# Glomerular Filtration and Tubular Secretion of MAG-3 in the Rat Kidney

# Roland Müller-Suur and Charlotte Müller-Suur

Karolinska Institute, Dept. of Clinical Physiology Danderyds Hospital, Stockholm and Dept. of Physiology and Medical Physics, Uppsala University, Uppsala Sweden

Technetium-99m mercaptoacetyltriglycine (MAG-3) has recently been introduced as a new radiopharmaceutical for dynamic renal scintigraphy. To elucidate the mechanism of renal excretion, micropuncture experiments were performed in rat kidneys for direct measurements of glomerular filtration and tubular secretory capacity. Fluid of Bowman space was collected from superficial glomeruli and analyzed for its contents of [99mTc]MAG-3, [125]hippurate and [<sup>3</sup>H]inulin during constant infusion of these compounds. The ratio of activity of ultrafiltrate to that of arterial plasma was 0.23 for MAG-3, 0.68 for hippurate and 1.04 for inulin which demonstrates that the filtrated amount of MAG-3 is only 23% of that of inulin, presumably because of higher plasma protein binding which was also measured in vitro and found to be  $80 \pm 1.5\%$  for MAG-3 and  $32 \pm 2\%$  for [<sup>125</sup>I]hippurate. Proximal and distal tubules were also micropunctured and their tubular fluid as well as the final urine analyzed for the activity of hippurate and MAG-3. The tubular fluid to plasma ratio values along the nephron and in the final urine were all lower for MAG-3 than for hippurate, indicating a lower secretory capacity. From measurements of whole renal clearance, GFR and plasma protein binding the filtered amount of MAG-3 was 0.26 and of hippurate 0.87 ml/min g kidney weight (p < 0.001) and the secreted amount 2.01 and 2.38 ml/min g kidney weight (p < 0.05), respectively. We conclude that MAG-3 is predominantly excreted by tubular secretion and that the lower renal clearance of MAG-3 as compared with that of hippurate is a result both of a substantially decreased glomerular filtration and of a lower tubular secretion.

J Nucl Med 30:1986-1991, 1989

ecently, a new promising renal imaging radiopharmaceutical, technetium-99m mercaptoacetyltriglycine (MAG-3) has been introduced (1,2) and is undergoing clinical trials (3-10) as well as investigations in animal experiments (1, 11-14). Most authors outline the similarity between MAG-3 and hippurate, since renal excretion of both is inhibited by probenecid and both have higher renal clearance than glomerular markers, indicating secretion in the kidney. MAG-3 is thus recommended as a substitute for hippurate for renal function studies. Some authors correct the MAG-3 clearance by a simple factor to get renal plasma flow (15) and others use it as a measure of tubular excretion rate (4). However, several differences between these two substances have been reported (3,5,10,13,14,16,17) and the present study was performed to further characterize

the renal excretion mechanism for MAG-3 in comparison with hippurate. In the present study micropuncture technique was applied on the glomerular level for direct measurement of glomerular filtration and on proximal and distal tubules to outline the secretory capacity along the nephron. Plasma protein binding measurements together with whole kidney clearances were also used to estimate the filtered and secreted part of the total excretion.

## METHODS

Male Wistar rats of a special strain with surface glomeruli (strain H, Versuchstierzucht, Hannover, FRG) were used with body weights of 180–300 g and free access to normal rat chow and water. After anesthesia with thiobarbital (Inaktin, Byk, Constanz, FRG) 100–120 mg/kg i.p. the trachea was cannulated and polyethylene catheters placed into the femoral artery for continuous blood pressure monitoring and arterial blood sampling. The left jugular vein and/or the right femoral vein were also cannulated for infusion of radionuclide solutions and 0.9% Ringer solution to give a total infusion rate of

Received Apr. 25, 1989; revision accepted July 21, 1989.

For reprints contact: Roland Müller-Suur, Dept. of Clinical Physiology, Danderyds Hospital, S-182 88 Danderyd, Stockholm, Sweden.

