = -0.58$); ventral putamen (affected: $r = -0.603$; unaffected: $r = -0.455$); dorsal putamen (affected: $r = -0.682$; unaffected: $r = -0.564$). There was also a tendency toward a negative correlation between the UPDRS subscores and the $^{11}$C-CFT RI values (not shown).

**Association of Initial $^{11}$C-CFT Binding with Clinical Progression**

As shown in Table 3, all PD patients were treated with antiparkinsonian drugs, among which levodopa was
prescribed to all patients. Only 1 patient who had undergone a PET examination dropped out, because of a family issue. The mean duration required for conversion from HY stage 1 to stage 2 was \(2.9 \pm 1.5\) y and ranged from 6 mo to 5 y in the PD group. No specific relevance was found between the conversion interval and the kinds of antiparkinsonian drugs administered, and the doses of each drug varied mildly among the patients.

Regression analyses with Bonferroni adjustment showed that the initial values of \(^{11}\text{C}-\text{CFT RI}\) in the nucleus accumbens, caudate, and orbitofrontal cortex on the unaffected side were significantly positively correlated with the conversion interval (nucleus accumbens, affected: \(r = 0.628, P > 0.05\), unaffected: \(r = 0.889, P < 0.05\) corrected; caudate, affected: \(r = 0.709, P > 0.05\), unaffected: \(r = 0.866, P < 0.05\) corrected; and orbitofrontal cortex, affected: \(r = 0.682, P > 0.05\), unaffected: \(r = 0.735, P < 0.05\) corrected) (Fig. 3). In other words, dopamine hypofunction in the mesocortical dopamine system contralateral to the affected limb likely indicates rapid progression to bilateral parkinsonism. There was a tendency toward a positive correlation in these regions on the affected side (ventral putamen, affected: \(r = 0.695\), unaffected: \(r = 0.685\); dorsal putamen, affected: \(r = 0.510\), unaffected: \(r = 0.689\)).

**DISCUSSION**

The diagnosis of PD and determining its prognosis are difficult in the clinical setting, as shown by previous studies that reported that the initial diagnoses of PD made by general neurologists were found to be incorrect at autopsy in 24%–35% of cases \((17,18)\). In addition, around 8.1% of the patients diagnosed with PD were later found to have an alternate diagnosis based on multifactorial clinical diagnostic criteria after a mean follow-up of 6 y \((19)\). These reports indicate the difficulty of making a precise evaluation of possible PD cases based solely on clinical data. In contrast, depicting abnormalities of the dopamine system on a molecular basis has a greater advantage \((6,20–25)\). Previous studies showed that the progression of PD was associated with a similar rate of dopaminergic losses in all striatal subregions \((26)\). In line with the results of previous studies, our findings (initial dopaminergic loss in the posterodorsal striatum and the following mesocortical dopamine dysfunction) are best interpreted as evidence of colinearity of degeneration, with progression across striatal regions. In other words, patients with initially more significant denervation (yet clinically still in HY stage 1) were likely to reach the conversion point sooner than were stage 1 patients with less severe denervation. This might be true because the correlation coefficients across the striatal subregions all showed an \(r\) value greater than 0.5. The higher levels of statistical significance for the anterior striatal regions is better explained by relative floor denervation effects in the more posterior regions \((27)\).
In all patients with HY stage 1 PD, a significant reduction in $^{11}$C-CFT binding was found in the dorsal putamen, which was in line with the previous findings from early PD patients with HY stage 1 or stage 2 disease (6). This reduction is also consistent with pathologic evidence showing the most severe neuronal loss in the ventrolateral part of the substantia nigra, which projects mainly to the posterior putamen (9,28). However, this regional

