Attenuation of Adenosine-Induced Myocardial Perfusion Heterogeneity by Atenolol and Other Cardioselective β-Adrenoceptor Blockers: A Crossover Myocardial Perfusion Imaging Study
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Little is known about the effect of chronic β-blockade on adenosine actions. We sought to investigate the effect of oral β-blockers on the presence, extent, and severity of myocardial perfusion abnormality induced by adenosine in patients with coronary artery disease. **Methods:** In this crossover study, 45 male patients with coronary artery disease on β-blocker therapy with atenolol, bisoprolol, or metoprolol underwent adenosine myocardial perfusion imaging both on and off β-blockade in a random order on separate days. Myocardial perfusion was assessed both qualitatively and quantitatively. Hemodynamic response, image analysis, and sensitivity for the detection of coronary stenosis (≥50% luminal diameter reduction on x-ray coronary angiography) were compared between the on and off β-blocker studies. **Results:** Rate pressure product both at baseline and at peak adenosine infusion decreased by 23% ± 15% and 21% ± 18%, respectively, after β-blockade (P < 0.001 for all). The median (interquartile range) summed difference score, a measure of defect reversibility, and quantitative defect size were both significantly lower after β-blockade (median, 7.0 [interquartile range, 2.0–9.5] vs. median, 5.0 [interquartile range, 0–8.0], P = 0.002; and quantitative defect size, 18% [interquartile range, 9%–34%] vs. quantitative defect size, 6% [interquartile range, 0%–19%], P < 0.001, respectively). The overall sensitivity for the detection of coronary stenosis decreased from 0.76 (95% confidence interval, 0.65–0.88) to 0.58 (95% confidence interval, 0.45–0.71) after β-blockade (P = 0.03). **Conclusion:** β-blockade causes a small but significant reduction in the extent and severity of perfusion abnormality by adenosine. This may reduce the diagnostic sensitivity of adenosine myocardial perfusion imaging for the detection of flow-limiting coronary stenosis.

In the management of angina, β-adrenoceptor blockers are highly effective, but their use may affect the diagnostic accuracy of tests intended to detect the functional consequences of coronary artery disease (CAD). By preventing an increase in myocardial oxygen demand, β-blockers attenuate exercise-induced ischemia (1), and this attenuation may result in underestimation of the presence of disease by exercise testing. An advantage of myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) is that it can be combined with pharmacologic stress agents in patients in whom dynamic exercise may be inadequate (2,3). Until recently, it was thought that β-blockers had no effect on the action of primary coronary vasodilators (1). However, studies with dipyridamole have challenged this view (4,5). Treatment with a β1-adrenoceptor blocker attenuated the coronary hyperemic response to dipyridamole as measured by 13N-ammonia PET (6) and reduced the magnitude of inducible perfusion abnormalities on dipyridamole MPI (4). Although evidence from the dipyridamole studies strongly suggests that β-blockade may also affect the response to adenosine, recent observations do not support this (7,8).

There are no prospective data about the effect of β-blockers on adenosine MPI. Until such data become available, discontinuation of β-blockers before adenosine vasodilation cannot be justified. The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that β-blockade would reduce the...
extent and severity of inducible perfusion abnormality by
adenosine and that this would decrease the sensitivity of
adenosine MPI for the detection of hemodynamically
significant coronary artery stenosis. The proof of our
hypothesis was achieved by comparing the size and depth
of adenosine-induced abnormalities in the same subject
before and after discontinuation of β-blocker medication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population

