Diagnosis of Cirrhotic Portal Hypertension and Compensatory Circulation Using Transsplenic Portal Scintigraphy with 99mTc-Phytate
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Our objective was to investigate the diagnostic value and clinical significance of transsplenic portal scintigraphy in cirrhotic portal hypertension and compensatory circulation. Methods: Transsplenic portal scintigraphy, ultrasound, and gastroscopy were performed on 50 patients with cirrhotic portal hypertension and on 10 controls. According to the Child–Pugh classification, 15 patients with cirrhosis were Child A, 19 were Child B, and 16 were Child C. Results: In the control group, the splenoportal vein was shaped like the letter S, and the portosystemic shunt index was 0.19 ± 0.07. Portal hypertension portosystemic shunts were of 3 types: intrahepatic (13 patients; index, 0.52 ± 0.19), compensatory (31 patients; index, 0.64 ± 0.28); and completely extrahepatic (6 patients; index, 0.91 ± 0.03). Collateral vessels were uphill, downhill, or complex. The portosystemic shunt index increased as cirrhosis and esophageal varices increased. There was statistical significance among groups (P < 0.05 or < 0.01). Conclusion: Transsplenic portal scintigraphy was sensitive for detecting the number and location of shunts and will allow for improved surgical planning.
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Cirrhotic portal hypertension, which may lead to the formation of extensive and perplexing portosystemic compensatory circulation, is responsible for many complications such as hemorrhage resulting from rupture of esophageal and fundal gastric varices and hepatocerebral disease. The treatment of portal hypertension still remains formidable in clinical practice because of the lack of a significant correlation between diameter and portal vein pressure. Per rectum portal scintigraphy is used to determine portosystemic shunting by the heart-to-liver radioactivity ratio and provides a quantitative diagnostic index of great significance for portal vein disconnection and shunting but does not display structure and compensatory circulation (8,9).

Transsplenic portal scintigraphy is advantageous in being able to show the structure and compensatory circulation of the portal vein, as well as in allowing diagnosis of compensatory circulation by hemodynamic dysfunction—which cannot be achieved rectally. Besides, transsplenic portal scintigraphy is also superior in that in produces only a tiny puncture, requires only a fine transfixion pin, requires a low amount of contrast medium (0.5 mL), and causes only minor injury to the spleen. Not many articles, however, have dealt with the introduction of this technique into the clinical diagnosis of cirrhotic portal hypertension.
This study aimed to investigate the clinical significance and ability of transsplenic portal scintigraphy to provide a comprehensive, effective, convenient, and safe method of diagnosing portal hypertension.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study enrolled 50 patients with cirrhotic portal hypertension (41 men and 9 women; mean age, 53.1 ± 18.2 y) and 10 controls (6 men and 4 women; mean age, 45.2 ± 12.5 y). The cirrhotic portal hypertension had been diagnosed on the basis of clinical examination, liver-function examination, history, and the results of ultrasound, CT, and gastroscopy. According to the Child–Pugh classification, 15 patients with cirrhosis were Child A, 19 were Child B, and 16 were Child C. The Qilu Hospital ethics committee approved the study, and written consent was received from all participants.

Imaging was performed on a SPECT camera (Millennium VG, 3/8-in crystal; GE Healthcare) with a high-resolution, low-energy, parallel collimator. The camera detector was positioned in the anterior view over the patient’s upper abdomen so that the field of view would include the heart, liver, and spleen. The patient fasted before the examination, and 740 MBq of 99mTc-phytate in 0.5 mL were percutaneously injected into the splenic parenchyma under ultrasound guidance (Logiq 7; GE Healthcare) using a 3.5-mHz probe and an intercostal approach. Continuous dynamic images were captured at 1 frame/s for 32 frames with a matrix of 64 x 64. From sequential dynamic images, an operator identified the heart-pool image, defined the region of interest using a light pen, and then copied this region of interest over the right lobe of the liver. Time–radioactivity curves were generated for the heart and liver. The portosystemic shunt index was calculated according to H/(H + L), where H was the peak count of the heart time–radioactivity curve and L was the peak count of the liver time–radioactivity curve.

Ultrasound (Logiq 7) was used to detect the width of the portal and splenic veins. Gastroscopy (EG-2940; Pentax) was used to diagnose esophageal and fundal gastric varices, which were graded as mild, moderate, or severe.

Results were expressed as mean ± SD. The significance was evaluated by the Student t test. Differences with probability values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Transsplenic Portal Scintigraphy**

In the control group (Fig. 1), the splenoportal vein was shaped like the letter S, with regularity and clear streamlining, and the main branch of the hepatic portal vein could be observed at 2–4 s of imaging. Liver parenchyma was rapidly imaged, with a clear outline and well-distributed radioactivity, whereas the radioactivity in the spleen was limited to the injection spot, and the heart pool was not visualized till 5–6 s of imaging (portosystemic shunt index, 0.19 ± 0.07).