### TABLE 3. Details of PD Patients at Time of Progression to HY Stage 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Interval (y)*</th>
<th>% Increase of UPDRS†</th>
<th>Levodopa (mg/d)</th>
<th>Dopamine agonist (mg/d)</th>
<th>Anticholinergic agent (mg/d)</th>
<th>Selegiline (mg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Interval of conversion from HY stage 1 to stage 2.
†% increase of UPDRS = (UPDRS at HY stage 2 ("on" state) − initial UPDRS at HY stage 1)/initial UPDRS at HY stage 1 × 100.
No information was available for patient 10 because patient dropped out of study.
vulnerability does not always result in an orderly progression of parkinsonism—that is, a prolonged period at HY stage 1 or quick conversion from stage 1 to stage 2, and such, in the clinical setting. In our study, we examined the correlation between the clinical progression of PD (HY stage 1 to stage 2) and regional $^{11}$C-CFT binding. As a result, we found that the reduction of $^{11}$C-CFT binding in the dorsal putamen did not correlate significantly with conversion time (progression), irrespective of its laterality. In contrast, $^{11}$C-CFT binding in the nucleus accumbens, caudate, and orbitofrontal cortex on the unaffected side was significantly positively associated with conversion time, suggesting that mesocortical dopamine function determines the prognosis of the disease. $^{11}$C-CFT binding in the mesocortical dopamine region did not correlate with symptomatic deterioration (data not shown). Rather, nigrostriatal dysfunction may reflect a symptomatologic change in PD, as reported elsewhere (29). Thus, mesocortical involvement may be an important predictor of the progression of PD from unilateral to bilateral parkinsonism. This in vivo finding is of biologic value because there is no clear consensus on whether the Braak pathologic progression theory (30) of PD has any clinical relevance (31).

Although all patients examined in the present study were diagnosed with unilateral parkinsonism (HY stage 1), striatal $^{11}$C-CFT binding was already significantly lower, even on the side ipsilateral to the affected limb. This observation was in line with a previous finding from a $^{123}$I-$\beta$-CIT SPECT study (32), supporting the authors’ conclusion that imaging of dopamine tracer binding might serve as a tool for identifying individuals developing dopaminergic pathologic conditions before the onset of motor symptoms. Lateralization of parkinsonian symptoms with bilateral biotracer reduction in the striatum may be a common phenomenon at the time when patients first consult a doctor. There is no clear explanation as to why mesocortical dysfunction on the unaffected side leads to bilateral parkinsonism. One possibility is that any damage of the extrastriatal cortical region, especially the mesocortical projection region, would enhance latent further losses of putaminal dopamine transporter, which cause clinical manifestation of parkinsonism. Psychophysiologically, a dysfunction in the mesocortical dopamine system—which mediates affect, behavior, and cognition (33)—would negatively affect the nigrostriatal system through mutual neural interactions (34). Methodologically, however, one caveat is a low den-
sity of dopamine transporter outside the striatal region (35). Despite this, the presence of different values in the orbitofrontal cortex could reflect a minor but significant alteration in PD pathophysiology.

In the present study, there was no marked difference in the medication administered during the course of the disease because the treatment of all patients was based on a standardized algorithm (36,37). As a result, we could not clarify the differences between various treatment regimens. Although the dose of levodopa is similar among the patients in the current study, there would be a possibility that levodopa treatment might affect the parkinsonism progression. Because it has been recently reported that levodopa significantly affects the corticoputaminal loop but does not affect the corticocaudal loop as much (38), the treatment might not be a significant confounding factor in the present result on the mesocortical system. Regarding antiparkinsonian drugs, there are reports that the progressive reduction in dopamine transporter binding was smaller during agonist-based therapy than in levodopa-based therapy (39) and that the mean improvement in total, motor, and ADL UPDRS scores was greater in the levodopa group. Antiparkinsonian drugs are considered to have some neuroprotective efficacy, but no established evidence by neuroimaging techniques has been reported in a clinical setting (40). Taken together, on the basis of our results, a cognitive stimulator that acts on the mesocortical dopamine system might be a promising drug treatment for HY stage 1 PD patients.

CONCLUSION

Because drug-naïve PD patients with unilateral parkinsonism diagnosed as HY stage 1 showed significantly lower levels of $^{11}$C-CFT binding in the bilateral striatum in the present study, it is clear that molecule-based dopamine functional alterations in the brain precede the PD phenotype. The progression of parkinsonism may possibly be related to additional dysfunction of the mesocortical dopamine system, although this dysfunction is of little use for predicting deterioration in extrapyramidal symptoms. Thus, molecular imaging with $^{11}$C-CFT is a useful method for assessing the pathophysiology of PD and its progression. Still, the mechanism of the development of PD remains unclear, as does the relevance of mesocortical neuronal damage to PD progression. Further, human-based imaging studies using other neurotransmitter tracers or a new tracer specific to the disease entity such as synuclein are needed.
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