Association of Vascular $^{18}$F-FDG Uptake with Vascular Calcification
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Both calcification and FDG uptake have been advocated as indicators of atheroma. Atheromas calcify as cells in the lesion undergo apoptosis and necrosis during evolution of the lesion and at the end stage of the lesion. FDG concentrates in lesions due to the relatively dense cellularity in regions of inflammation of active atheromas. This examination examines the geographic relationship of focal vascular $^{18}$F-FDG uptake, as a marker of atherosclerotic inflammation, to arterial calcification detected by contemporaneous CT. Methods: We reviewed PET/CT images from 78 patients who were referred for tumor staging for the presence of vascular $^{18}$F-FDG uptake and vascular calcification. Arterial wall $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation greater than adjacent blood-pool activity was considered inflammation. Arterial attenuation of $>130$ Hounsfield units was considered calcification. Sites in the ascending and descending aorta, the carotid and iliac arteries, and the coronary territories were examined on the emission, CT, and fusion images on a point-by-point basis. When lesions were seen, we evaluated whether they were overlapping or discrete. Results: The $^{18}$F-FDG arterial distribution was consistent with established atherosclerotic topography, with increased uptake in the thoracic aorta, at the carotid bifurcation, and in the proximal coronary vessels. Arteries typically displayed a patchwork of normal vessel, focal inflammation, or calcification; inflammation and calcification overlapped in $<$2% of cases. Arterial inflammation preceded calcification, in terms of mean patient age. Coronary inflammation was more prevalent in patients with more cardiovascular risk factors. Conclusion: Vascular calcification and vascular metabolic activity rarely overlap, suggesting these findings represent different stages in the evolution of atheroma.
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Atherosclerosis is an immune inflammatory disease (1) characterized by subendothelial lipid accumulation, monocyte/macrophage accrual (2), and vascular calcification (3). Using the glucose analog $^{18}$F-FDG, PET can image vascular inflammation (4–6), primarily due to increased macrophage metabolism (7–9). Rudd et al. identified increased $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in carotid plaques in a small group of patients before carotid endarterectomy (10). Histopathology of the lesions demonstrated more macrophages in $^{18}$F-FDG-positive lesions, whereas calcium and fibrous tissue were more prevalent in the $^{18}$F-FDG-negative lesions. $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation in the arterial tree of cancer patients who were referred for PET occurs relatively frequently (11,12). Similarly, arterial calcification, another marker of atheroma (13,14), is often observed in the CT studies performed on these patients. This investigation compares the regional distribution of arterial $^{18}$F-FDG uptake and calcification on a point-by-point basis in the arterial tree of a series of patients who were referred for PET/CT in the course of tumor staging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

PET/CT data of 78 consecutive patients (51 men, 27 women; median age, 67 y; age range, 10–86 y) who were referred for tumor staging were reviewed. The study protocol was approved by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center institutional review board. The patient’s age, medications, and blood sugar at the time of injection were recorded.

PET/CT and Image Reconstruction

PET/CT images were recorded with a Biograph (Siemens/CTI) or Discovery (GE Healthcare) system. Data were recorded from the level of the auditory meatus to the midthigh $72 \pm 18$ min (mean $\pm$ SD) after intravenous injection of $475 \pm 59$ MBq (mean $\pm$ SD) $^{18}$F-FDG. Activity was scaled to body surface area for pediatric patients ($n = 3$). After a scout view, noncontrast spiral CT was performed at 50 mA and 130 kVp (Siemens/CTI) or 80 mA and 140 kVp (GE Healthcare). Emission data were then obtained for 3 min per bed position with each instrument (3-dimensional on the Biograph and 2-dimensional on the Discovery).

Image Analysis

CT images were evaluated for calcification in the carotid, coronary, aortic, and iliac arteries (vascular attenuation of $>130$ Hounsfield units) (15). To detect overlap of $^{18}$F-FDG and calcification, the PET/CT fusion images were used. In addition, the fusion data were also used for anatomic localization of focal $^{18}$F-FDG uptake. Attenuation-corrected and uncorrected PET images were evaluated. Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn at the bifurcation of the pulmonary artery; left atrium; and 3 aortic regions (level with the tracheal bifurcation, diaphragmatic crura,
and proximal to the aortic bifurcation). Mean blood-pool activity was calculated. The lower threshold of the PET color scale was raised to exclude blood-pool activity (Fig. 1).