Patients were recruited from those referred to the Royal
Brompton Hospital for pharmacologic MPI with adenosine.
Patients with clinically suspected myocardial ischemia and
documented CAD were enrolled if they were on a β₁-selective
adrenoceptor blocker for the management of anginal symptoms.
Patients were excluded from the study if they had a documented
history of acute myocardial infarction, unstable angina, or
coronary revascularization within 8 wk before enrollment;
contraindication to adenosine stress (i.e., bronchospasm, second-
or third-degree atrioventricular block without a functioning
pacemaker, sick sinus syndrome); or any condition in which
discontinuation of β-blockade therapy was contraindicated (i.e.,
tachyarrhythmias, ongoing Canadian Cardiovascular Society clas-
sification grades III–IV angina (9), uncontrolled or poorly con-
trolled hypertension). The second MPI procedure was performed
after a washout or treatment period of a minimum of 5 d but no
longer than 6 wk. Coronary anatomy data were available in
a subset of patients who underwent x-ray coronary angiography
within 6 mo before or after the study.

Fifty patients were enrolled in the study. Both male and female
patients were initially recruited, but only men completed both MPI
studies. The Royal Brompton and Harefield Research Ethics
Committee approved the study, and written informed consent
was obtained from all patients.

Study Design

In this randomized, crossover study, eligible patients underwent
2 identical adenosine MPI studies—1 after discontinuation of
β-blocker and 1 during β-blocker medication—in random order
on separate days. The study was not masked to the patient or the
investigator assigning treatment and conducting the adenosine
MPI study but was masked to the investigators interpreting the
images.

Adenosine Stress

For each study, patients abstained from caffeine-containing
products for at least 12 h before adenosine stress. Patients were
instructed to stop calcium antagonists for 24 h, and nitrate
preparations were withheld the morning of the test. For the
off-β-blocker study, β-blockade medication was stopped before
the stress test for an interval equivalent to 5 half-lives. For the
on-β-blocker study, patients were instructed to continue their
β-blocker medication as prescribed by their physician. For both
studies, adenosine was administered intravenously at 140 μg/kg/
min for 6 min combined with low-level exercise on a bicycle
ergometer.

The electrocardiogram (ECG) rhythm strip was monitored
together through each stress procedure, and blood pressure and heart
rate were recorded every 2 min until completion of the test. An
ischemic ECG was defined by the presence of horizontal or
downsloping ST-segment depression greater than 1 mm at 80 ms
after the J point for at least 3 consecutive beats in at least 1 lead.
Symptoms were recorded as reported by the patient, who was also
questioned directly about symptoms every 2 min until completion
of the test. Patient symptoms were graded at the time of testing
using a symptom-severity score from 0 (none) to 3 (severe). A
summed score was obtained by adding the score for the common-
est symptoms (incidence ≥ 5%). All events during or after the
stress test that warranted medical intervention were recorded as
adverse events. Safety was assessed by the collection of vital
signs, ECG data, and adverse events during each stress procedure
and by a telephone interview conducted 24–48 h after each MPI
procedure.

99mTc-Tetrofosmin SPECT

The same image acquisition parameters and processing were
used for both MPI studies. For the stress images, 250–350
MBq (6.8–9.4 mCi) of 99mTc-tetrofosmin were injected 3 min
into the adenosine infusion, and image acquisition started 45 min
later. For the rest images, 750–1,000 MBq (20.3–27 mCi) of
99mTc-tetrofosmin were injected 2–3 h after the stress injection
preceded by 800 μg of sublingual glyceryl trinitrate, and imaging
was performed 45 min later with ECG gating using 16 frames
per cardiac cycle. To minimize radiation exposure to the patient,
rest imaging was not repeated. SPECT was performed using a
dual-head γ-camera (Ventri; GE Healthcare) equipped with a
high-resolution collimator for 99mTc imaging. Transverse
tomograms of the left ventricle were reconstructed using a Butten-
worth filter with a cutoff frequency of 0.5 cycles/cm, order of 5,
during iterative reconstruction (Hermes Medical Solutions), and
transaxial slices were reoriented to obtain short-axis, horizontal
long-axis, and vertical long-axis views of the left ventricle
according to current recommendations (10). Unprocessed planar
images were reviewed to exclude significant patient motion, soft-
tissue attenuation, or diaphragmatic attenuation.

