PET of EGFR Antibody Distribution in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Models
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Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a well-characterized protooncogene that has been shown to promote tumor progression in solid cancers. Clinical results for EGFR targeting with specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) such as cetuximab and panitumumab are promising; however, most studies indicate that only a subgroup of patients receiving the mAbs benefit from the immunotherapy, independent of EGFR expression level. To understand the in vivo kinetics of antibody delivery and localization, we performed small-animal PET studies with 64Cu-labeled panitumumab in xenografts derived from 3 cell lines of human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Methods: Nude mice bearing HNSCC tumors with different levels of EGFR expression were imaged with small-animal PET using 64Cu-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N,N’,N”-tetracetic acid (DOTA)-panitumumab. Antibody distribution in the tumors was confirmed by ex vivo immunostaining using panitumumab and fluorescein 5(6)-isothiocyanate (FITC) panitumumab. CD31 immunostaining and Evans blue assay were also performed to assess the tumor vascular density and permeability. Results: Among these 3 tumor models, UM-SCC-22B tumors with the lowest EGFR protein expression showed the highest 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab accumulation, whereas SQB20 tumors with the highest EGFR expression showed the lowest 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab accumulation. Ex vivo staining demonstrated that SQB20 cells still had extremely high EGFR expression after forming tumors in nude mice, indicating that the low uptake of 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab in SQB20 tumors was not due to the loss of EGFR expression. The results from CD31 immunostaining and Evans blue permeability assay suggest that the low vessel density, poor vascular permeability, and binding site barrier are likely responsible for the overall low tumor uptake of the highly EGFR-expressing SQB20 tumors. Conclusion: The results from this study provide a possible explanation for the lack of an observed correlation between therapeutic efficacy of cetuximab and panitumumab and EGFR expression level as determined by immunohistochemistry or fluorescent in situ hybridization and may shed new light on the complications of anti-EGFR mAb therapy for HNSCC and other malignancies.
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The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a well-characterized protooncogene that has been shown to promote tumor progression in several solid cancers (1). EGFR is a member of the structurally related erbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (2). It has been reported that more than 95% of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCCs) express elevated EGFR levels, compared with the levels in normal mucosa (3). Further investigations show that the elevated EGFR expression is an independent indicator of poor prognosis and reduced survival in HNSCC patients (4). EGFR-targeted therapies include monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) such as cetuximab (IMC-C225; ImClone Systems Inc.) and panitumumab (ABX-EGF; Amgen Inc.), which block the extracellular ligand-binding domain of the receptor and tyrosine kinase inhibitors that prevent activation of the cytoplasmic kinase portion. These targeting approaches have shown great promise in preclinical studies (5,6). In patients with locoregionally advanced HNSCC, the combination of cetuximab and high-dose radiation was found to yield survival superior to that of radiation alone (7). Similarly, the addition of cetuximab to chemotherapy resulted in significantly longer median survival when compared with chemotherapy alone in patients with recurrent or metastatic HNSCC in a large randomized study (8).

Even though clinical results for EGFR targeting with specific antibodies are promising, most studies indicate that only a subgroup of patients receiving the mAbs benefit from them (9,10). To date, there is no known marker that can be used consistently to identify patients who would likely benefit from cetuximab therapy (11). No correlation has been found between the efficacy of cetuximab and EGFR tumoral staining intensity by immunohistochemistry (11,12). In addition, a response to cetuximab has been observed in
patients with EGFR-negative tumors (13). Although it has been reported that the EGFR gene copy number may predict the response to cetuximab, there are concerns about the reproducibility of such an assay (14). Most recently, KRAS mutations have been shown to be markers of resistance to anti-EGFR antibody in colorectal cancer; however, such a mutation is rare in HNSCC (15). Because EGFR antibody treatment is rather expensive and not without associated toxicity, a reliable marker would be quite helpful in the management of HNSCC patients.

It has been demonstrated that noninvasive molecular imaging can provide additional diagnostic information to improve patient management (16,17). Such an imaging approach has also been applied to EGFR using SPECT with 111In and 99mTc-labeled EGFR-specific antibodies (18–20). PET of EGFR has also been performed with cetuximab conjugated to a bifunctional chelator such as 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N′,N″,N‴-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) and labeled with 64Cu (21,22). Small-animal PET showed that uptake of 64Cu-DOTA-cetuximab increased over time in EGFR-positive tumors but was relatively low in EGFR-negative tumors (23). 64Cu-DOTA-cetuximab has also been used to detect and quantify EGFR expression in cervical cancer tumors (21).