18F-FDG activity that followed arterial contours on the fused CT image, in 3 orthogonal views, was considered possible inflammation. For the coronary arteries, arterial wall activity was defined as 18F-FDG accumulation within the expected anatomic distribution of the coronary arteries in 3 orthogonal views, allowing for cardiac motion artifact (16). When intense myocardial uptake was present (defined as visualization of epi- or endocardial borders of any myocardial segment), coronary vessels were excluded from analysis. After analyzing PET/CT images, medical records were reviewed to determine cardiac risk factors and identify patients with subsequent cardiovascular events.

Myocardial Perfusion Imaging (MPI)

Thirty-two patients also had SPECT gated MPI within 1 mo of PET/CT. MPI was performed by a rest–stress protocol, injecting 370 and 925 MBq 99mTc-tetrofosmin (n = 10) or a combination (n = 22) of 148 MBq 201Tl chloride at rest and 925 MBq 99mTc-tetrofosmin at stress. Stress was induced by Bruce treadmill exercise (n = 12) or adenosine infusion (n = 20). Gated SPECT images were acquired as soon as possible after stress. Perfusion status (i.e., normal, ischemia, infarct) was taken from the original MPI reports.

Statistics

Site-specific blood-pool activities were compared using between-subjects ANOVA. The proportion of cases showing arterial 18F-FDG uptake in each territory was analyzed by confidence intervals (CIs) (modified Wald method) and ANOVA. Using odds ratios (ORs) with CIs, we compared territorial 18F-FDG uptake with calcification and coronary 18F-FDG uptake with (a) history of coronary artery disease (CAD), (b) cardiac risk factors (i.e., hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, smoking history, and age >45 y for men and >55 y for women), and (c) MPI. Significance was assessed by the Fisher exact test. Similarly, we compared carotid 18F-FDG uptake with cardiac risk factors. Two-tailed P values of 0.05 were taken as significant, with correction for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

A summary of the patients’ age and risk factors is presented in Table 1. All patients were euglycemic at the time of 18F-FDG injection. Malignancies were found in 73 patients: 3 breast, 9 endocrine, 28 gastrointestinal, 4 genitourinary, 11 hematologic, 2 musculoskeletal, and 16 respiratory cancers; 5 patients had no cancer. Three patients were treated with potent antiinflammatory agents at the time of 18F-FDG injection: one each with dexamethasone, tacrolimus, and prednisone. All had significant 18F-FDG uptake in their major vessels.

Blood-Pool Activity

Mean blood-pool activities in the 5 arterial ROIs were not significantly different (P = 0.5), with an average coefficient of variation of 13.5%, most likely due to differences in vessel size at each measured location.

Arterial 18F-FDG Uptake and Calcification

Vessels demonstrated a patchwork of 18F-FDG uptake, calcification, and normal vessel (neither 18F-FDG nor calcium). Arterial 18F-FDG uptake and calcification were common in all examined territories but rarely overlapped (<2% of vascular territories). Table 2 lists the results in the 82 studies performed on 78 patients (4 patients had prior PET scans that are included in the analysis). Calcification was associated with significantly greater odds of adjacent local vascular 18F-FDG uptake, particularly in the abdominal aorta (Table 3).

18F-FDG accumulation was seen in the aortae of 61 patients (74%), 21 (45%) sets of coronary arteries, and 26 (31%) carotid and 28 (34%) iliac pairs (Fig. 2). Aortic 18F-FDG uptake was most commonly proximal (52%), followed by abdominal (48%), and descending thoracic (31%) segments. Calcification was more common than 18F-FDG uptake in all arterial regions, except the carotids (31% vs. 19% of patients, respectively) and proximal aorta (52% vs. 46%, respectively).

Patients with arterial 18F-FDG accumulation were younger, on average, than patients with arterial calcification, in most territories. No patient had a history of vasculitis.

Seven patients had abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA). Three AAA were 18F-FDG avid (Fig. 3). 18F-FDG–positive
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FIGURE 1. (Left) Coronal 18F-FDG PET image of upper body. (Center) Intensity adjustment based on blood-pool activity. (Right) CT fused with adjusted PET image shows inflammation in wall of ascending aorta (arrow).
Two patients suffered cerebrovascular accidents, 2 and 39 d after PET/CT, respectively. PET/CT studies of both patients showed 18F-FDG accumulation in the carotid artery perfusing the territory of the cerebrovascular accident (Fig. 4).

Thirty-four patients with intense myocardial uptake were excluded from analysis of coronary activity. 18F-FDG was detected most often in the first centimeter-segments of the left main coronary artery (26%), left circumflex artery (LCX) (21%), left anterior descending artery (LAD) (15%), right coronary artery (RCA) (9%), and posterior descending artery (PDA) (4%), involving >1 coronary territory in 47% of cases (Fig. 5). Differences in prevalence were insignificant ($P = 0.1$). Hepatic 18F-FDG often obscured evaluation of the diaphragmatic aspect of the heart. Coronary calcification was identified in the LAD (42%), followed by LCX (32%), RCA (32%), left main coronary artery (LMA) (23%), and PDA (6%).

