Bone Scintigraphy and SPECT/CT of Bisphosphonate-Induced Osteonecrosis of the Jaw
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Endovenous bisphosphonate therapy seems associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw. The aim of this study was to evaluate the additional diagnostic value of hybrid SPECT/CT in ¹⁹⁹mTc-methylene diphosphonate 3-phase bone scintigraphy of osteonecrosis of the jaw in bisphosphonate-treated patients. Methods: We studied 15 patients (12 women and 3 men) with extraoral tumors affected by lytic bone metastases and multiple myeloma. All patients were previously treated with intravenous bisphosphonates (zoledronic acid) for 1–3 y, were negative for dental disease at clinical examination, and had suspected osteonecrosis of the jaw. All 15 patients underwent panoramic x-ray orthopantomography, CT or MRI (or both), microbiologic examination, 3-phase bone scintigraphy, and SPECT/CT of the maxillary region. Results: Three-phase bone-scintigraphy showed increased perfusion and an increased blood pool in 9 of 12 and 10 of 12 patients, respectively; at the metabolic phase, SPECT was positive in all patients and showed abnormal hyperactivity in the maxilla of 2 patients, in the mandible of 9 patients, and in both the mandible and the maxilla of 4 patients. Hybrid SPECT/CT was of particular value in 8 of 15 patients, allowing discrimination of the osteonecrotic core from nearby hyperactivity due to viable bone. Whole-body scintigraphy showed remote and multiple metastases in all patients. Orthopantomography showed nonspecific bone rarefaction in all patients but was not able to aid diagnosis of osteonecrosis of the jaw. CT and MRI showed abnormalities in all symptomatic patients: CT was helpful in evaluating both cortical and trabecular bone aspects, and MRI was able to detect cortical and trabecular bone destruction. Conclusion: In appropriately selected oncology patients treated with bisphosphonates, an increased uptake of ¹⁹⁹mTc-methylene diphosphonate in maxillary bones may suggest probable osteonecrosis of the jaw. In such cases, SPECT/CT may be of value in increasing the diagnostic accuracy of bone scanning, providing a precise functional anatomic correlation for the definition of the extent of disease.

Key Words: bone scintigraphy; SPECT/CT; jaw; osteonecrosis

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.107.048785

In the literature, an increasing number of cases of osteonecrosis of the jaw after intravenous administration of the new generation of bisphosphonates (pamidronate and zoledronic acid) has been reported (1,2). Commonly used to treat patients with neoplasias, especially in the case of lytic bone metastases (generated from breast and prostate cancer) and multiple myeloma, bisphosphonates reduce such skeletal complication as pain, pathologic fractures, limited mobility, malignant hypercalcemia, and spinal cord compression (3,4). In Italy, a growing number of cases have been reported, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has also been paying attention to osteonecrosis as a possible complication of intravenous bisphosphonate use (4). A careful clinical assessment is also necessary using diagnostic imaging to better estimate the extent of skeletal lesions. Digital orthopanoramic radiography, CT, MRI, and ¹⁹⁹mTc bone scintigraphy represent useful diagnostic tools revealing different structural and functional aspects of bone involvement. Standard diagnostic criteria and study through imaging are still missing, and this lack obviously weighs on therapeutic decisions (5).

Bone scanning with the more recently introduced types of instrumentation allows adequate visualization of metabolic changes in the entire skeleton. However, bone scans are characterized by elevated sensitivity and low specificity. SPECT can improve the diagnostic accuracy of bone functional imaging and is more sensitive than planar imaging (6). New hybrid SPECT/CT systems combining SPECT with low-dose single-slice CT have proven useful for better characterization of skeletal lesions. Moreover, hybrid devices that allow the functional significance of CT lesions to be defined also improve the specificity of SPECT by excluding disease at sites of physiologic uptake (7).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the additional value of SPECT/CT in ¹⁹⁹mTc-methylene diphosphonate 3-phase bone scanning of a group of 15 bisphosphonate-treated patients with clinically suspected osteonecrosis of the jaw.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
We investigated 15 patients with clinically suspected osteonecrosis of the jaw (12 women and 3 men; average age, 70 ± 8.4 y; range, 54–86 y). All patients had undergone functional imaging during restaging because they were affected by extraoral tumors: 9 had breast cancer, 2 lung cancer, and 4 multiple myeloma.