To fully understand the issue of antibody delivery in HNSCC and shed some light on the seemingly contradictory observation of EGFR expression and antibody therapeutic efficacy, we labeled panitumab, a fully humanized mAb against EGFR (24), with 64Cu and performed quantitative PET studies on different HNSCC tumor xenografts. We found that the in vivo uptake of labeled panitumab in tumors failed to correlate with EGFR protein expression levels in HNSCC xenograft models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All commercially available chemical reagents were used without further purification. DOTA was purchased from Macrocyclics, Inc., and Chelex 100 resin (50–100 mesh) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Water and all buffers were passed through a Chelex 100 column (1 × 15 cm) before use in radiolabeling procedures to ensure that the aqueous buffer was free of heavy metals. PD-10 desalting columns were purchased from GE Healthcare. Athymic nude mice were obtained from Harlan at 4–6 wk of age. 64Cu was ordered from the University of Wisconsin–Madison. The human HNSCC cell lines SQB20, SAS, and UM-SCC-22B were obtained, respectively, from Dr. J. Martin Brown’s laboratory at Tohoku University; and from the University of Michigan. The human HNSCC cell lines SQB20, SAS, and UM-SCC-22B were ordered from the University of Wisconsin–Madison. The human HNSCC and shed some light on the seemingly contradictory observation of EGFR expression and antibody therapeutic efficacy, we labeled panitumab, a fully humanized mAb against EGFR (24), with 64Cu and performed quantitative PET studies on different HNSCC tumor xenografts. We found that the in vivo uptake of labeled panitumab in tumors failed to correlate with EGFR protein expression levels in HNSCC xenograft models.

Antibody Labeling

Fluorescein 5(6)-isothiocyanate (FITC), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, was dissolved in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide immediately before use and then added to panitumab with a ratio of 50 μg per mg of antibody. The mixture was incubated and rotated at room temperature for 60 min for covalent conjugation. The unreacted FITC was removed by PD-10 column. FITC-to-antibody ratio and antibody concentration were determined by the following equation after measuring the absorbance at 280 and 495 nm: antibody (μg/mL) = [A280 − 0.31 × A495]/1.4; FITC-to-antibody ratio = 3.1 × A495/(A280 − 0.31 × A495). The FITC-to-antibody ratio is 10.13 for FITC-panitumab.

Detailed procedures for DOTA conjugation and for measurement of DOTA number have been reported earlier (23,25). The reaction ratio of DOTA to antibody is 200:1. 64CuCl2 (74 MBq) was diluted in 300 μL of 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 6.5) and added to 50 μg of DOTA-panitumab. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at 40°C with constant shaking. 64Cu-DOTA-panitumab was then purified by PD-10 column using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as the mobile phase. The labeling yield was calculated by dividing the decay-corrected radioactivity of 64Cu-DOTA-panitumab by the total radioactivity used for reaction.

Flow Cytometry

HNSCC cells were harvested and washed with PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin. On blockade by 2% bovine serum albumin in PBS, the cells were incubated with panitumab (10 μg/mL in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin). FITC-conjugated donkey antihuman IgG (1:200) was then added and allowed to incubate for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, the cells were analyzed using an LSR flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). The FITC signal intensity was analyzed using CellQuest software (version 3.3; Becton-Dickinson).

Tumor Models

All animal experiments were performed under a protocol approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care. Subcutaneous HNSCC tumor models were established in 4- to 6-wk-old female athymic nude mice. Typically, 5 × 106 cells suspended in 50 μL of PBS were injected and the mice underwent PET when the tumor volume reached 200–400 mm3 (3–4 wk after inoculation).

PET and Image Analysis

PET of tumor-bearing mice was performed on a microPET R4 rodent model scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions) as described earlier (23). The mice were intravenously injected with 64Cu-DOTA-panitumab or 64Cu-DOTA-IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) (7–8 MBq/6 g/mouse), and static scans were acquired at 4, 20, 30, and 48 h after injection. For each scan, 3-dimensional regions of interest were drawn over the tumor, liver, heart, and muscle on decay-corrected whole-body coronal images. The average radioactivity concentration within a tumor or an organ was obtained from mean pixel values within the region-of-interest volume. These mean values were converted to counts/mL/min using a conversion factor. Assuming a tissue density of 1 g/mL, the counts/mL/min were converted to counts/g/min and then divided by the injected dose to obtain an imaging region-of-interest–derived percentage injected dose (%ID)/g.