Thirty-two patients had MPI studies available for correlation. The median interval between PET and MPI studies was 31 d. Sixteen MPI studies were reported as abnormal, including 8 that showed evidence of ischemia. Eight patients with abnormal MPI had myocardial 18F-FDG uptake and were excluded from analysis. The Fisher exact test found a significant correlation ($P < 0.03$) between abnormal MPI and coronary 18F-FDG uptake. Of the 5 cases with both abnormal MPI and coronary 18F-FDG uptake, the same (i.e., concordant) coronary territories were involved in 2 of the pairs of studies.

No cardiac events occurred during follow-up (average, 7 mo). The prevalence of coronary 18F-FDG increased as the number of cardiac risk factors increased (Table 4). Patients with a history of CAD ($n = 19$) had 4-fold greater odds of coronary 18F-FDG (OR, 4.1; 95% CI, 1.0, 16.2; $P = 0.05$).

---

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Population Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>18F-FDG only</th>
<th>Calcium only</th>
<th>Neither</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right iliac</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>31 (39)</td>
<td>25 (31)</td>
<td>22 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left iliac</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>27 (33)</td>
<td>28 (35)</td>
<td>21 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aorta</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>16 (20)</td>
<td>50 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>20 (24)</td>
<td>22 (28)</td>
<td>33 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desc thoracic</td>
<td>14 (18)</td>
<td>21 (26)</td>
<td>32 (41)</td>
<td>12 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>20 (25)</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>21 (27)</td>
<td>23 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>13 (17)</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
<td>50 (65)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>50 (65)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any coronary</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>33 (48)</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
<td>17 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>26 (56)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior desc</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>16 (34)</td>
<td>24 (51)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumflex</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>28 (59)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>13 (28)</td>
<td>30 (64)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior desc</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>42 (90)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desc = descending.

Seventy-eight patients had 82 studies. Number of studies and percentages of studies (in parentheses) are listed. Peripheral and coronary arteries of some patients were excluded from analysis.

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$ value$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aorta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>(1.8, 4.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desc thoracic</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>(0.5, 3.3)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(0.6, 3.9)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right carotid</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>(0.8, 9.8)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left carotid</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>(1.3, 16.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any coronary</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>(1.0, 13.2)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(0.1, 3.1)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior desc</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>(0.4, 10.1)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumflex</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>(1.1, 20.3)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>(0.3, 18.2)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ $P = 0.005$ is considered significant.

Desc = descending; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval. Peripheral and coronary arteries of some patients were excluded from analysis.
Four of 8 post–coronary artery bypass graft patients demonstrated coronary \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \).

**DISCUSSION**

Atherosclerosis is an immune inflammatory disease \((17)\) with various postulated triggers \((1)\). Macrophage-secreted metalloproteinases contribute to the medial atrophy of AAA \((18)\) and concentrate in the intimomedial junctions of coronary plaques during positive remodeling \((19)\), with an associated vulnerability to rupture \((20)\).

Calcium deposits in the vasculature, especially in the coronary arteries, are pathognomonic of atherosclerosis \((21,22)\). Although calcification is often considered the end stage of atheroma, it may be found in earlier stages \((3)\). Monocytes, intraluminal macrophages, vesicles from apoptotic cells, and the death of vascular smooth muscle cells have been implicated in vascular calcification \((23–25)\).

**FDG Imaging**

The ability of PET to visualize \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake by atheroma has been validated by several histopathologic studies, including a recent experimental study by Ogawa et al. \((6)\).

\( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) concentrates in inflammatory cells \((7)\), allowing imaging of inflammation by PET \((26)\). Immune cell activation is associated with increased oxidative metabolism, which is reflected by an increased rate of glucose use \((27)\).

Several factors can alter \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) distribution. Principal among these is hyperglycemia. Blood sugars measured at the time of \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) injection revealed euglycemia in our patients. In addition, some forms of therapy, such as steroids, may reduce the level of uptake in the vasculature. Three patients in our series were on potent antiinflammatory drugs, one each on dexamethasone, prednisone, and tacrolimus. Each had significant vascular \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake.