1 ml/h·100 g BW [<sup>99m</sup>Tc]MAG-3 (kit prepared according to Ensing et al. (18) Mallinckrodt, Petten, Netherlands) and 125-I-hippurate (solution for ERPF measurements, Amersham International, New Hampshire, England) were diluted in the final solution to give ~18 MBq/h (500  $\mu$ Ci/hr) and 2.7 MBq/ hr (70  $\mu$ Ci/hr), respectively. In two rats [<sup>3</sup>H]inulin (Amersham International as above) was infused solely at a rate of 2.7 MBq/h (70  $\mu$ Ci/hr). A catheter was also placed into the left ureter for sampling of urine. The left kidney was prepared free of connecting tissue and placed in a lucite chamber fixed with cotton tissue and superfused with prewarmed mineral oil. The kidney surface was illuminated by a fiber optic light source.

Micropuncture was performed after a 60 min equilibration time (Fig. 1). Surface glomeruli appearing as clearly visible capillary balls at the surface of the kidney were punctured with sharpened glass pipets of 5-7  $\mu$ m outer diameter filled with sudan stained paraffin oil. Oil was injected into Bowman space and the proximal tubule and ultrafiltrate collected by applying slight suction to the pipet, monitoring the meniscus of the oil block just at the glomerular neck of the proximal tubule. If by chance a glomerular capillary loop was punctured and erythrocytes aspirated, the sample was discharged and another glomerulum punctured. Late proximal tubules and early distal tubules were identified by a single shot injection of lissameen-green coloured Ringer solution into a randomly selected proximal tubule by the aid of a micropuncture pipet with a tip diameter of 1-2  $\mu$ m. They were then punctured with the collection pipet as above and tubular fluid was collected in front of an injected oil block (compare Fig. 1). In three nephrons, only collections at one site of the nephron were successful. Glomerular collection and tubular collections were not performed in the same individual nephron.

Collection time was 2–5 min giving samples of 10 to 100 nl. They were transferred to precalibrated parallel bore capillaries (Microcaps, Drummond, USA) to measure the volume. After transfer into counting vials filled with 0.5 ml water their radioactivity was counted in a well type counter. The <sup>99m</sup>Tc activity was counted the same day and recounted after the decay of <sup>99m</sup>Tc for the <sup>125</sup>I activity. The micro-samples of the two separate rats, where [<sup>3</sup>H]inulin was infused solely, were counted in a scintillation counter (Beckman LS 3800, Fullerton, USA) after transferring them into 0.5 ml water and 3 ml scintillation cocktail (Picofluor 40, Packard Instruments, Groningen, Netherlands). Corresponding plasma samples (P) taken immediately after each puncture and timed final urine samples (U) were also analyzed for the radioactivity as above. The amount of filtrated MAG-3 and hippurate is given by the ratio UF/P, where UF is the radioactivity of ultrafiltrate from the Bowmann space. The filtration rate of the single glomerulum (SNGFR) equals the flowrate of the sample.

For measurement of plasma protein binding of MAG-3 and hippurate plasma was centrifuged in special vials (Amicon, Centrifree, MA) at 3000 g. Radioactivity of plasma before centrifugation and of the ultrafiltrate gave the amount of protein binding from the formula  $(1-F/P) \cdot 100$ , where F is filtrate activity and P plasma activity.

For whole kidney clearances six separate rats were used with the same animal preparation as above. 1.8 MBq/hr [ $^{99m}Tc$ ]MAG-3, 360 KBq/hr [ $^{125}I$ ]hippurate and 320 KBq/hr 51 Cr EDTA (Behringwerke, Marburg, FRG) were infused simultaneously at a rate of 1 ml/hr 100 g BW. Plasma and urine aliquots were measured for their radioactivity in a welltype gamma counter with background, crosstalk and decay correction. MAG-3 and hippurate clearance and GFR, i.e., EDTA clearance were calculated in the usual manner (i.e., U-V/P) corrected for kidney wet weight. Two to four sampling periods of 20–30 min were averaged for every rat. The amount of filtrated and secreted MAG-3 or hippurate could be calculated from the protein free tracer fraction times GFR and total clearance minus filtrated amount, respectively.