All patients underwent a dental assessment before our diagnostic evaluation and met the requirements adopted by the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. All patients had previously been treated intravenously with zoledronic acid (zoledronate) (4 mg per 15-min infusion every 3 wk) for 2.2 ± 0.6 y (range, 1–3 y). Mandibular and maxillary pain occurred in 2 patients approximately 9 mo after the beginning of the bisphosphonate therapy; in all other patients, pain began after about 2 y.

At clinical examination, 8 patients had necrosis associated with severe pain, edema, and exposed bone; 5 patients had pain with signs of acute infection such as swelling, fever, and in 1 case a purulent fistula; and 2 patients did not have pain but only swelling and fever. Among these 8 patients, 6 presented with visibly exposed bone during clinical follow-up, about 3–6 mo after our clinical examination; 1 died without presenting exposed bone, but mandibular osteonecrosis of the jaw was confirmed at autopsy.

A dental history revealed a correlation between osteonecrosis of the jaw and dental extraction (n = 5), periimplantitis (n = 1), bone biopsy (n = 1), preprosthetic surgery (n = 1), and a traumatic lesion (n = 1). These probable predisposing factors occurred from 1 to 8 mo before the development of osteonecrosis in the jaws. In 6 patients, we could not identify any precise associated factor. None of the 15 patients had undergone radiotherapy in the regions of maxillary or mandibular osteonecrosis.

In all patients, swabs and bone cultures were performed to check for the presence of secondary infection. Moreover, 8 patients underwent biopsy of the bony exposure to exclude metastatic bone involvement.

Radiologic Examinations
In all patients, radiologic examinations included orthopantomography and CT or MRI (or both); CT was performed using an Aquilion 16 scanner (Toshiba) at 120 kV and 200 mA, with a slice thickness of 0.5 mm; MR images were obtained using a 1.5-T superconductive magnet (Gyrosan Interia; Philips) before and after intravenous administration of a gadolinium-containing contrast agent.

Nuclear Medicine Imaging
In 12 of 15 patients, 3-phase bone scintigraphy was immediately performed after the intravenous injection of a 740-MBq bolus of 99mTc-methylene diphosphonate (Osteosol; GE Healthcare). Data were obtained in a 64 × 64 matrix in the anterior view every 1 s for the first minute. The blood-pool image was obtained at 4 min after injection for 1 min. The perfusion index was obtained by radionuclide angiography. We set symmetric regions of interest on the maxillary or mandibular segment and on a contralateral normal area to calculate the perfusion index. The time–activity curve of each region of interest was generated, and the perfusion index was determined by dividing the peak count of the arterial phase in the jaw with suspected osteonecrosis by the peak count in the contralateral normal area. For analysis of the blood-pool image, we manually set the region of interest on the jaw and set a symmetric region of interest on the contralateral normal area as a control. Subsequently, we obtained a time–activity curve relative to each region of interest.

Whole-body scintigraphy was performed with continuous scanning of both the anterior and the posterior projections with a speed of 18 cm/min, 3 h after intravenous injection of 99mTc-methylene diphosphonate, using a double-head γ-camera (Infinia Hawkeye [GE Healthcare] or e.cam [Siemens]) equipped with low-energy, high-resolution collimators: a 10% energy window was centered over the 140-keV photopeak of 99mTc.

In all patients, we performed planar imaging of the maxillary and mandibular areas in a 256 × 256 matrix, with 500 kcts for every acquisition. During the acquisition, all patients maintained a comfortable jaw position.