The images were interpreted independently by 2 experienced
nuclear cardiologists without knowledge of β-blockade status or
clinical or angiographic data. Disagreement was resolved by
consensus. Tomograms were divided into 17 segments according
to the American Heart Association model (10) and tracer uptake
graded semiquantitatively for each segment. Scores were attrib-
uted on a 5-point system by taking into account the severity of the
perfusion defect: 0, normal uptake (tracer activity ≥ 70% of
maximal myocardial activity); 1, mild reduction (50%–69%); 2,
moderate reduction (30%–49%); 3, severe reduction (10%–29%);
and 4, absence of uptake (tracer activity 0%–9% of maximum).
Account was taken of artifacts such that a segment with normal
uptake but reduced counts by attenuation was scored as 0. Hence,
total stress and rest scores varied from 0 to a theoretic maximum
of 68. For each patient, the summed stress score (SSS) and summed
rest score were obtained by adding the perfusion score for each
segment. The summed difference score (SDS) was obtained by
subtracting the SSS from the summed rest score, and this measure
was used to define the size and depth of reversibility. A score was
also assigned for overall image quality: 0 (inadequate), 1 (poor), 2
(adequate), and 3 (good). Motion correction and visual and
quantitative (Quantitative Gated SPECT; Cedars-Sinai Medical
Center) assessment of contractile function aided the interpretation
of the perfusion findings.

Quantitative defect size was calculated using a commercially
available program (Quantitative Perfusion SPECT; Cedars-Sinai
TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics (n = 45 Men)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior myocardial infarction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blocker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atenolol</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisoprolol</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoprolol</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium antagonist</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicorandil</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. Mean age of men in study ± SD was 65 ± 9, and mean body mass index (kg/m²) ± SD was 28 ± 5.

Medical Center) and expressed as a percentage of the myocardium involved in 1 of the 3 main coronary vascular territories: left anterior descending, left circumflex, or right coronary artery territory. The extent of reversibility was calculated by subtracting the extent of defect on the stress images from the extent of defect on the resting scan.

X-Ray Coronary Angiography

If clinically indicated, coronary angiography was performed using the standard Judkins technique, and the images were interpreted qualitatively by an experienced reader who had no knowledge of patient clinical status or scintigraphic results.

TABLE 2. Hemodynamic Response to Adenosine Before and After β-Blockade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Off β-blocker</th>
<th>On β-blocker</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>73 ± 11</td>
<td>57 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>139 ± 21</td>
<td>136 ± 20</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>84 ± 11</td>
<td>83 ± 12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate–pressure product (×10³ mm Hg · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>97 ± 14*</td>
<td>81 ± 9*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>147 ± 27*</td>
<td>140 ± 24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>83 ± 11</td>
<td>80 ± 13</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate–pressure product (×10³ mm Hg · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>14.3 ± 3.2*</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload (watts)¹</td>
<td>5 (0–8.8)</td>
<td>5 (0–10)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHeart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>24 ± 11</td>
<td>24 ± 8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSystolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>9 ± 16</td>
<td>5 ± 15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔDiastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>–1 ± 10</td>
<td>–3 ± 12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔRate–pressure product (×10³ mm Hg · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P ≤ 0.001 vs. rest values.

*Workload data summarized as median, with interquartile ranges in parentheses.

Exercise was not indicated in 1 patient because of left bundle branch block. bpm = beats per minute.

Coronary angiograms were included for analysis if they were performed within 6 mo before or after the MPI study, and no coronary intervention was undertaken between the angiographic and scintigraphic procedures. For the purpose of the study, an angiographically significant coronary stenosis was defined as greater than or equal to 50% luminal diameter narrowing of a major epicardial coronary artery. Results were used to estimate overall and regional (individual vessel) sensitivity.