Measurement of Vascular Permeability Using Evans Blue

The effective microvascular permeability of albumin–Evans blue was determined using the simplified indicator diffusion method (26). Evans blue (Sigma-Aldrich) was administered intravenously at a dose of 30 mg/kg. The mice were reperfused at an elevated perfusion pressure with physiologic saline immediately before...
High Expression of EGFR in HNSCC Cell Lines

We selected 3 different HNSCC cell lines and analyzed their EGFR expression levels by FACS (Fig. 1). All 3 cell lines showed relatively high EGFR expression, in the order of SQB20 > SAS > UM-SCC-22B. Immunostaining of tumor sections derived from these cell lines also showed extremely high EGFR expression in SQB20 tumors, high expression in SAS tumors, and relatively low expression in UM-SCC-22B tumors.

PET of EGFR Expression

The specific activity of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab was $1.35 \pm 0.26$ GBq/mg, and the radiolabeling yield was $85.0\% \pm 9.2\%$ ($n = 5$). The decay-corrected whole-body transaxial images containing the tumors are shown in Figure 2. At all time points, the accumulation of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab was highest in UM-SCC-22B tumors, lowest in SQB20 tumors, and moderate in SAS tumors. Quantitative data based on region-of-interest analysis are shown in Table 1. At 30 h after injection, the UM-SCC-22B tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab was $31.42 \pm 9.27 \% ID/g$, SAS tumor uptake was $12.39 \pm 4.15 \% ID/g$, and SQB20 tumor uptake was $8.76 \pm 1.07 \% ID/g$. The liver also had prominent radioactivity accumulation, with an uptake of $11.62 \pm 3.87 \% ID/g$ at 30 h after injection, due to both the hepatic clearance of antibody-based tracer and possible transchelation. The blood activity concentration was $12.35 \pm 4.25 \% ID/g$ at 30 h after injection, indicating the long circulation life of the antibody.

We also imaged these HNSCC tumors with $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-IgG to eliminate the influence of passive targeting for PET quantification. Compared with $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab, $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-IgG had a similar blood concentration ($13.01 \pm 1.28 \% ID/g$ at 30 h) and liver uptake ($10.92 \pm 1.77 \% ID/g$ at 30 h). The tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-IgG was low in all 3 tumor models. After IgG subtraction, UM-SCC-22B still showed the highest absolute uptake ($14.14 \pm 3.89 \% ID/g$), whereas SQB20 showed the lowest absolute uptake ($2.85 \pm 0.82 \% ID/g$) (Fig. 2B).
Panitumumab Distribution in HNSCC Tumors

The PET data showed that uptake of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab was highest in the UM-SCC-22B tumors that had the lowest EGFR protein expression. Inversely, the radioactivity accumulation was lowest in the SQB20 tumors that had the highest EGFR expression. To further explain this seemingly abnormal phenomenon, we conjugated panitumumab with a fluorescent dye FITC and harvested tumors at 30 h after intravenous injection of FITC-panitumumab. After being coated with a mounting medium containing DAPI, the tumor sections were examined under a fluorescence microscope and images were taken and displayed under the same conditions to make sure that the fluorescence intensity represented the FITC-panitumumab level. As shown in Figure 3B, for SQB20 tumors, FITC-panitumumab was primarily detected within several cell diameters of the blood vessels with high fluorescence density; for SAS tumors, the fluorescence signal was also limited to perivascular regions with a longer diffusive distance. In contrast, the fluorescence signal diffused much more homogeneously in UM-SCC-22B tumors. DOTA-panitumumab showed an intratumoral distribution similar to that of FITC-panitumumab. Costaining with CD31 further demonstrated the limited perivascular localization of panitumumab in both SQB20 and SAS tumors (Fig. 3C and 3D). These results indicate that the poor penetration of the antibody through perivascular tissues is at least partially responsible for the low accumulation of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab in SQB20 tumors.

Vascular Density and Permeability of HNSCC Tumors

Vascular density is another determining factor for antibody diffusion and binding. The microvascular density (MVD) of HNSCC tumors was determined with CD31-stained tumor sections. UM-SCC-22B tumors are highly vascularized, with an MVD of 27.1/mm². SQB20 tumors have the lowest MVD, 4.7/mm², and SAS tumors have an intermediate MVD of 12.5/mm² (Fig. 4). The morphology of the vasculature also varied among these 3 tumor models.