#### Statistics

Analysis was performed using the students t-test for pairs (MAG-3 versus hippurate in the same rats) or unpaired samples (MAG-3 or hippurate versus inulin in different rats). Means  $\pm$  s.e.m. are given. Two-tailed P (2p) <0.05 was taken as significant.

## RESULTS

Table 1 gives the data from glomerular micropuncture and Table 2 those of micropuncture at early distal



#### **FIGURE 1**

Schematic drawing of the micropuncture technique for collection of ultrafiltrate from Bowman space (A), endproximal site (B) or from the early distal tubule (C).

| Giomerular Micropuncture DataRatNGFR<br>nl/minUF/P<br>MAG-3UF/P<br>OIHUF/P<br>inulin111.70.210.6227.50.150.4316.90.190.47218.60.1618.80.280.8627.90.180.5720.40.240.7621.40.320.8729.90.230.62333.00.190.4823.00.180.5624.80.240.4827.10.260.77413.70.280.5823.60.300.6620.50.270.6317.20.340.6826.60.230.70523.21.1915.01.0517.81.0116.60.91Mean21.30.240.641.04s.e.m.1.10.010.030.04n251818182P0.001 |                               |        | TABLE 1 |       |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$   | Giomerular Micropuncture Data |        |         |       |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratnl/minMAG-3OIHinulin111.70.210.6227.50.150.4316.90.190.47218.60.160.7618.80.280.8627.90.180.5720.40.240.7621.40.320.8729.90.230.62333.00.190.4823.00.180.5624.80.240.4827.10.260.77413.70.280.5823.60.300.6620.50.270.6317.20.340.6826.60.230.70523.21.1915.01.0517.81.0116.60.91Mean21.30.240.641.04s.e.m.1.10.010.030.04n251818187  |                               | SNGFR  | UF/P    | UF/P  | ' UF/P |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | Rat                           | nl/min | MAG-3   | OIH   | inulin |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 1                             | 11.7   | 0.21    | 0.62  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 27.5   | 0.15    | 0.43  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 16.9   | 0.19    | 0.47  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 2                             | 18.6   | 0.16    | 0.76  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 18.8   | 0.28    | 0.86  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 27.9   | 0.18    | 0.57  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 20.4   | 0.24    | 0.76  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 21.4   | 0.32    | 0.87  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 29.9   | 0.23    | 0.62  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 3                             | 33.0   | 0.19    | 0.48  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 23.0   | 0.18    | 0.56  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 24.8   | 0.24    | 0.48  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |                               | 27.1   | 0.26    | 0.77  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 4                             | 13.7   | 0.28    | 0.58  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 23.6   | 0.30    | 0.66  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 20.5   | 0.27    | 0.63  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 17.2   | 0.34    | 0.68  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 26.6   | 0.23    | 0.70  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 5                             | 23.2   |         |       | 1.19   |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 24.0   |         |       | 1.01   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                               | 17.5   |         |       | 1.17   |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 6                             | 15.8   |         |       | 0.92   |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                               | 15.0   |         |       | 1.05   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.6 0.91<br>Mean 21.3 0.24 0.64 1.04<br>s.e.m. 1.1 0.01 0.03 0.04<br>n 25 18 18 7<br>2P< 0.001  |                               | 17.8   |         |       | 1.01   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean         21.3         0.24         0.64         1.04           s.e.m.         1.1         0.01         0.03         0.04           n         25         18         18         7           2P         0.001         0.01         0.01   |                               | 16.6   |         |       | 0.91   |  |  |  |  |  |
| s.e.m. 1.1 0.01 0.03 0.04<br>n 25 18 18 7<br>2P< 0.001   | Mean                          | 21.3   | 0.24    | 0.64  | 1.04   |  |  |  |  |  |
| n 25 18 18 7<br>2P< 0.001  | s.e.m.                        | 1.1    | 0.01    | 0.03  | 0.04   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2P< 0.001  | n                             | 25     | 18      | 18    | 7      |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2P<                           |        |         | 0.001 |        |  |  |  |  |  |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  SNGFR = single nephron filtration rate, UF/P = ratio between activity of the fluid in Bowman space to that of arterial plasma. Values below 1.0 indicate restricted filtration and 1.0 free filtration.