Statistical Analysis

Data were summarized as mean value ± SD of the mean if normally distributed and as median (range or interquartile range) if not. The primary outcome measure of the study was the extent and severity of reversible perfusion abnormality (as SDS) on adenosine MPI images. Secondary outcome measures were the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for the detection of individual coronary stenosis in the presence and absence of β-blockade therapy, hemodynamic response, and electrocardiographic changes during adenosine in the presence and absence of β-blocker. Hemodynamic and scintigraphic variables were compared using linear mixed-model analysis. Post hoc comparisons were performed using either a paired t test or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test if the data were nonnormally distributed. Categoric variables were compared using the McNemar test. Differences were considered statistically significant at P values less than 0.05 (2-sided). Analysis was performed using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc.).

An SDS difference of 2 or greater was considered significant. After correction for nonnormality based on Pitman’s asymptotic relative efficiency, 45 patients were needed for a probability of 90% of detecting such a difference at a 2-sided 5% significance level. Considering a dropout rate of approximately 15%, a total of 52 patients were estimated as needed to enter the study.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Patient characteristics at baseline are summarized in Table 1. Of the initial 50 patients enrolled, 45 patients
(mean age ± SD, 65 ± 9 y) completed both adenosine MPI procedures at a median interval of 13 d (5–31 d). Atenolol was taken by most patients (n = 32, 71%), followed by bisoprolol (n = 10, 22%) and metoprolol (n = 3, 7%). The median daily dose was 50 mg (range, 25–100 mg) for atenolol, 2.5 mg (range, 1.25–10 mg) for bisoprolol, and 25 mg (range, 12.5–25 mg) for metoprolol. Almost half the patients (42%) were under consideration for coronary revascularization at the time of the study.

Hemodynamic Response

The resting heart rate decreased significantly from 73 ± 11 beats per minute off β-blockers to 57 ± 5 beats per minute on β-blockers (P < 0.001). β-blockade also decreased heart rate during adenosine stress (P < 0.001; Table 2; Fig. 1), but the magnitude of change from rest to peak stress was not significantly different between the off- and on-β-blocker status (Table 2). The systolic blood pressure response to adenosine also decreased with β-blockade (P = 0.005; Fig. 2). Rate–pressure product both at baseline and at peak adenosine infusion decreased by 23% ± 15% and 21% ± 18%, respectively, after β-blockade (P < 0.001 for all; Table 2).

Extent and Severity of Myocardial Perfusion Abnormality

The extent and severity of adenosine-induced perfusion abnormality decreased after β-blockade (median SSS, 12.0 [interquartile range, 7.0–17.0] vs. 9.0 [interquartile range, 6.0–17.5]; P = 0.03; Table 3). Defect reversibility also decreased with β-blockade (SDS, 7.0 [interquartile range, 2.0–9.5] vs. 5.0 [interquartile range, 0–8.0]; P = 0.002; Fig. 3). Representative images are shown in Figure 4. Reversible perfusion abnormalities were abolished in 7 of 45 MPI studies (16%) after β-blockade. No significant relationship was found between defect reversibility and the hemodynamic response to adenosine before or after β-blockade (P > 0.06 for all associations). Image quality was adequate to good, with no differences between the off- and the on-β-blocker studies (quality score, 2.7 ± 0.6 vs. 2.8 ± 0.4, respectively; P = 0.6). Body weight and body mass index did not significantly affect image quality (P > 0.1 for both).

Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative assessment of regional myocardial perfusion showed a significant reduction in the median size of adenosine-induced defect from 32% (13%–54%) to 20% (2%–41%) after β-blockade (P < 0.001; Table 3). The extent of reversible defect also decreased significantly after β-blockade (18% [9%–34%] off β-blockers vs. 6% [0%–19%] on β-blockers; P < 0.001).