Evans blue forms a complex with albumin in vivo by electrostatic interaction between the sulfonic acid group of the dye and the $\varepsilon$-amine groups of the lysine residues of albumin. The strong binding makes the behavior of Evans blue reflect the transport of albumin, which is about 67 kDa with a diameter of about 7 nm (26). As shown in Figure 5A, the tumor vasculature was visualized through the high-fluorescence signal of Evans blue, as is consistent with CD31 staining. In UM-SCC-22B tumors, moreover, the interstitial diffusion of Evans blue albumin from vessels can be identified. The quantification of Evan blue perfusion showed that UM-SCC-22B tumors were the most permeable among the 3 HNSCC tumor models, whereas SAS tumors were less permeable and SQB20 tumors were the least permeable (Fig. 5B).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we conjugated an EGFR-specific antibody, panitumumab, with DOTA and labeled the conjugate with $^{64}$Cu. Small-animal PET was performed to evaluate EGFR expression in tumor models derived from 3 HNSCC cell lines with different levels of EGFR expression. We found no correlation between PET quantification and EGFR protein expression level. To our surprise, UM-SCC-22B tumors, which had the lowest EGFR expression, showed the highest accumulation of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab accumulation whereas SQB20 tumors, with the highest EGFR expression, showed the lowest accumulation of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab. The low uptake of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab...
in SQB20 tumors was not due to the loss of EGFR expression. On the contrary, ex vivo staining using panitumumab as the primary antibody demonstrated that SQB20 cells still had extremely high EGFR expression after forming tumors in nude mice. These results indicated that the expression level of EGFR cellular protein correlated poorly with the efficiency of antibody delivery to the tumors. Similar findings have also been reported for $^{111}$In-conjugated anti-EGFR antibody in breast cancer models (20).

At present, antibodies are indisputably the best-established class of binding molecules for tumor diagnosis and therapy (27,28). Tumor antigen density is known to influence antibody-based tumor targeting (29). The expression level of EGFR in all 3 HNSCC cell lines tested was quite high compared with the other solid tumors. We have previously performed PET with $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-cetuximab on another 7 different solid tumor models, including U87MG human glioblastoma; PC-3 human prostate carci-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$^{64}$Cu-DOTA-panitumumab (%ID/g)</th>
<th>$^{64}$Cu-DOTA-IgG (%ID/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>20 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>15.94 ± 3.35</td>
<td>12.52 ± 3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>3.32 ± 1.50</td>
<td>2.479 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22B</td>
<td>16.09 ± 6.52</td>
<td>26.41 ± 9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS</td>
<td>6.39 ± 1.60*</td>
<td>11.01 ± 1.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQB20</td>
<td>4.02 ± 1.87*</td>
<td>7.92 ± 1.48*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05.  
$P < 0.01.  
22B = UM-SCC-22B.  
Data are %ID/g and represent mean ± SD of 4 mice.

FIGURE 3. Immunofluorescence examination of EGFR expression and panitumumab localization in HNSCC tumors. Images were obtained under same conditions and displayed at same magnification and scale (except D). (A) Tumor sections were directly stained with panitumumab as primary antibody and with FITC-conjugated donkey antihuman IgG as secondary antibody. Murine CD31 was stained with Cy3-conjugated IgG to visualize tumor vasculature. SQB20 tumors showed highest fluorescence intensity, corresponding to highest EGFR expression. (B) Thirty hours after FITC-panitumumab injection, tumors were harvested and tumor sections were observed after being mounted with DAPI-containing medium. (C) Thirty hours after DOTA-panitumumab injection, tumors were harvested and tumor sections were stained with FITC-conjugated donkey antihuman IgG. (D) In high-magnification view of images shown in C, color was rescaled to emphasize relationship of panitumumab and vasculature (red from Cy3 for CD31; green from FITC for EGFR and panitumumab; blue from DAPI for nucleus visualization).
noma; CT-26 murine colorectal carcinoma; HCT-8, HCT-116, and SW620 human colorectal carcinoma; and MDA-MB-435 human breast cancer (23). We found an increased accumulation of activity in EGFR-positive tumors over time but relatively low uptake in EGFR-negative tumors at all time points examined. Moreover, tracer uptake as measured by PET showed a good linear correlation with EGFR protein expression level as measured by Western blotting. Eiblmaier et al. (21) have also shown that EGFR messenger RNA expression corresponded directly to EGFR densities and levels of internalization of ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-centuximab in 5 cervical cancer cell lines. When compared between the 3 HNSCC cell lines and these other cell lines, the levels of EGFR expression were similar between the lowest-expressing HNSCC cells (UM-SCC22B) and the highest-expressing non-HNSCC cells (PC-3 prostate cancer). We speculated that antigen density is a major determining factor in PET with radionuclide-labeled antibodies when antigen expression level is below a certain threshold. Such a threshold may have been exceeded in the HNSCCs tested here. With regard to antibody binding affinity, we have tested ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-cetuximab in these 3 HNSCC models and the results were similar to those obtained with ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-panitumumab, despite the fact that panitumumab has a higher affinity for EGFR than does cetuximab. Again, if antigen density exceeds a certain threshold, binding affinity may play a smaller role in antibody distribution.