and late proximal site as well as of the activity in the final urine. The ratio of activity of ultrafiltrate in Bowman space to that of arterial plasma was 1.04 for inulin, 0.64 for OIH and 0.24 for MAG-3, which demonstrates, that only 23% of MAG-3 in the arterial plasma is free filtrated, whereas 61% of OIH and all inulin is free filtrated.

In vitro measurements showed that MAG-3 was bound to proteins in rat plasma at  $80 \pm 1.5\%$  as compared to  $32 \pm 2\%$  for OIH (2P < 0.001).

Table 2 shows the results of micropuncture at tubular sites and the activity in the final urine. Both the tubular fluid to plasma ratio, TF/P, at late proximal sites, early distal sites and in the final urine were lower for MAG-3 than that for hippurate (2P < 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05, respectively). A considerable increase of the TF/P values along the nephron from the glomerulus to the final urine was observed for both substances.

Table 3 gives the results of six separate rats where simultaneous measurements of glomerular filtration rate by 51-Cr-EDTA and of the OIH and MAG-3 clearance provided means of  $1.28 \pm 0.05$ ,  $3.25 \pm 0.08$ 

and  $2.27 \pm 0.08$  ml/min·g kidney weight, respectively. The MAG-3 clearance was significantly lower than that of OIH (2P < 0.001) and higher than that of EDTA (2P < 0.001). The amount of filtration calculated from GFR and the protein free plasma fraction was  $0.26 \pm 0.01$ ml/min·g kidney weight for MAG-3 and  $0.87 \pm 0.04$ for OIH (2P < 0.001). The amount of secretion of MAG-3 and OIH, i.e., the difference between total clearance and filtrated amount was  $2.00 \pm 0.10$  and  $2.39 \pm 0.08$  ml/min·g kidney weight (2p < 0.05, respectively.

# DISCUSSION

Since MAG-3 has recently been introduced as a new radiopharmaceutical for renal dynamic scintigraphy there is much interest on the renal excretion mechanism for this compound. Already in early studies, the similarity of MAG-3 to hippuran concerning its high renal clearance and the inhibitory effect of probenecid (1,2,17,19) led to the conclusion that the same transport mechanism may be involved for the two substances. The high amount of binding to plasma proteins of MAG-3 was taken as an argument, that very low filtration takes place in the glomerulus and that tubular secretion of MAG-3 is in the range of OIH to explain the final clearance values (1,5,17). Both in animal experiments (11-14) and all human clinical trials (2-6.8, 10), the renal clearance of MAG-3 was shown to be considerably less than that of OIH. There exists also experimental evidence that the affinity of MAG-3 to rat tubules is less than for OIH (13) and also in patients a lower affinity to the tubular transport system compared with [<sup>131</sup>I]hippurate has been shown (17). The present study adds more evidence to these observations. We thus found that the amount of filtered MAG-3 is considerable less than that of inulin and that of OIH. Since it correlates strongly with the amount of protein free MAG-3 in arterial plasma the glomerular filtration barrier seem to permit all protein free MAG-3 to be filtered. The same conclusion is valid for the 125-Ihippurate preparation used in the present study. Its protein binding was 32% in rat plasma and the ultrafiltrated amount was 64% of the whole plasma activity. In human plasma and for other preparations of hippurate other values have been observed (10), MAG-3, however, showed in human studies similar high protein binding and consequently a restricted glomerular filtration. The present findings further demonstrate a lower secretory capacity for MAG-3 than for hippurate at two tubular sites (compare Table 2 and Fig. 2) and also in the final urine (compare Table 3 and Fig. 2). From whole clearance and measurements of plasma protein binding in patients (9,10) it is evident that similar conditions will exist also in the human kidney. Accord-