After acquisition of the planar scans, a SPECT/CT emission transmission study was performed using a hybrid system (Infinia Hawkeye), a 360° acquisition (high-resolution, low-energy collimators), a 128 × 128 matrix, a 3° step, and 20 s per frame. Reconstruction was performed using both filtered backprojection and iterative ordered-subsets expectation maximization (10 subsets and 2 iterations), both with and without CT-based attenuation correction and a Hanning 3-dimensional postprocessing filter (cutoff frequency, 0.85 cycles/cm−1). The CT portion of the study was acquired at 2.5 mA and 140 kV over 220°, with a slice step of 1 cm and a slice time of 14 s. Transmission data were reconstructed by filtered backprojection to produce cross-sectional attenuation maps in which each pixel represented the attenuation of the imaged tissue. Transmission and emission images were fused on a dedicated nuclear medicine workstation (Xeleris; GE Healthcare) using the Xeleris software package. The full field of view consisting of 20–40 slices was completed in 10 min. The radiation dose of the emission data ranged from 1.3 mGy at the center of the body volume to 5 mGy at the surface.

Scintigrams (planar and SPECT/CT) were independently interpreted by a team of 2 experienced nuclear medicine physicians; CT, MRI, and orthopantomography images were interpreted by 2 experienced radiologists. CT, MRI, and SPECT/CT were reviewed without benefit of the clinical and radiologic information. Because the study was retrospective and explorative and assessed the available data from routine investigations, obtaining informed consent from the patients was not necessary.

RESULTS
Table 1 summarizes the clinical, histologic, and scintigraphic results of our patients. The final diagnosis was arrived at both clinically and histologically (n = 8): 9 patients had mandibular osteonecrosis, 4 patients had maxillary involvement, and 2 patients had lesions affecting both the upper and the lower jaw.

Bone Scan Findings
Three-phase bone scintigraphy showed increased perfusion in 9 of 12 patients and an increased blood pool in 10 of 12 patients. In particular, the findings were abnormal in the mandibular area of 6 patients, and an increased flow was found in the maxillary area of 2 patients; in 1 patient, both the mandibular and the maxillary areas showed increased flow, compared with the contralateral region or the ipsilat-
eral adjacent bone segment, as confirmed by the time–activity curve. In 1 patient, 3-phase bone scintigraphy revealed normal perfusion with an increased blood pool in the mandible, whereas in 2 patients the perfusion and blood-pool phases showed no particular changes.

At the metabolic phase, SPECT findings were considered positive in all patients and showed abnormal focal hyperactivity in the maxillary area of 2 patients, in the mandibular area of 9 patients, and in both the maxillary and the mandibular areas of 4 patients. Two patients showed severe focal tracer uptake in the maxilla and an additional mild focus in the mandible. These findings were more clearly visualized on SPECT/CT and were due to initial osteonecrosis as demonstrated by clinical follow-up (Fig. 1). In another patient (patient 15; Table 1), SPECT highlighted involvement of the zygomatic and ethmoid bones—involvement that had not been seen at the clinical assessment. Whole-body scintigraphy showed remote and multiple metastases in all patients.

**SPECT/CT Clinical Contribution**

Fusion SPECT/CT highlighted the metabolic difference between necrosis and areas of reactive osteoblastic hyperactivity, compared with normal bone. SPECT/CT had particular

---

**TABLE 1. Clinical Findings and Scintigraphic Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Reason for taking zoledronic acid</th>
<th>Site of necrosis</th>
<th>3-phase flow imaging</th>
<th>Site of focal SPECT/CT hyperactivity</th>
<th>Final diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible and maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible and mild in maxilla</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible and maxilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to lung cancer</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in maxilla; Blood pool: Increased in maxilla</td>
<td>Maxilla and mild in mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in maxilla and afterward also in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Mandible and maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in maxilla and mandible; Blood pool: Increased in maxilla and mandible</td>
<td>Maxilla and mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible and maxilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Negative for increase; Blood pool: Negative for increase</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: No flow imaging executed; Blood pool: No flow imaging executed</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: No flow imaging executed; Blood pool: No flow imaging executed</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: No flow imaging executed; Blood pool: No flow imaging executed</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Negative for increase; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>Necrosis in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in mandible; Blood pool: Increased in mandible</td>
<td>Mandible and maxilla</td>
<td>Necrosis in maxilla and afterward also in mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to breast cancer</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Negative for increase; Blood pool: Negative for increase</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Necrosis in maxilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bone metastases secondary to lung cancer</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Perfusion: Increased in maxilla; Blood pool: Increased in maxilla</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>Necrosis in maxilla</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
value in 8 patients by allowing more precise localization of a
core of osteonecrosis surrounded by increased bone uptake,
reflecting decreased metabolism in the necrotic focus and the
reaction of the viable bone (Figs. 2 and 3). Hybrid imaging
showed in 7 patients focally increased uptake in areas of CT
cortical resorption. Moreover, SPECT/CT discriminated in-
volve ment of the soft tissue from bone. In all patients, bone
SPECT/CT findings correlated with clinical findings for
position and severity of lesions.