No association was found between type and dose of β-blocker and perfusion changes after β-blockade (P >

### TABLE 3. Summed Scores and Quantitative Defect Size Before and After β-Blockade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Off β-blocker</th>
<th>On β-blocker</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>12.0 (7.0–17.0)</td>
<td>9.0 (6.0–17.5)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>7.0 (2.0–9.5)</td>
<td>5.0 (0–8.0)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inducible defect size (%)</td>
<td>32 (13–54)</td>
<td>20 (2–41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility size (%)</td>
<td>18 (9–34)</td>
<td>6 (0–19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median, with interquartile ranges in parentheses.
There was no effect because of treatment period or order of randomization (P = 0.4 and P = 0.2, respectively).

Detection of Angiographic Coronary Stenosis

Results from x-ray coronary angiography were available in 36 of 45 patients. Obstructive CAD (≥50% stenosis in at least 1 major vessel) was identified in 32 patients, 12 of whom had single-vessel, fourteen 2-vessel, and six 3-vessel disease. Angiographically significant left main stem disease was identified in 1 patient. Reduction in defect reversibility (Table 4) was associated with a lower sensitivity for the detection of individual coronary stenosis after β-blockade (0.76 [95% confidence interval, 0.65–0.88] vs. 0.58 [95% confidence interval, 0.45–0.71], P = 0.03; Fig. 5). A case example is shown in Figure 6.

Side Effects

Flushing (53%), dyspnea (42%), chest pain (36%), and headache (6%) were the most common side effects during adenosine stress off β-blockade. A significant reduction in the frequency and severity of adenosine-related side effects after β-blockade—as measured by the summed symptom score (2.2 ± 1.8 vs. 1.5 ± 1.2; P = 0.003)—was observed and was mainly due to a 31% reduction in the frequency of chest pain during the test. No adverse events were observed during or immediately after the adenosine infusion before or after β-blockade.

ECG

Complete ECG data were obtained in 43 of 45 patients. The frequency of new or worsening ischemic ECG changes during adenosine stress decreased significantly after β-blockade (9/43 patients [21%] vs. 1/43 patients [2%]; P = 0.008), and this decrease was paralleled by a reduction in reversibility score and defect size in 7 of 9 patients. All ECG abnormalities resolved spontaneously within 2 min after completion of the adenosine infusion.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to demonstrate that β-adrenoceptor blockade is associated with a small reduction in the extent and severity of myocardial perfusion abnormality induced by intravenous adenosine in patients with obstructive CAD. As a result, β-blockade may reduce the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for the detection of coronary artery stenosis.

A few clinical studies have already provided evidence that β-blockade reduces the magnitude of perfusion abnormality on exercise and dobutamine MPI (1,11). Therefore, discontinuation of β-blockers is recommended. The effect of β-blockade on primary coronary vasodilation is less clear, and recent observations are inconsistent (4,7,8). In this study, β-blockade decreased cardiac workload both at rest and during adenosine stress. Moreover, β-blockers significantly reduced both the frequency and the magnitude of ST-segment shift and the frequency and severity of chest pain during the adenosine infusion. These findings suggest that β-blockade decreases myocardial oxygen demand during vasodilator stress and may also reverse adenosine-induced ischemia in patients with obstructive CAD. More important,
β-blockade interfered with the ability of adenosine to provoke myocardial blood flow heterogeneity resulting in attenuation of inducible perfusion abnormalities.

The observed reduction in the magnitude of reversibility by β-blockade was similar to that of a previous study of patients with CAD undergoing dipyridamole MPI after an intravenous dose of metoprolol (4). Both low and high doses of metoprolol significantly reduced the size and depth of perfusion defects and abolished reversibility in 29% of cases (4). Little is known about the effect of β-blockers on adenosine MPI. An early study has shown that antianginal medications including β-blockers reduce the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for detecting CAD (12). However, recent retrospective observations suggest that β-blockers have no effect on the extent, severity, or reversibility of adenosine-induced perfusion abnormalities (7). Although reversible defects were less extensive and severe in patients receiving β-blocker therapy, this difference was not statistically significant (7). Furthermore, these agents did not affect the frequency or severity of nonperfusion markers of ischemia. Possible reasons for these apparently discrepant results include differences in study design, the more pronounced β-blockade effect attained in our study, and differences in study populations.