|        |         | TF/P prox |       | TF/P dist |       | U/P final urine |      | Clearance |       |
|--------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Rat    | Nephron | MAG-3     | OIH   | MAG-3     | OIH   | MAG-3           | OIH  | MAG-3     | OIH   |
| 1      | 1       | 3.4       | 6.8   | 17.1      | 32.8  | 589             | 858  | 2.413     | 3.518 |
|        | 2       | 3.2       | 4.5   |           |       |                 |      |           |       |
| 2      | 1       | 3.5       | 5.1   | 10.4      | 21.3  | 588             | 998  | 1.885     | 3.200 |
| 3      | 1       | 2.1       | 4.7   | 16.6      | 33.6  | 720             | 1553 | 2.090     | 4.506 |
|        | 2       | 1.9       | 3.0   |           |       |                 |      |           |       |
| 4      | 1       | 1.1       | 3.4   | 7.4       | 13.0  | 869             | 2093 | 1.652     | 3.978 |
| 7      | 1       | 1.7       | 4.5   | 16.2      | 37.1  | 145             | 266  | 1.995     | 3.381 |
|        | 2       | 4.6       | 9.8   | 10.8      | 19.2  |                 |      |           |       |
| 8      | 1       | 2.1       | 4.1   |           |       | 595             | 799  | 2.103     | 2.807 |
|        | 2       | 3.2       | 8.7   | 17.8      | 22.8  |                 |      |           |       |
|        | 3       |           |       | 9.1       | 12.3  |                 |      |           |       |
| Mean   |         | 2.68      | 5.46  | 13.17     | 24.01 | 584             | 1094 | 2.023     | 3.565 |
| s.e.m. |         | 0.34      | 0.71  | 1.47      | 3.36  | 99              | 261  | 0.103     | 0.245 |
| n      | 11      | 10        | 10    | 8         | 8     | 6               | 6    | 6         | 6     |
| 2P<    |         |           | 0.001 |           | 0.01  |                 | 0.05 |           | 0.01  |

 TABLE 2

 Tubular Micropuncture and Final Urine Data

TF/P = ratio between activity of tubular fluid to that of arterial plasma at proximal (TF/P<sub>prox</sub>) or distal (TF/P<sub>dist</sub>) level. U/P = ratio of activity in the final urine to that of arterial plasma. Clearance given in ml/min g KW.

ing to the tubular reabsorption or secretion the concentration of various substances changes along the length of the nephron (20). Inulin starts at the glomerulus with a concentration equal to that of the plasma water and concentrates along the nephron based on the removal of water from the tubular fluid. The resulting profile is shown in Figure 2 according to the data from rats (21,22). P-aminohippuric acid, which is secreted activily predominantly by the proximal tubulus (23) results in a profile higher than that of inulin (20,23). Fitting the present data into a graph of the concentration profile along the nephron (Fig. 2), it becomes obvious that MAG-3 places in between [<sup>125</sup>I]hippurate and inulin, arguing for a lower tubular secretion rate of MAG-3 than that of hippurate. For technical reasons we were not able to simultaneously determine inulin in our micropuncture samples to correct directly in every

individual sample for the effect of water reabsorption on the tubular concentration ratio. It is difficult to assay  $[^{3}H]$ inulin in the presence of  $[^{125}I]$ hippurate. The inulin concentration profile along the nephron is, however, well known both from earlier experiments in other laboratories (21) and from our experiments (22). Separate experiments in two rats were, however, performed on the glomerular level in the present study since we had no earlier data. The observed inulin concentration in Bowman space is in agreement with free filtration of all inulin in the plasma water through the glomerular filtration barrier whereas hippurate and MAG-3 are restricted.