Arterial \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) accumulation was consistent with atherosclerotic topography \((28,29)\). \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake was most prevalent in the aorta, followed by the coronary and carotid arteries \((P < 0.0001)\). In the aorta, \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake was most prevalent in the proximal segment \((P = 0.01)\), which is the earliest site for atherosclerosis \((28)\). Tatsumi et al. \((12)\) found \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake in 59% of thoracic aortae, whereas we found uptake in 77%. Abdominal aortic \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake was more diffuse and more prevalent (56%) than uptake in the descending thoracic aorta, where a posterior predilection was observed \((28)\). Meller et al. \((30)\) found no \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake in the abdominal aorta. Yun et al. \((11)\) found \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake in 53% of abdominal aortae.

The different findings may reflect the contribution of CT fusion in localizing arterial \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) accumulation.

Territorial calcification and \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake were associated (Table 3), yet rarely overlapped, in our study. A recent study by Ben-Haim et al. \((31)\) used PET/CT to determine the relationship between arterial \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) and calcification. On a lesion-by-lesion basis, they found both calcium and \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) present in 10% of lesions, which is a higher fraction of patients than we observed. However, our methodology corrected for residual circulating \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \), which may reduce the ability to identify lesions with low levels of \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake. As observed in the Ben-Haim study, we found no difference in vascular \( ^{18} \text{F-FDG} \) uptake, at calcified sites, on uncorrected images.

**AAA**

AAA are characterized by transmural inflammation, with lymphocyte and macrophage aggregation \((32)\). Our data
hinted at a possible correlation between AAA size and $^{18}$F-FDG uptake, but our sample size was small ($n = 6$). Sakalihasaan et al. (33) reported that AAA patients with negative PET (16/26) required no urgent aneurysmal surgery, whereas 6 patients with $^{18}$F-FDG–avid AAA required vascular surgery within a short period.

**Coronary Arteries**

Coronary $^{18}$F-FDG uptake was most often proximal (34) and multifocal, which agrees with autopsy studies that coronary inflammation occurs at multiple sites simultaneously (35). Patients with coronary $^{18}$F-FDG uptake were 4 times more likely to have documented CAD, but larger studies are needed to verify this finding.

The current 4- to 5-mm spatial resolution of PET contributes to the challenge of identifying coronary activity. Yet atherosclerotic inflammation can involve the intima, adventitia, and even underlying myocardium (36), theoretically increasing the signal region of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake. With sufficient $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation, size becomes less relevant in lesion detection.

The combination of limited PET spatial resolution and cardiac motion reduces the sensitivity of PET in the coronary arteries. Coronary motion is greatest in the RCA, followed in descending order by the circumflex artery, LAD, and LMA (37). Motion artifact, therefore, would be expected to reduce the sensitivity of PET for coronary $^{18}$F-FDG activity in that order. Our study found a lower incidence of $^{18}$F-FDG in the RCA compared with the LMA.

Subsequent studies might explore enhancing visualization of coronary $^{18}$F-FDG accumulation by a combination of gating to reduce motion and pharmacologic methods (38) to either increase $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in atherosclerotic coronary lesions or decrease background in normal myocardium (39).

**FIGURE 4.** (Left to right) PET and PET/CT images show foci of inflammation in right common carotid artery (arrows), from lateral (left) and right oblique (right) projections. Two days later, patient suffered a right-sided cerebrovascular accident.

**FIGURE 5.** Fused PET/CT images show contiguous transaxial slices through heart. Inflammation (arrowheads) present proximal and distal to a calcified LAD (arrow). A tumor sits adjacent to esophagus.
Critique of Methodology and Limitations

By raising the lower threshold of the PET color scale, we probably suppressed some vascular 18F-FDG accumulation. Identification of vascular lesions may be enhanced, if, as suggested by Rudd et al. (10), the interval between injection and imaging was increased beyond the standard 1-h postinjection delay to improve target-to-background ratios. This is also suggested by in vitro studies, where macrophages accumulate 18F-FDG without a plateau for at least 3 h (27).

We could not evaluate coronary uptake in about half of our patients because of intense myocardial uptake. This high frequency of myocardial uptake is similar to the results of Ding et al. (40). Preliminary studies in animals suggest that native myocardial 18F-FDG uptake can be reduced by β-blockade (39). Coronary vessels move 7–23 mm during the cardiac cycle (16), and coronary 18F-FDG visualization likely would be improved by electrocardiographic gating.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that vascular inflammation, as defined by 18F-FDG uptake, and vascular calcification identify different phases of atherosclerosis. Although both 18F-FDG uptake and calcification often occur in the same vessel, they rarely occur at the same site within the vessel. Combined anatomic and metabolic imaging with 18F-FDG PET/CT offers promise as a noninvasive method of indicating atheromas, because the combination of technologies appears to identify plaque at different phases of the lesion.
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