An important finding of this study is that β-blockade significantly reduced the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for the detection of flow-limiting coronary stenosis. This observation is in agreement with a previous report demonstrating that β-blockade with metoprolol decreases the sensitivity of dipyridamole MPI for the detection of individual stenosis by 24% (4). Altogether, these results confirm earlier observations suggesting that antianginal drugs might adversely affect the diagnostic accuracy of vasodilator imaging (5,6,12).

The precise mechanism by which β₁-adrenoceptor blockade may interfere with adenosine actions cannot be determined from this study. However, several mechanisms could explain the findings. First, β-blockers may attenuate adenosine-induced ischemia by increasing coronary collateral resistance and preventing blood from being shunted away from myocardium subtended by a stenotic coronary artery during maximal coronary vasodilation (13). Second, β-blockade may enhance subendocardial perfusion during adenosine hyperemia by prolonging diastole and reducing myocardial wall stress and thus extravascular compressive forces (14–16). And third, suppression of adrenergically mediated changes in vasomotor tone of coronary arteries may result in attenuation of perfusion heterogeneity during adenosine stress (17).

### Clinical Implications

In the management of symptoms, documenting myocardial ischemia is an important step in the decision-making process and a prerequisite for elective coronary revascularization. In this setting, the assessment of myocardial perfusion on β-blocker therapy may be desirable, especially in patients with known CAD (18). However, it is unknown whether the prognostic value of adenosine MPI relates to baseline ischemia or to ischemia that is attenuated by β-blockade. As demonstrated in this study, β-blockers reduce the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for detecting individual coronary stenosis. This reduction suggests that the extent of coronary involvement may be underestimated in the initial evaluation of patients with suspected disease, and thus withholding β-blockers before adenosine MPI may be considered in these patients.

Discontinuation of β-blocker medication resulted in the withdrawal of 3 of 50 patients from the study because of exacerbation of anginal symptoms. Because discontinuation of β-blockers would be most relevant in the diagnostic setting, complications from β-blocker withdrawal might be less frequent (19–21). Nonetheless, abrupt cessation of these agents has been associated with an increased risk of angina and acute ischemic events, even in patients taking β-blockers for indications other than CAD (22). β-blockers should therefore be withdrawn before adenosine MPI, bearing in mind the risk of such complications.

### Study Limitations

The aim of this study was to compare the results of adenosine MPI on and off β-blockers in patients with CAD and a high clinical suspicion of ischemia. Therefore, the findings of this study may not be transferable to a different population such as patients with a lower likelihood of disease undergoing diagnostic testing.

The study was conducted in patients treated with 3 different cardioselective β-blockers. It would have been
ideal to investigate each β-blocker separately but the study was too small for the data to be analyzed by individual drug.

The drug washout period of 5 half-lives may have been insufficient in some patients. Therefore, it is possible that there was some carryover effect and that a longer drug-free interval might have increased the differences between groups, although the main study conclusions would be unchanged.

To estimate the effect β-blockade might have on the detection of myocardial ischemia due to coronary stenosis, the results must be compared with a standard. X-ray coronary angiography is the best standard for coronary anatomy. The lack of quantitative assessment of the severity of coronary stenosis may be a weakness; however, clinical decision making is predominantly based on the visual assessment of the coronary angiogram.

CONCLUSION

Cardioselective β-blockers reduce the magnitude of adenosine-induced myocardial perfusion abnormalities in patients with CAD. Moreover, these agents reduce the sensitivity of adenosine MPI for the detection of flow-limiting coronary stenosis. These observations are of clinical relevance and support discontinuation of β-blockers before adenosine stress if knowledge of the unmodified hemodynamic consequence of underlying coronary disease is important to guiding further management.
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