Multidrug Resistance in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Potential New Therapeutics

Many investigators working to improve cancer therapy have tried to use various immunologic approaches to killing cancer cells because such approaches might circumvent chemotherapeutic drug resistance. One of the assumptions of an immunologic approach is that cells that are resistant to chemotherapeutics should not be cross-resistant to immunotherapy because the mechanisms of action are so widely different. Unfortunately, several groups have shown that MDR cells seem to be resistant to several forms of immunologic therapies, including complement-mediated cytotoxicity (5,6), immunotoxin, gelonin attached to monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) (8), interleukin 2 (9), and drug immunonjugates (10–12).

Another immunologic treatment is the use of mAbs to deliver radioisotopes directly to the tumor cells. At the present time, the use of radiolabeled antibodies in the treatment of AML with Pgp expression has not been well studied, if at all. In this issue of The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Kersemans et al. (13) use a strategy to overcome MDR using mAbs that have been labeled with $^{111}$In modified with a nuclear localizing sequence (NLS). They showed that the addition of the peptide sequence CGYGPKKKRKVGG, which contains the NLS PKKKRKV of SV-40 large T-antigens, allowed the antibody to be internalized and to translocate to the nucleus. Once in the nucleus, Auger electrons from the $^{111}$In were cytotoxic to the parental HL60 cell line and drug-resistant (mitoxantrone-insensitive) HL60-MX-1 cell line and to the primary AML specimens that were expressing a diversity of MDR phenotypes. These results suggest that $^{111}$In anti-CD33 mAbs that are modified with an NLS may be a new treatment for patients who have drug-resistant myeloid leukemias.

Although there have been significant advances in the treatment of AML, only 20%–30% of these patients reach long-term disease-free survival (14). The addition of Pgp expression predicts an even poorer prognosis in the elderly, those patients who have relapsed, and patients with secondary AML (11,15).

When considering radioimmunotherapy for the treatment of any type of cancer, one must keep in mind three important factors: the target tumor, isotope, and antibody (16). Because leukemias are easily accessible and have well-defined differentiation antigens, they are ideal for the use of radiolabeled antibodies (17). AML is characterized by the expression of CD13, CD15, CD33, and CD117 (18). CD33 is a 67-kDa cell-surface glycoprotein found on myeloid leukemia cells and on committed myelomonocytic and erythroid progenitor cells. It is not found on lymphoid, nonhematopoietic, or mature myeloid cells, making CD33 a prime target for antibody binding (19,20).

Different isotopes have been attached to antibodies based on their half-life and the type of particle they emit. For single-cell kill, with the least cytotoxicity to normal cells, the ideal isotope emission should have a path length that is the same as that of the target cells (21). $^{90}$Y and $^{131}$I emit $\beta$-particles, which have a relatively long range and a low linear-energy transfer. Unfortunately, these properties make $\beta$-particles an excellent choice for solid tumors but not for hematologic cancers, in which antibodies cannot bind to all the tumors cells at sufficient levels (21).

This long particle range results in the irradiation of target tumor cells as well as surrounding cells, causing normal cells to be killed. Alpha-particles, on the other hand, have a shorter range...
(about the size range of 3–5 times that of the target cells) than β-particles and a much higher linear energy transfer. Only one α-particle is needed to cross the nucleus to induce cell death by causing double-strand breaks in the DNA, making α-particles more efficient than β-particles at killing a single cell. The shorter range of α-particles is beneficial in 2 ways. First, nonspecific cytotoxicity to nearby healthy cells should be reduced, and second, because the path length of α-particles is shorter, they would be an effective single-cell killer (22). Auger electrons have a high-linear-energy transfer and an even smaller path length than do α-particles, making Auger electrons also suitable for the treatment of hematologic cancers. High antigen densities are essential because a large number of decays is vital for strong cytotoxicity (23). The dose, meaning what kills the cells, is dependent on the energy of the particle and the half-life. Unlike α- and β-radiation, Auger emitters need to be attached to the individual tumor cells and enter the nucleus. Optimum results with Auger electron emitters were seen when they were bound to the DNA, causing double-stand breaks (24).

In the study by Kersemans et al. (13), the authors were able to specifically target the nucleus of the HL-60 and HL-60-MX-1 cells by the addition of the NLS onto the antibodies, making the increase in nuclear radioactivity 4- to 6-fold greater than that of the antibodies without the NLS. With this increase in nuclear localized radiation, survival of the targeted cells was significantly decreased. The authors also saw this increased cytotoxicity in the primary AML samples that expressed the different types of MDR transporters (13). This result is exciting because other methods to overcome drug resistance in AML have fallen short. Unsuccessful results have been observed in clinical trials using specific MDR1 inhibitors such as PSC-883 or quinine (25,26).

Given the dependence of cytotoxicity on high levels of antibody binding, it is necessary to determine whether the samples of patients with AML have levels of antigen that are comparable to those expressed in the cell lines. Kersemans et al. (13) reported that the HL-60 and HL-60-MX-1 cells contained 3–4 × 10^4 CD33 per cell. With this number of sites per cell, they determined that at a specific activity of 8 MBq/µg, 10% of the radioactivity is localized to the cell surface, 70% is in the cytoplasm, and 20% is in the nucleus. It has been previously reported that myeloid and mononcytic leukemias contain only 1 × 10^4 CD33 per cell (27). A possible drawback with CD33 as the target is that these levels can be decreased among different cell lines and in patient samples, preventing enough Auger electrons from entering the nucleus to kill the cell. To overcome these lower CD33 levels of different targets, a higher specific activity may be needed to increase the percentage inside the nucleus. However, this could reduce immunoreactivity. Another aspect to consider is the pharmacokinetics of the antibody with the attached polypeptide chain; are they different from those of the antibody alone? Pharmacokinetics must be determined for these novel constructs.

The attachment of the NLS to the antibody may invigorate interest in radioimmunotherapy and seems to have many applications. The use of α-emitters on NLS-tagged antibodies is noteworthy. The NLS-tagged antibody could bring the isotope directly to the nucleus to ensure a “direct hit.” Possible problems associated with α-particle emitters such as 212Bi and 213Bi are the short half-lives, making it difficult for an antibody to reach the tumor cells in time to have an effect, and the longer path lengths, affecting some normal bystander cells (21). The issue of short half-life could be solved by using 225Ac, which has a half-life of 10.5 d (28). To reduce the killing of normal cells, the NLS on the antibody would bring the α-particle directly to the nucleus of the cancerous target, and less antibody would be required.

The addition of the NLS to a radio-labeled antibody, allowing it to enter the nucleus and increase the killing of multiresistant cells, is promising. The results demonstrated in the article by Kersemans et al. (13) show that there may be a treatment to overcome MDR in AML and perhaps other types of hematologic cancers.
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**Erratum**

In the article “SPECT/CT,” by Buck et al. (J Nucl Med. 2008;49:1305–1319), the legends of Figures 1, 5, and 6 contain errors. In the legend of Figure 1, “99mTc-iodobenzamide” should be “123I-ioflupane (DaTSCAN [GE Healthcare]).” In the legend of Figure 5, panel B is described as a 99mTc-MIBI scan but is in fact a 99mTc-pertechnetate scan. In the legend of Figure 6, “99mTc-MIBG” should be “123I-MIBG.” The authors regret the errors.
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