Orthopantomography Findings
Orthopantomography showed, in 15 patients, bone de-
struction and osteolytic areas corresponding to areas of clinical involvement; however, orthopantomography was
unable to accurately differentiate necrotic bone from normal bone, and subperiosteal new-bone formation was not usually evident.

CT and MRI Findings
CT and MRI showed anomalies in all symptomatic patients: in 4 patients, CT showed cortical resorption and interruption and clear areas of both osteolysis and osteosclerosis. MRI was able to detect soft-tissue involvement. In particular, MRI showed thickening soft tissue and hyperemia in 3 patients; in patients with marked swelling, edema of soft tissue was detectable on turbo short-τ inversion recovery images as a high signal intensity. All patients showed involvement of cancellous bone presenting as a low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and a mild hyperintensity on T2-weighted and turbo short-τ inversion recovery images. MRI was not able to demonstrate cortical bone destruction.

Histologic and Clinical Findings
In 14 patients with exposed bone (8 patients at the
moment of nuclear medicine investigation), histologic ex-
amination confirmed inflammatory necrosis showing a loss of osteocytes from their lacunae and peripheral resorption. Moreover, formation of granulation tissue and preservation of capillary microcirculation were observed.

In 2 patients, swabs showed bacterial flora commonly
present in the oral cavity; in the remaining 13 patients, cultures revealed several pathogenic microorganisms: Bacteroides, Enterobacteriaceae, and Streptococcus group F.

In all patients, treatment included antibiotic therapy, which was useful for achieving complete remission of symptoms; all symptomatic patients underwent hyperbaric oxygen therapy, but such therapy proved useless for improving the clinical aspects of the lesions. The exposed bone was covered with a mucosal flap in 6 patients, but in 3
of these patients, a fistula developed after 3 mo, with formation of abscesses for cutaneous drainage. In these patients, surgically invasive treatment was used.

DISCUSSION

Marx and Stern in 2003 (8) first described avascular bone necrosis associated with the use of pamidronate in myeloma patients. Several other cases of osteonecrosis of the jaw after therapy with bisphosphonates were subsequently reported (1–3). The recently published results (9) of a prospective analysis of 252 patients with neoplasia showed osteonecrosis of the jaw at a frequency of about 6.7% after bisphosphonate treatment.

The role of bisphosphonates in the etiology and pathogenesis of osteonecrosis of the jaw remains obscure; both surgical dental procedures and a reduction of blood supply resulting from local trauma may be involved. An association between bisphosphonates and dental trauma, although strong, cannot with certainty be considered the predisposing cause of osteonecrosis of the jaw. Osteonecrosis of the jaw associated with bisphosphonates may be present subclinically; dental disease or, alternatively, dental extraction or dental trauma may reveal underlying osteonecrosis (10).

Radiologic investigations are the first routine tools. Conventional orthopantomography provides an excellent general assessment of the entire jaw, but mineral loss must be as high as 30%–50% to be visible. In our patients, orthopantomography showed bone destruction but was not able to differentiate necrotic from normal bone or osteolytic lesions from metastases.

Radiotracer accumulation on scintigraphy can show pathophysiologic changes in bone earlier than conventional radiography. Bone scanning is commonly and routinely used in oncology and nononcology patients. Three-phase bone scintigraphy allows the assessment of associated inflammation or infection with high sensitivity.