Because of the lack of correlation between antigen density, binding affinity, and imaged antibody distribution, we surmised that low MVD and poor vascular permeability were mainly responsible for the observed imaging results. Compared with the other 2 HNSCC models, SQB20 tumors were poorly vascularized as indicated by CD31 staining. In addition, the vascular permeability of SQB20 tumors was the worst among the 3 tumor models, on the basis of Evans blue perfusion data. Apart from MVD and vascular permeability, we also speculated that there exists a binding-site barrier for ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-panitumumab penetration in SQB20 tumors. The high-affinity binding of mAbs to the first encountered tumor antigen would retard antibody percolation (30), resulting in a more heterogeneous distribution. In the case of SQB20 tumors, the high number of EGFR receptors on the tumor cell surface may act as a barrier against distal diffusion of antibody. As shown in Figure 2, most panitumumab molecules were perivascular and diffused through a distance of only several cells. The implication is that the perivascular tumor cells depleted mAbs quickly and inhibited further penetration of panitumumab. The localization of panitumumab in SQB20 and UM-SCC-22B tumors is illustrated in Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental materials are available online only at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). Because of the abundant blood supply, high MVD, and high blood vessel permeability, UM-SCC-22B tumors showed a noticeably higher accumulation of ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-panitumumab than did the other 2 HNSCC tumor.
models. Compared with a therapeutic dose of antibody (100–200 μg per mouse), the imaging dose was very low (~5 μg per mouse). The difference in antibody concentration should be considered when one is integrating imaging data into therapeutic scenarios, because images with low concentrations of antibody may exaggerate the influence of vascular density and permeability.

Under physiologic conditions, EGFR is internalized on ligand activation and ligand–receptor complexes are targeted for lysosomal degradation, resulting in signal attenuation (31). A similar phenomenon has been observed for EGFR binding antibody (32). 64Cu-DOTA-panitumab internalization was reported in cervical cancer cells and correlated well with EGFR expression, with approximately 25% of cell-surface EGFR being internalized after 4 h of incubation in all cell lines tested (21). We also evaluated panitumumab internalization in HNSCC cell lines and found that all HNSCC cells showed a similar internalization ratio of around 30% after 2 h of incubation at 37°C (Supplemental Fig. 2). Therefore, antibody internalization after panitumumab binding to EGFR was unlikely to have contributed to the differential distribution of 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab in HNSCC tumor models (33).

PET with radiolabeled antibody visualizes and quantifies several parameters, including tumor-specific binding, perfusion, vascularity, vascular permeability, and plasma half-life. Moreover, radiolabeled antibody PET provides more comprehensive information for patient screening and therapeutic guidance than does immunohistochemistry or fluorescence in situ hybridization—techniques that only sample antigen density in a small part of the tumor. The results from this study may provide a possible explanation for the lack of an observed correlation between therapeutic efficacy of cetuximab and panitumumab and EGFR expression level as determined by immunohistochemistry or fluorescence in situ hybridization (34). For tumors such as SQB20, even with high EGFR expression, the patchy and incomplete tumor perfusion could result in suboptimal therapeutic effects when therapeutic efficacy is dependent on uniform delivery to tumor cells (30,35). We are pursuing studies to determine whether the results of 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab or 64Cu-DOTA-cetuximab imaging correlate with treatment efficacy when the same antibody is used alone or conjugated to a high-energy radioisotope for radioimmunotherapy.

CONCLUSION

The in vivo tumor uptake quantified from PET with 64Cu-DOTA-panitumumab in HNSCC tumor models failed to reflect the expression level of EGFR in vivo. The results revealed a possible explanation for the lack of an observed correlation between therapeutic efficacy of cetuximab and panitumumab and EGFR expression level as determined by immunohistochemistry or fluorescent in situ hybridization. This study may shed new light on the complications of anti-EGFR mAb therapy for HNSCC and other malignancies.
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