The mechanism for the low secretion of MAG-3 is of interest. In our first experimental study on MAG-3, we showed less penetration of MAG-3 into blood cells than was found for hippurate (11). The same has been

| Rat             | Left renal clearance<br>ml/min g KW |       |       | Secreted<br>ml/min g KW |       | Filtered<br>ml/min g KW |       |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
|                 | OIH                                 | MAG-3 | EDTA  | OIH                     | MAG-3 | OIH                     | MAG-3 |
| A               | 3.171                               | 2.372 | 1.492 | 2.156                   | 2.074 | 1.015                   | .298  |
| С               | 3.339                               | 1.929 | 1.260 | 2.482                   | 1.677 | .857                    | .252  |
| D               | 3.127                               | 2.310 | 1.127 | 2.361                   | 2.085 | .766                    | .225  |
| E               | 3.518                               | 2.593 | 1.300 | 2.634                   | 2.333 | .884                    | .260  |
| F               | 3.458                               | 2.040 | 1.336 | 2.550                   | 1.773 | .908                    | .267  |
| G               | 2.933                               | 2.301 | 1.163 | 2.142                   | 2.068 | .791                    | .233  |
| Mean            | 3.258                               | 2.258 | 1.280 | 2.388                   | 2.002 | 0.870                   | 0.256 |
| s.e.m.          | 0.090                               | 0.098 | 0.054 | 0.084                   | 0.097 | 0.036                   | 0.011 |
| 2P<             |                                     | 0.001 |       |                         | 0.05  |                         | 0.001 |
| (MAG-3 vs. OIH) |                                     |       |       |                         |       |                         |       |

 TABLE 3

 Data on Clearance As Well As Filtrated and Secreted Amounts



## **FIGURE 2**

Change of concentration of inulin, hippurate and MAG<sub>3</sub> along the nephron. Observe the logarithmic scale. Filled and open squares give mean values of the present study. The profile for inulin is taken from the literature (20-22).

shown also in patients (6,10). That observation may also be true for penetration into tubular secretory cells which may be responsible for lower outward transport capacity into tubular lumen. The high protein binding of MAG-3 may be involved in such a hypothetical chain of events. However, other substances predominantly protein bound have not shown an increased clearance when their protein binding is reduced (24). No such experiments have been performed until now with MAG-3. Thus, the reason for a lower transport capacity for MAG-3 in the kidney is still unclear and further experiments are necessary.

To summarize, we have demonstrated that the lower clearance of MAG-3 is partly explained by a lower amount of filtration, due to a higher plasma protein binding but also by a lower tubular secretion rate both at the proximal and the distal tubular level.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was partly supported by research grants from the Karolinska Institute and from Mallinckrodt, Petten, Netherlands. The typing of the manuscript by Karin Hultberg is gratefully acknowledged. The authors especially thank Ingeborg Bois-Svensson who prepared the MAG<sub>3</sub> solution.