In the past, nuclear medicine physicians increased the diagnostic accuracy of bone scintigraphy by integrating planar imaging with SPECT, thus improving lesion detection and localization (11). In particular, Savelli et al. (6) compared SPECT with bone planar acquisitions in patients with bone metastases and found greater diagnostic accuracy for SPECT, particularly for evaluating patients with a single skeletal metastasis.

In the last decade, hybrid systems producing a direct fusion of SPECT and CT studies have been introduced. Transmission and emission data are acquired during the same session without moving the patient, thus allowing the correct registration of the 2 datasets. Several published papers have demonstrated the value of SPECT/CT in oncology patients during nuclear medicine investigations (7,12).

Few reports have proposed the use of integrated SPECT/CT for bone scanning. Horger et al. (13) used a hybrid camera combining SPECT and CT for the classification of skeletal lesions and obtained a significantly higher specificity for SPECT/CT than for SPECT alone. Romer et al. (14), using SPECT and dual-slice reduced-dose (130 keV, 40 mA/s) spiral CT, clarified in 44 oncology patients more than 90% of those findings classified as indeterminate on SPECT and planar imaging. Utsunomiya et al. (15) and Even-Sapir (16) also showed hybrid SPECT/CT to increase the accuracy of bone scanning.

To our knowledge, this is the first report concerning bone scintigraphy and SPECT/CT for evaluation of osteonecrosis of the jaw in association with bisphosphonate treatment.

Our study confirmed the high sensitivity of bone scanning, especially in the early stages of disease. Inflammation was associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw. In fact, increased perfusion was detected in 9 of 12 patients and an increased blood pool in 10 of 12. In our patients, the longer the treatment with bisphosphonates was, the greater was the inflammation, and this correlation extended to symptom severity and the extent of jaw bone lesions.

Bone scanning had a positive predictive value in 2 patients (patients 3 and 13; Table 1). These 2 patients clearly showed focal osteonecrosis of the jaw in the
maxillary region and an initial new focus in the mandible. In these patients, the areas of mild hyperactivity were not clearly demonstrated in mandibular bone on planar scanning and were better visualized on hybrid SPECT/CT. In another patient (patient 15; Table 1), SPECT/CT highlighted and localized involvement of the zygomatic and ethmoid bones, more precisely defining the extent of disease.

The results of our SPECT/CT investigations were integrated with the results of diagnostic high-resolution CT. Hybrid imaging increased the ability to identify the margin between an osteonecrotic core and neighboring viable bone. Surgical approaches may not be entirely successful in removing necrotic bone because of the difficulty of distinguishing between viable and necrotic bone. In such cases, hybrid SPECT/CT was useful because it helped surgeons to remove only necrosis, with significant sparing of viable bone.

The additional radiation burden due to the CT component of hybrid SPECT/CT is on the order of 0.5 mSv. This dosimetric value is considerably smaller than the dose delivered to the patient by a typical diagnostic CT scan.

Of course, with hybrid SPECT/CT the lack of specificity in bone scanning remains a serious challenge, because every type of dental disease can produce focal tracer uptake and mimic osteonecrosis of the jaw. In our study, patients were carefully evaluated to exclude other dental diseases. Moreover, osteonecrosis of the jaw was definitively diagnosed in the maxillary or mandibular areas of edentia.

In patients who are not clinically selected, the rate of false-positive scan findings would be too high (17,18). Thus, bone scanning with hybrid SPECT/CT cannot be proposed as a screening procedure to detect osteonecrosis of the jaw in all oncology patients treated with bisphosphonates. Only after an accurate clinical examination excluding dental disease and supporting the suspicion of osteonecrosis of the jaw can bone scanning by hybrid SPECT/CT represent a useful imaging tool to disclose the focus of necrosis, precisely defining the extent of disease.

**CONCLUSION**

Our results indicate that bone scanning can be a useful technique to diagnose osteonecrosis of the jaw in appropriately selected oncology patients who are taking bisphosphonates. Moreover, hybrid SPECT/CT can increase the accuracy of conventional bone scintigraphy, providing a precise functional–anatomic correlation and better defining the relationship between necrosis and neighboring viable bone. Further studies on larger series are needed to define the clinical impact of hybrid technology on surgical treatment of osteonecrosis of the jaw.
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