Images of the portal hypertension group showed 3 types of portosystemic shunts. The first was intrahepatic (Fig. 2), characterized as expansion of the splenoportal and intrahepatic portal veins, weak liver imaging, and mild to moderate heart-pool imaging. This type was found in 13 patients (7 class A patients, 5 class B, and 1 class C; portosystemic shunt index, 0.52 ± 0.19). The second type...
was compensatory, characterized as an unclear or significantly expanded splenoportal vein, sparse liver imaging, partial spleen imaging, clear heart-pool imaging, and collateral vessels that might be predominantly uphill (left gastric vein), downhill (inferior mesenteric vein), or complex (Fig. 3). This type was found in 31 patients (8 class A patients, 13 class B, and 10 class C; portosystemic shunt index, 0.64 ± 0.28). The third type was completely extrahepatic (Fig. 4), characterized as a highly expanded splenic vein, an unclear portal vein with a thick collateral branch, and a dense heart pool. This type was found in 6 patients (1 class B patient and 5 class C; portosystemic shunt index, 0.91 ± 0.03).

Correlations Among Parameters

The portosystemic shunt index increased as cirrhosis worsened (Table 1), with significant differences among groups ($P < 0.05$ or $0.01$). The width of the portal and splenic veins also increased with Child–Pugh class, but with no significant differences among groups ($P > 0.05$).

The width of the portal vein increased, gradually, as the grade of gastric varices increased (Table 2). However, no significance was found ($P > 0.05$). The portosystemic shunt index also increased gradually, but with statistical significance between groups ($P < 0.01$).

Value of Transsplenic Portal Scintigraphy in Predicting Effect of Endoscopic Variceal Ligation

Among 31 patients with the compensatory type of shunt, the collateral vessels were predominantly uphill in 16 patients, downhill in 11, and complex in 4. The 20 patients with predominantly uphill or complex collateral vessels underwent endoscopic variceal ligation. Follow-up with gastroscopy for 1 y found recurrence of the esophageal varices in 7 of the 16 patients with uphill collateral vessels

| TABLE 1. Correlation Between Width of Portal Vein, Width of Splenic Vein, Portosystemic Shunt Index, and Different Child Classes of Cirrhosis Group |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Child class | No. of cases | Width of portal vein (cm) | Width of splenic vein (cm) | Portosystemic shunt index |
| A | 15 | 1.33 ± 0.17 | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 0.42 ± 0.06 |
| B | 19 | 1.41 ± 0.18 | 0.98 ± 0.07 | 0.56 ± 0.07* |
| C | 16 | 1.44 ± 0.18 | 1.06 ± 0.08 | 0.77 ± 0.09* |

* $P < 0.05$.
1 $P < 0.01$ vs. class A.
Data are mean ± SD.
and in only 1 of the 4 patients with complex collateral vessels. The portosystemic shunt index (0.69 ± 0.24) in the recurrence group was significantly higher than that (0.61 ± 0.19) in the nonrecurrence group (P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Portal hypertension is the primary cause of massive hemorrhage of the upper gastrointestinal tract and has many lethal complications. Thus, measuring the pressure in the portal vein is crucial for confirming therapy and predicting prognosis. It is generally held that portosystemic shunting increases as the pressure of the portal vein rises above a certain range. 99mTc-phytate (radioactive colloid) injected into the splenic parenchyma passes through the splenoportal vein into the liver. Most of the colloid particles are captured by the Kupffer cells in the liver, and only a few enter the right heart, as explains the fact that the liver but not the heart is well imaged. Because of the elevated portal vein pressure and after portosystemic shunting, a portion of the colloid particles cannot enter the liver and instead enter the heart via compensatory circulation, which leads to simultaneous liver imaging and heart imaging. The radioactivity ratio between heart and liver reflects the extent of the portosystemic shunt and can be used to evaluate the extent of the elevation in portal vein pressure.

It is critical to maintain enough blood to the liver for prophylaxis of hemorrhage from rupture of esophageal varices (10). Varicosis occurred if the portal vein pressure was higher than 2.94 kPa, and rupture occurred if the portal vein pressure was higher than 3.43 kPa. As surgical therapy aims to control and prevent esophageal and fundal variceal bleeding, it is essential to estimate the liver function and portal hypertension of patients to determine perioperative management, and it is crucial to evaluate the anatomy of the portal system, compensatory circulation, and hemodynamics to select the type of operation (11,12). A shunt is the first choice in the case of increased portal venous flow (13,14), whereas disconnection may be the choice for those with decreased portal venous flow (15). Because of significant trauma and poor precision, many methods of monitoring portal venous pressure are not accepted by patients and are not widely used in clinical practice. Recent articles have reported that portal venous pressure can be precisely calculated by determination of heart and liver ratio with per rectum portal scintigraphy. The structure of the portal vein and compensatory circulation, however, cannot be displayed simultaneously (8,9).

Our investigation showed that both the portosystemic shunt index and the structure of the portal vein and compensatory circulation could be determined by transsplenic portal scintigraphy. Knowledge of the flow direction of the compensatory circulation was essential in avoiding the omission of esophageal and supraesophageal branches due to disconnection and helped in determining the type of operation and whether nonoperative therapy was needed postoperatively. Our follow-up survey of endoscopic variceal ligation revealed that transsplenic portal scintigraphy can serve as guidance in predicting the result of endoscopic variceal ligation.

CONCLUSION

The splenic damage caused by transsplenic portal scintigraphy was mild, compared with that caused by radiography. No participants in this study reported malaise or complications. Transsplenic portal scintigraphy was safe and convenient, and it was sensitive in detecting the number and location of shunts, allowing improved surgical planning.
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TABLE 2. Correlation Between Width of Portal Vein, Portosystemic Shunt Index, and Degree of Esophageal Varices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of varices</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Width of portal vein (cm)</th>
<th>Portosystemic shunt index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.23*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.01 vs. grade 1. Data are mean ± SD.
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