# REFERENCES

- Fritzberg AR, Kasina S, Eshima D, Johnson DL. Synthesis and biologic evaluation of technetium-99m-MAG<sub>3</sub> as a hippuran replacement. J Nucl Med 1986; 27:111-116.
- Taylor A, Eshima D, Frizberg AR, Christian PE, Kasina S. Comparison of I-131-OIH and Technetium-99m-MAG<sub>3</sub> renal imaging in volunteers. *J Nucl Med* 1986; 27:795-803.
- Taylor A, Ziffer JA, Stevens A, et al. Clinical comparison of I-131 orthoiodohippurate and the kit formulation of Tc-99m mercaptoacetyltriglycine. *Radiology* 1989; 170:721-725
- Bubeck B, Brandau W, Steinbaecher M, et al. Technetium-99-m labeled renal functioning and imaging agents: II. Clinical evaluation of 99m Tc-MAG<sub>3</sub> (<sup>99m</sup>Tc-mercapto-acetylglycine). Nucl Med Biol 1988; 15:109-118.
- Jafri AR, Britton KE, Nimmon CE, et al. Technetium-99m-MAG<sub>3</sub>, a comparison with iodine-123 an diodine-131-orthoiodohippurate in patients with renal disorders. J Nucl Med 1988; 29:147-158.
- Russell CD, Thorstad B, Yester MV, et al. Comparison of Tc-99m-MAB<sub>3</sub> with I-131-hippuran by a simultaneous dual channel technique. J Nucl Med 1988; 29:1189-1193.
- Thorstad BL, Russell CD, Dubovsky EV, et al. Abnormal Captopril Renogram with a Technetium-99-M-Labeled Hippuran Analog. J Nucl Med 1988; 29:1730-1737.
- Martin WG, Wolf IH, Kempken K, et al. Tc99m-MAG3 versus I123 OIH; comparison of quantitative parameters in renal function. In: Schmidt HEA, Buraggi GL eds. *Nuclear medicine*. Stuttgart-New York: Schattauer Verlag; 1989; 413–416.
- Al-Nahhas AA, Jafri RA, Britton KE, et al. Clinical experience with <sup>99m</sup>Tc-MAG<sub>3</sub>, mercaptoacetyltriglycine and a comparison with <sup>99m</sup>Tc-DTPA. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1988; 14:453–462.
- Müller-Suur R, Bois-Svensson I, Mesko L. A comparative study of renal scintigraphy and clearance with 99m-Tc-MAG<sub>3</sub> and 123-I-hippurate in patients with renal disorders. [Abstract]. J Nucl Med 1989; 30(4): 2Ab.
- Müller-Suur R, Müller-Suur C. Renal and extrarenal handling of a new imaging compound (99m-Tc-MAG<sub>3</sub>) in the rat. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1986; 12:438-442.
- Coveney JR, Robbins MS. Comparison of technetium-99m MAG<sub>3</sub> kit with HPLC-purified technetium-99m MAG<sub>3</sub> and OIH in rats. J Nucl Med 1987; 12:1881-1887.
- Coveney JR, Pilcher GD, Robbins MS. Tc-99m-MAG3 and I-131 o-iodohippurate (OIH) transport kinetics in rat kidney slices. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1988; 14:256.
- de Jong R, Nielsen J, van Steenbergen J, et al. Results of ERBF and ERPF measurements in healthy dogs with two new radiopharmaceutical principles. *Contr Nephrol* 1987; 56:49-52.
- Jafri RA, Nimmon CC, Britton KE, et al. Tc-99m mercapto acetyl-triglycine MAG3, a comparison with 131-I- and I-123 orthoiodohippurate for routine renal work [Abstract]. J Nucl Med 1987; 28:647.
- Schaap GH, de Jong RBJ, Alferink THR, et al. 99mTc-MAG3 is not suitable for determination of the effective renal plasma flow. *Kidney Int* 1987; 32:775.
- 17. Brandau W, Bubeck B, Berger J, et al. Excretion

characteristics of Tc-99m-MAG3 in patients. In: Schmidt HEA, Buraggi GL eds. *Nuclear medicine*. Stuttgart-New York: Schattauer Verlag; 1989; 409-412.

- Ensing GJ, Nielsen J, Panek-Finda H, Panek K. Development of a kit formulation for the labelling of mercaptoacetyl triglycine (MAG3) with 99mTc. Eur J Nucl Med 1988; 14:303.
- 19. Müller-Suur R, Müller-Suur C. Renal and extrarenal excretion of a new renal scanning agent: 99mTc-MAG-3 in comparison to 125-I-hippurate (OIH) and 51Cr-EDTA. Abstract Europ Nucl Med Congr Goslar, FRG 1986.
- 20. Guyton AC. Textbook of Medical Physiology. VII Ed.

Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders, 1986.

- 21. Giebisch G, Windhager EE. Renal tubular transfer of sodium, chloride and potassium. Am J Med 1964; 36:643-649.
- 22. Müller-Suur R, Norlen BJ, Persson AEG. Resetting of tubuloglomerular feedback in rat kidneys after unilateral nephrectomy. *Kidney Int* 1980; 18:48–57.
- Shimomura A, Chonko AM, Grantham JJ. Basis for heterogeneity of para-aminohippurate secretion in rabbit proximal tubulus. Am J Physiol 1981; 240:F430-F436.
- 24. Ochwadt BK, Pitts RF. Disparity between phenol red and Diodrast clearances in the dog. Am J Physiol 1956; 187:318-322.